

MADISON PARISH HAZARD MITIGATION UPDATE – 2016



This Page Left Intentionally Blank

MADISON PARISH HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN UPDATE

Prepared for:

Madison Parish



Prepared by:

Stephenson Disaster Management Institute

Ms. Lauren Stevens
Mr. Chris Rippetoe
Mr. Joseph Harris
Mr. Brant Mitchell
Dr. Carol J. Friedland, P.E., Ph.D., C.F.M.
Mr. Stuart Nolan

Louisiana State University - Business Education Complex Baton Rouge, LA 70803



September 16, 2016

This Page Left Intentionally Blank

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This 2016 Madison Parish Hazard Mitigation Plan Update was coordinated by the Madison Parish Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Steering Committee, in collaboration with the participating jurisdictions as well as community stakeholders and the general public. The participating jurisdictions are made up of the following communities:

Unincorporated Madison Parish
Village of Delta
Village of Mound
Village of Richmond
City of Tallulah

Special thanks is directed to all of those who assisted in contributing feedback and expertise on this document, especially the Madison Parish Office of Homeland Security and Emergency Management. These combined efforts have made this project possible. The Madison Parish Steering Committee consists of the following individuals, who are credited in the creation of this document:

Paxton J. Branch Marvin Ashley Margaret Yerger Olga Butler Cynthia Machen Earl Pinkney Mayor of Tallulah Mayor of Delta Mayor of Mound Mayor of Richmond Assistant Director Director City of Tallulah Village of Delta Village of Mound Village of Richmond Madison Parish OHSEP Madison Parish OHSEP

The 2016 Madison Parish Hazard Mitigation Plan Update was written by the Stephenson Disaster Management Institute, Louisiana State University. Further comments should be directed to the Madison Parish Office of Homeland Security and Emergency Preparedness: 100 North Cedar St., Tallulah, LA 71282.

Contents

1.	. Introduction	1-1
	Location, Demography, and Economy	1-2
	Location	1-2
	Economy	1-4
	Hazard Mitigation	1-5
	General Strategy	1-6
	2016 Plan Update	1-7
2.	Hazard Identification and Parish-Wide Risk Assessment	2-1
	Prevalent Hazards to the Community	2-1
	Previous Occurrences	2-2
	Probability of Future Hazard Events	2-3
	Inventory of Assets for the Entire Parish	2-4
	Essential Facilities of the Parish	2-5
	Future Development Trends	2-10
	Future Hazard Impacts	2-11
	Land Use	2-12
	Hazard Identification	2-13
	Flooding	2-13
	Thunderstorms	2-33
	Tornadoes	2-45
	Tropical Cyclones	2-52
	Winter Storms	2-66
	Levee Failure	2-70
	Sinkholes	2-71
3.	Capability Assessment	3-1
	Policies, Plans, and Programs	3-1
	Building Codes, Permitting, Land Use Planning and Ordinances	3-2
	Administration, Technical, and Financial	3-3
	Education and Outreach	3-4
	Flood Insurance and Community Rating System	3-5
	NFIP Worksheets	3-8
4.	Mitigation Strategy	4-1
	Introduction	4-1
	Goals	4-1
	2016 Mitigation Actions and Update on Previous Plan Actions	4-2

HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN

MADISON PARISH	HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN	viii
Capability Assessment		E-2
Madison Unincorporated		E-2
Village of Delta		E-5
Village of Mound		E-8
Village of Richmond		E-11
City of Tallulah		E-14
Building Inventory		E-18
Vulnerable Populations		E-20
National Flood Insurance Program	(NFIP)	E-21
Madison Parish		E-21

1. Introduction

Hazard Mitigation is defined as sustained actions taken to reduce or eliminate long-term risk from hazards and their effects. Hazard Mitigation Planning is the process through which natural hazards that threaten communities are identified, likely impacts of those hazards are determined, mitigation goals are set, and appropriate strategies that would lessen the impacts are determined, prioritized, and implemented.

In that regard, this plan (a) documents the Madison Parish Hazard Mitigation Plan Update process; (b) identifies natural hazards and risks within the parish; and (c) identifies the parish's hazard mitigation strategy to make Madison Parish less vulnerable and more disaster resistant. It also includes mitigation project scoping to further identify the extent of work, estimated costs, and implementation timing requirements of proposed selected mitigation projects. Information in the plan will be used to help guide and coordinate mitigation activities and local policy decisions affecting future land use.

The Madison Parish Hazard Mitigation Plan is a multi-jurisdictional plan that includes the following jurisdictions which participated in the planning process:

- Unincorporated Madison Parish
- Village of Delta
- Village of Mound
- Village of Richmond
- City of Tallulah

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), now under the Department of Homeland Security, has made reducing losses from natural disasters one of its primary goals. The Hazard Mitigation Plan (HMP) and subsequent implementation of recommended projects, measures, and policies is the primary means to achieving these goals. Mitigation planning and project implementation has become even more significant in a post-Katrina and Rita environment in south Louisiana.

This Hazard Mitigation Plan is a comprehensive plan for disaster resiliency in Madison Parish. The parish is subject to natural hazards that threaten life and health and have caused extensive property damage. To better understand these hazards and their impacts on people and property, and to identify ways to reduce those impacts, the parish's Office of Homeland Security and Emergency Preparedness undertook this Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan.

"Hazard mitigation" does not mean that all hazards are stopped or prevented. It does not suggest complete elimination of the damage or disruption caused by such incidents. Natural forces are powerful and most natural hazards are well beyond our ability to control. Mitigation does not mean quick fixes. It is a long term approach to reduce hazard vulnerability. As defined by FEMA, "hazard mitigation" means any sustained action taken to reduce or eliminate the long-term risk to life and property from a hazard event.

Why this plan? Every community faces different hazards and every community has different resources and interests to bring to bear on its problems. Because there are many ways to deal with natural hazards and many agencies that can help, there is no one solution or cookbook for managing or mitigating their effects.

Planning is one of the best ways to correct these shortcomings and produce a program of activities that will best mitigate the impact of local hazards and meet other local needs. A well-prepared plan will ensure that all possible activities are reviewed and implemented so that the problem is addressed by the most appropriate and efficient solutions. It can also ensure that activities are coordinated with each other and

with other goals and programs, preventing conflicts and reducing the costs of implementing each individual activity.

Mitigation activities need funding. Under the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 (42 USC 5165), a mitigation plan is a requirement for federal mitigation funds. Therefore, a mitigation plan will both guide the best use of mitigation funding and meet the prerequisite for obtaining such funds from FEMA. FEMA also recognizes plans through its Community Rating System, a program that reduces flood insurance premiums in participating communities. This program is described at the end of this chapter.

This plan identifies activities that can be undertaken by both the public and the private sectors to reduce safety hazards, health hazards, and property damage caused by natural hazards. It fulfills the federal mitigation planning requirements, qualifies for Community Rating System credit, and provides the parish and its municipalities with a blueprint for reducing the impacts of these natural hazards on people and property.

Location, Demography, and Economy

Location

Madison Parish is situated along the northeastern portion of Louisiana. To the west are Richland and Franklin Parishes, to the east is the State of Mississippi, to the south is Tensas Parish, and to the north is East Carroll Parish.

Madison Parish has elevations ranging from 48 feet to 125 feet above sea level. In the northeastern portion of the parish, land is 80-125 feet above sea level. The area with the lowest land elevation is in the southwestern portion of the parish with elevations ranging from 48-80 feet. A majority of the parish and land west of the Mississippi River drains to the Ouachita Basin. The remainder of the parish drains through the Mississippi River Basin.



Figure 1-1: Location of Madison Parish within the State of Louisiana

Featuring many historical sites, the parish is dotted with Civil War markers of landmarks. Grant's Canal, a failed effort by Union Generals Grant and Sherman to divert the Mississippi River during the siege of Vicksburg, is located in the eastern most part of the parish.

Tensas River National Wildlife Refuge Visitor Center is located in west Madison Parish. The Refuge holds the largest privately owned tracks of bottomland hardwoods remaining in the Mississippi Delta. The Visitor's Center features life-size exhibits, a Boardwalk Wildlife Trail, brochures, information, regulations, and refuge maps. The Refuge boasts a diversity of plant and animal species with over 400 species of mammals, birds, reptiles, amphibians, and fish, including rare birds, turkeys, ducks, geese, deer, and armadillo. In addition, the state's largest population of the Louisiana black bear is found here.

Madison Parish is located in Louisiana Governor's Office of Homeland Security and Emergency Preparedness (GOHSEP) Region 8.

As noted above, Madison Parish is located in the northeastern region of Louisiana.



Figure 1-2: Louisiana Homeland Security Regions

Table 1-1: Madison Parish Population (Source: U.S. Census Bureau)

	2010 Census	2014 Census	Current Year (If Available)	Percent Change 2010 - 2014
Total Population	12,099	11,843		-2.10%
Population Density (Pop/Sq Mi)	19.4			
Total Households	4,804	4,925		

Economy

Madison Parish is a rural agricultural community with a rich history and cultural heritage. Considered a "row crop" parish, Madison consistently leads the state in corn production. Cotton, soybeans and rice are also important crops for the parish economy.

Madison Parish also contains a 266 acre port and industrial site along the Mississippi River. This site is helpful in stimulating and encouraging economic development in Madison Parish through commerce, industry, and tourism, as well as for the utilization and development of natural and human resources of the area and provide job opportunities. The purpose of this facility is for the receipt and shipment of general cargo, machinery, heavy- lift items, and dry-bulk commodities. It is also used for the receipt of liquid fertilizer and mooring barges for fleeting.

Industry data for business patterns in Madison Parish can be found in the table below:

Table 1-2: Business Patterns in Madison Parish (Source: http://censtats.census.gov/cgi-bin/cbpnaic/cbpsect.pl)

	-		
Business Description	Number of Employees	Number of Establishments	Annual Payroll (\$1,000)
Retail Trade	427	38	10,114
Manufacturing	100-249	5	
Health Care and Social Assistance	1,118	32	25,666
Transportation and Warehousing	20-99	16	2,006
Construction	24	6	571
Administration and Support and Waste Management and Remediation Services	0-19	5	184
Real Estate and Rental and Leasing	20-99	13	419
Wholesale Trade	100-249	13	7,303
Other Services (except Public Administration)	66	18	791
Accommodation and Food Services	232	19	2,743
Financial and Insurance	52	14	2,142
Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services	30	13	735
Information	0-19	4	
Educational Services	20-99	2	
Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation	31	4	393
Management of Companies and Enterprises	0-19	1	
Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing and Hunting	0-19	7	668
Utilities	0-19	2	

While nature has presented the parish with a variety of hazards, the parish has the human resources that can face those hazards and manage the impact they have on people and property. This plan will discuss hazards affecting Madison Parish. Hazard Profiles (see Section Two) contain detailed information on the likelihood of occurrence, possible magnitude or intensity, areas of the parish that could be affected, and conditions that could influence the manifestation of the hazard.

Hazard Mitigation

To fully understand hazard mitigation efforts in Madison Parish and throughout Louisiana, it is first crucial to understand how hazard mitigation relates to the broader concept of emergency management. In the early 1980s, the newly-created Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) was charged with developing a structure for how the federal, state, and local governments would respond to disasters. FEMA developed the *four phases of emergency management*, an approach which can be applied to all disasters. The four phases are as follows:

- Hazard Mitigation—described by FEMA and the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 (DMA 2000) as "any sustained action taken to reduce or eliminate long-term risk to life and property from a hazard event." The goal of mitigation is to save lives and reduce property damage. Besides significantly aiding in the obviously desirous goal of saving human lives, mitigation can reduce the enormous cost of disasters to property owners and all levels of government. In addition, mitigation can protect critical community facilities and minimize community disruption, helping communities return to usual daily living in the aftermath of disaster. Examples of mitigation involve a range of activities and actions including the following: land-use planning, adoption and enforcement of building codes, and construction projects (e.g., flood proofing homes through elevation, or acquisition or relocation away from floodplains).
- **Emergency Preparedness**—includes plans and preparations made to save lives and property and to facilitate response operations before a disaster event.
- **Disaster Response**—includes actions taken to provide emergency assistance, save lives, minimize property damage, and speed recovery immediately following a disaster.
- **Disaster Recovery**—includes actions taken to return to a normal or improved operating condition following a disaster.

Figure 1-3 illustrates the basic relationship between these phases of emergency management. While hazard mitigation may occur both before and after a disaster event, it is significantly more effective when implemented before an event occurs. This is one of the key elements of this plan and its overall strategy: reduce risk before disaster strikes in order to minimize the need for post-disaster response and recovery.

As *Figure 1-3* demonstrates, mitigation relies on updating in the wake of disaster. This can give the appearance that mitigation is only reactive rather than proactive. In reality, however, post-disaster revision



Figure 1-3: The Four Phases of Emergency Management and their Relation to Future Hazard Mitigation (Source: Louisiana State Hazard Mitigation Plan 2014)

is a vital component of improving mitigation. Each hazardous event affords an opportunity to reduce the consequences of future occurrences.

Unfortunately, this cycle can be painful for a community. For instance, the risks disasters that could catastrophic incidents in Louisiana were thought to be relatively well-understood prior to 2005. However, the impact of the 2005 hurricane season on the Gulf Coast region of the United States prompted a new level of planning and engagement related to disaster hazard response, recovery, and mitigation. Hurricanes Katrina and Rita hit three weeks apart and together

caused astonishing damage to human life and to property. The two storms highlighted a hurricane season that spawned 28 storms—unparalleled in American history. The 2005 hurricane season confirmed Louisiana's extreme exposure to natural disasters and both the positive effects and the concerns resulting from engineered flood-protection solutions.

The catastrophic events of 2005 had profound impacts on emergency management and hazard mitigation throughout Louisiana. As detailed later in this document, significant funding has been made available to the State of Louisiana and its parishes for the purpose of hazard mitigation planning. The storms also raised awareness of the importance of hazard mitigation among decision-makers and the general population, which has been particularly important since natural hazards will likely be increasing in frequency, magnitude, and impact in the coming years due to climate change.

General Strategy

During the last update to the Louisiana State Hazard Mitigation Plan, the State Hazard Mitigation Team (SHMT) began a long-term effort to better integrate key components of all plans with hazard mitigation implications in Louisiana to ensure that the programs, policies, recommendations, and implementation strategies are internally consistent. As each of these documents has been adopted by various agencies within the state, the SHMT has worked to incorporate this information into the decision process.

Part of the ongoing integration process is that GOHSEP encourages the parishes and the local municipalities with independent hazard mitigation plans to utilize the same plan format and methodologies as the State Hazard Mitigation Plan in order to create continuity of information from local to state mitigation plans and programs.

The 2016 Madison Parish Hazard Mitigation Plan maintains much of the information from the 2006 and 2011 plan versions, but it now reflects the order and methodologies of the 2011 Louisiana State Hazard Mitigation Plan. The sections in the 2011 Madison Hazard Mitigation Plan were as follows:

Section One Prerequisites

Section Two Introduction and Parish Background

Section Three Planning Process
 Section Four Plan Content
 Section Five Mitigation Strategy

Section Six Plan Maintenance Procedures

Attachments

This plan update now also coheres with the Plain Writing Act of 2010, which requires federal agencies to use clear communication that is accessible, consistent, understandable, and useful to the public. While the state of Louisiana and its political subdivisions are not required to meet such standards, the Act aligns with best practices in hazard mitigation. Since successful hazard mitigation relies on full implementation and cooperation at all levels of government and community, a successful hazard mitigation plan must also be easily used at all of these levels. Nevertheless, the Madison Parish Hazard Mitigation Steering Committee was not ignorant or dismissive of the successful analysis and mitigation planning executed in previous plan updates. This plan update remains coherent with those documents, retaining language and content when needed, deleting it when appropriate, and augmenting it when constructive.

2016 Plan Update

This 2016 plan update proceeds with the previous goals of the Madison Parish Hazard Mitigation Plan. The current goals are as follows:

- Identify and pursue preventative measures that will reduce further damages from hazards
- Enhance public awareness and understanding of disaster preparedness
- Reduce repetitive flood losses in the parish
- Facilitate sound development in the parish to reduce or eliminate potential impacts of hazards

This plan update makes a number of textual changes throughout, but the most obvious changes are data related and structural edits. First, the Spatial Hazard Events and Losses Database for the United States (SHELDUS) was used as a data source for hazard identification because it incorporates all storm event data from the National Climatic Data Center (NCDC) Storm Events Database used in previous plans, as well as storm event data from other sources including the NOAA Storm Prediction Center, National Hurricane Center, and U.S. Fire Administration. Furthermore, all of the sections were updated to reflect the most current information and the most current vision of the plan update. Second, instead of eleven, separate sections for numerous tables, maps, and appendices, the present plan update has four sections and five appendices. The most significant changes are the newly developed hazard profiles and risk assessments, as well as the removal of repetition between sections from the previous plan updates. The 2016 plan update is organized generally as follows:

•	Section One	Introduction
_	Saction Two	Hazard Idonti

Hazard Identification and Parish-Wide Risk Assessment Section Two

Section Three **Capability Assessment Section Four** Mitigation Strategy **Planning Process** Appendix A Appendix B Plan Maintenance **Essential Facilities** Appendix C Appendix D Plan Adoption

State Required Worksheets

Appendix E

Table 1-4: Plan Crosswalk

2011 Plan	Revised Plan (2016)
Section 1: Prerequisites	Appendix D: Plan Adoption
Section 2: Introduction and Parish Background	Section 1: Introduction
Section 3: Planning Process	Appendix A: Planning Process
Section 4: Plan Content	Section 2: Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment, Section 3: Capability Assessment, Appendix A: Planning Process
Section 5: Mitigation Strategy	Section 4: Mitigation Strategy
Section 6: Plan Maintenance Procedures	Appendix B: Plan Maintenance
Attachments	Appendices

Despite changes in this plan update, the plan remains consistent in its emphasis on the few types of hazards that pose the most risk to loss of life, injury, and property in Madison Parish and its municipalities. The extent of this risk is dictated primarily by its geographic location. Most significantly, Madison Parish remains at high risk of water inundation from various sources, including flooding, tornadoes, and tropical cyclone activity. All of the parish is also at high risk of damages from high winds and wind-borne debris caused by various meteorological phenomena. Other hazards threaten the parish and/or its municipalities, although not to such great degrees and not in such widespread ways. In all cases, the relative social vulnerability of areas threatened and affected plays a significant role in how governmental agencies and their partners (local, parish, state, and federal) prepare for and respond to disasters.

Mitigation efforts related to particular hazards are highly individualized by jurisdiction. Flexibility in response and planning is essential. The most important step forward to improve hazard management capability is to improve coordination and information sharing between the various levels of government regarding hazards.

2. Hazard Identification and Parish-Wide Risk Assessment

This section assesses the various hazard risks Madison Parish faces in order to identify a strategy for mitigation. Having identified the categories of hazards, emergencies, disasters, and catastrophes, this section details the major climatological and natural/human-influenced hazards by (1) defining them, (2) explaining how they are measured, (3) describing their geographic extent, (4) surveying their previous occurrences, and (5) evaluating their future likelihood of occurrences.

The table below provided an overview of the hazards that had been previously profiled in the Madison Parish Hazard Mitigation plan published in 2011, as well as the hazards that were identified in the state's 2014 Hazard Mitigation Plan that were considered to be of high or medium risk for the parish by the state. Those hazards identified as high or medium risk by the state or previously identified as a risk by the parish, have been determined to provide a risk to the parish and will be profiled in this section.

Table 2-1: Hazard Profile Summary

	Table 2 1. Hazara Frojile Sammary									
Hazard	Profiled in Last Plan	Considered Medium or High Risk in the State's HM Plan	Profiled in the 2016 Update							
Subsidence/Coastal										
Land Loss										
Drought										
Earthquakes										
Expansive Soils										
Fog										
Flooding	X	Х	Х							
Extreme Heat										
Sinkholes		Х	Χ							
Thunderstorms (Hail, Lightning, & Wind)	Х	Х	Х							
Tornadoes	X	Х	X							
Tropical Cyclones	X	Х	Х							
Tsunamis										
Wildfires										
Winter Storms	X		Х							
Dam Failure										
Levee Failure	X		+							

+ Data deficiency

Prevalent Hazards to the Community

While many of the hazards identified in Table 2-1 occur in the parish, the determination was made to focus attention and resources on the most prevalent hazards, which include the hazards previously profiled, along with levees which claim a data deficiency.

The following hazards have been selected to be included in this risk assessment:

- a) Flooding (backwater, riverine, localized stormwater event)
- b) Tropical Cyclones (flooding and high winds)
- c) Tornadoes
- d) Thunderstorms (Hail, Lightning, Wind)
- e) Winter Storms
- f) Levee Failure
- g) Sinkholes

For analysis purposes, the impact of the critical and prevalent hazards is summarized as follows:

- Flooding from rivers and waterways, rain storms, tropical cyclones, and hurricanes in the following forms:
 - a) Riverine
 - b) Stormwater
 - c) Surge
 - d) Backwater flooding (as the result of river flooding and surge)
- High wind damage most commonly resulting from hurricanes, thunderstorms, and tornadoes

The potential destructive power of tropical cyclones and flooding were determined to be the most prevalent hazards to the parish. All twelve presidential declarations Madison Parish has received resulted from either tropical cyclones (4 declarations) or flooding (8 declarations), which validates these as the most significant hazards. Therefore, the issues of hurricanes and floods will both serve as the main focus during the mitigation planning process. Hurricanes present risks from the potential for flooding, primarily resulting from storm surge, and high wind speeds. While storm surge is considered the hazard with the most potential destructive potential, the risk assessment will also asses non-storm surge flooding as well. Flooding can also occur from non-hurricane events, as flash floods are a common occurrence due to heavy rainfall.

Hurricanes, tropical storms, and heavy storms are fairly common occurrences, and resultant wind damage is of utmost concern. Damage from high winds can include roof damage, destruction of homes and commercial buildings, downed trees and power lines, and damage and disruption to services caused by heavy debris. A wind map for Madison Parish is included in the hurricane risk assessment.

Madison Parish is also susceptible to tornadoes. Tornadoes can spawn from tropical cyclones or severe weather systems that pass through Madison Parish. High winds produced by tornadoes have the potential to destroy residential and commercial buildings, as well as create wind-borne objects from the debris produced by the destruction of the natural and human environment, such as building materials and trees.

Previous Occurrences

On the next page, Table 2-2 summarizes federal disaster declarations for Madison Parish since 1965. Information includes names, dates, and types of disaster.

Tropical Cyclone – Hurricane Isaac

Severe Storms and Flooding

Disaster Declaration Number Type of Disaster Date 374 4/27/1973 Severe Storms and Flooding 804 11/30/1987 Tornadoes and Flooding 829 5/20/1989 Severe Storms and Flooding Severe Storms, Tornadoes, and 904 5/3/1991 **Flooding** Tropical Cyclone – Hurricane Katrina 1603 8/29/2005 Tropical Cyclone – Hurricane Rita 1607 9/24/2005 1668 11/2/2006 Severe Storms and Flooding 1786 9/2/2008 Tropical Cyclone – Hurricane Gustav 3322 5/6/2011 Flooding 4015 8/18/2011 **Flooding**

8/29/2012

2/22/2013

Table 2-2: Madison Parish Major Disaster Declarations

Probability of Future Hazard Events

4080

4102

The probability of a hazard event occurring in Madison Parish is estimated on the following page. The percent chance of an event happening during any given year was calculated by posting past events and dividing by the time period. Unless otherwise indicated, the time period used to access probability followed the method used in the State of Louisiana's most current Hazard Mitigation Plan. The primary source for historical data used throughout the plan is the Spatial Hazards Events and Losses Database (SHELDUS), which provides historical hazard data from 1960 to 2014. In staying consistent with the state plan, the SHELDUS database was evaluated for the last twenty-five years (1990 – 2015) in order to determine future probability of a hazard occurring. While the 25-year record used by the State was adopted for the purpose of determining the overall probability, in order to assist with determining estimated losses, unless otherwise stated, the full 54-year record was used when Hazus-MH wasn't available to determine losses. This full record was used to provide a more extensive record to determine losses. All assessed damages were adjusted for inflation in order to reflect the equivalent amount of damages with the value of the U.S. dollar today. In addition, the National Climatic Data Center (NCDC) was also used to help identify hazard data specific to the municipalities. This was used due to it containing specific data for cities, whereas the data within SHELDUS is limited to parishes.

The table on the next page shows the annual probability for each hazard occurring across the parish and in separate jurisdictions.

Table 2-3: Probability of Future Hazard Reoccurrence

	Probability							
Hazard	Madison Parish (Unincorporated)	Delta	Mound	Richmond	Tallulah			
Flooding	48%	28	24%	24%	52%			
Thunderstorms (Hail)	48%	48%	48%	48%	48%			
Thunderstorms (Lightning)	<1%	< 1%	< 1%	<1%	<1%			
Thunderstorms (Wind)	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%			
Tornadoes	72%	72%	72%	72%	72%			
Tropical Cyclones	16%	16%	16%	16%	16%			
Winter Storms	44%	44%	44%	44%	44%			
Sinkholes	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%			
Levee Failure	<1%	< 1%	< 1%	<1%	<1%			

As shown in *Table 2-3*, thunderstorm winds for the entire planning area, have the highest annual chance of occurrence in the parish (100%). Tornadoes have a 72% annual chance, followed by flooding for the incorporated area of Tallulah at 52%. Flood events in the remaining incorporated areas have a slightly lower chance of occurring annually. Hailstorms have a 48% annual chance of reoccurrence, followed by winter storms (44%) and tropical cyclones (16%). Lightning and sinkholes have the lowest annual chance of occurrence at less than 1%. The hazard of levee failure claims a data deficiency.

Inventory of Assets for the Entire Parish

As part of the Risk Assessment, the planning team identified essential facilities throughout the parish. Several methods were used to assist in identifying all essential facilities, including field data collected by the Louisiana Governor's Office of Homeland Security and Emergency Preparedness on critical infrastructure from a previous hazard mitigation project.

Within the entire planning area, there is an estimated value of \$1,589,511,000 in structures throughout the parish. The table on the following page provide the total estimated value for each type of structure by occupancy.

Table 2-4: Estimated Total of Potential Losses throughout Madison Parish

Occupancy	Madison Parish	Unincorporated Madison	Delta	Mound	Richmond	Tallulah			
Agricultural	\$24,162,000	\$9,346,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,124,000	\$13,692,000			
Commercial	\$303,598,000	\$63,863,000	\$1,174,000	\$62,000	\$28,586,000	\$209,913,000			
Government	\$16,030,000	\$5,100,000	\$924,000	\$0	\$1,824,000	\$8,182,000			
Industrial	\$49,597,000	\$44,187,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,236,000	\$4,174,000			
Religion	\$43,900,000	\$3,926,000	\$0	\$0	\$2,108,000	\$37,866,000			
Residential	\$1,126,882,000	\$369,209,000	\$30,625,000	\$2,010,000	\$84,444,000	\$640,594,000			
Education	\$25,342,000	\$6,174,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$19,168,000			
Total	\$1,589,511,000	\$501,805,000	\$32,723,000	\$2,072,000	\$119,322,000	\$933,589,000			

Essential Facilities of the Parish

Below are the locations and names of the essential facilities within the parish:




Figure 2-1: Fire and Rescue Buildings in Madison Parish3




Figure 2-2: Government Buildings in Madison Parish




Figure 2-3: Law Enforcement and Correction Buildings in Madison Parish

Figure 2-4: Public Health Buildings in Madison Parish




Figure 2-5: School Buildings in Madison Parish

Future Development Trends

Madison Parish experienced a decline in population and housing between the years of 2000 and 2014, declining from a population of 13,704 with 4,979 housing units in 2000 to a population of 11,843 with 4,836 housing units in 2014. This decline was largely in the incorporated areas of Mound and Tallulah from the years 2000 to 2010, and in the incorporated areas of Mound and Delta from 2010 to 2014. The incorporated areas of Delta and Richmond experienced a growth in population from the years of 2000 to 2010. From 2010 to 2014, all incorporated and unincorporated areas of the parish experienced a decline in population. The future population and number of buildings can be estimated using U.S. Census Bureau housing and population data. The following tables show population and housing unit estimates from 2000 to 2014.

Table 2-5: Pop	ulation Growtl	n Rate for I	Madison	Parish
----------------	----------------	--------------	---------	--------

Total Population	Madison Parish	Madison (Unincorporated)	Delta	Mound	Richmond	Tallulah
1-Apr-00	13,704	3,804	240	47	556	9,057
1-Apr-10	12,102	3,881	284	19	577	7,341
1-Jul-14	11,843	3,819	270	18	555	7,181
Population Growth between 2000 – 2010	-11.7%	2.0%	18.3%	-59.6%	3.8%	-18.9%
Average Annual Growth Rate between 2000 – 2010	-1.2%	0.2%	1.8%	-6.0%	0.4%	-1.9%
Population Growth between 2010 – 2014	-2.1%	-1.6%	-4.9%	-5.3%	-3.8%	-2.2%
Average Annual Growth Rate between 2010 – 2014	-0.54%	-0.40%	-1.23%	-1.32%	-0.95%	-0.54%

Table 2-6: Housing Growth Rate for Madison Parish

Total Housing Units	Madison Parish	Madison (Unincorporated)	Delta	Mound	Richmond	Tallulah
1-Apr-00	4,979	1,435	111	5	202	3,226
1-Apr-10	4,804	1,528	144	10	197	2,925
1-Jul-14	4,836	1,548	126	3	189	2,970
Housing Growth between 2000 – 2010	-3.5%	6.5%	29.7%	100.0%	-2.5%	-9.3%
Average Annual Growth Rate between 2000 – 2010	-0.4%	0.6%	3.0%	10.0%	-0.2%	-0.9%
Housing Growth between 2010 – 2014	0.7%	1.3%	-12.5%	-70.0%	-4.1%	1.5%
Average Annual Growth Rate between 2010 – 2014	0.2%	0.3%	-3.1%	-17.5%	-1.0%	0.4%

As shown in the tables on the previous page, Madison Parish has experienced a decline in both population and housing units. Housing declined at an annual rate of -0.4% from 2000 to 2010, but grew slightly at 0.2% annually from 2010 to 2014. Population declined at an annual rate of -1.2% annually from 2000 to 2010, and -0.54% annually from 2010 to 2014. From 2000 to 2010, the incorporated area of Delta had the largest increase in population growing by 18.3% overall, followed by the incorporated area of Richmond at 3.8%. The incorporated area of Mound had the largest decrease in population during this time period at -59.6%. From 2010 to 2014, all areas in the parish had a decline in population with the incorporated area of mound experiencing the largest decrease at -1.32% annually.

The incorporated area of Mound experienced the largest increase in housing units from 2000 to 2010 at 10% annually, followed by the incorporated area of Delta at 3% annually. The incorporated areas of Richmond and Tallulah both experienced a decline in housing units during this time period. From 2010 to 2014, the incorporated area of Tallulah experienced the largest increase in housing units at 0.4% annually. The incorporated area of Mound had the largest decrease in housing units at -17.5% annually.

Future Hazard Impacts

Hazard impacts were estimated for five years and ten years in the future (2019 and 2024). Yearly population and housing growth rates were applied to parish inventory assets for composite flood and tropical cyclones. Based on a review of available information, it is assumed that population and housing units will cease declining and begin to grow slightly within Madison Parish from the present until 2024. A summary of estimated future impacts is shown in the table below. Dollar values are expressed in future costs and assume an annual rate of inflation of 1.02%. No changes in development have impacted the community's vulnerability since the plans last update.

Table 2-7: Estimated Future Impacts, 2019-2024 (Source: Hazus, US Census Bureau)

Hazard / Impact	Total in Parish (2014)	Hazard Area (2014)	Hazard Area (2019)	Hazard Area (2024)				
Flood Damage	Flood Damage							
Structures	4,844	1,935	1,951	1,964				
Value of Structures	\$1,608,397,991	\$642,534,581	\$681,626,438	\$714,605,515				
# of People	11,855	4,736	4,760	4,779				
Tropical Cyclone								
Structures	4,844	4,844	4,885	4,917				
Value of Structures	\$1,608,397,991	\$1,608,397,991	\$1,706,253,057	\$1,788,806,560				
# of People	11,855	11,855	11,914	11,962				

Land Use

The Madison Parish Land Use table is provided below. Residential, commercial, and industrial areas account for only 4% of the parish's land use. Agricultural land is the largest category at 259,578 acres, accounting for 63% of parish land. At 115,995 acres, wetlands account for 28% of parish lands, while 5,032 acres of forested areas account for 1% of parish lands. The parish also consists of 17,134 acres of water areas, accounting for 4% of all parish lands.

Table 2-8: Madison Parish Land Use (Source: USGS Land Use Map)

Land Use	Acres	Percentage
Agricultural Land, Cropland, and Pasture	259,578	63%
Wetlands	115,995	28%
Forest Land (not including forested wetlands)	5,032	1%
Urban/Development	17,288	4%
Water	17,134	4%




Figure 2-6: Madison Parish Land Use Map (Source: USGS Land Use Map)

Hazard Identification

Flooding

A flood is the overflow of water onto land that is usually not inundated. The National Flood Insurance Program defines a flood as:

A general and temporary condition of partial or complete inundation of two or more acres of normally dry land area or of two or more properties from overflow of inland or tidal waves, unusual and rapid accumulation or runoff of surface waters from any source, mudflow, or collapse or subsidence of land along the shore of a lake or similar body of water as a result of erosion or undermining caused by waves or currents of water exceeding anticipated cyclical levels that result in a flood as defined above.

Factors influencing the type and severity of flooding include natural variables such as precipitation, topography, vegetation, soil texture, and seasonality, as well as anthropogenic factors such as urbanization (extent of impervious surfaces), land use (agricultural and forestry tend to remove native vegetation and accelerate soil erosion), and the presence of flood-control structures such as levees and dams.

Excess precipitation, produced from thunderstorms or hurricanes, is often the major initiating condition for flooding, and Louisiana can have high rainfall totals at any time of day or year. During the cooler months, slow-moving frontal weather systems produce heavy rainfalls, while the summer and autumn seasons produce major precipitation in isolated thunderstorm events (often on warm afternoons) that may lead to localized flooding. During these warmer seasons, floods are overwhelmingly of the flash flood variety, as opposed to the slower-developing river floods caused by heavy stream flow during the cooler months.

In cooler months, particularly in the spring, Louisiana is in peak season for severe thunderstorms. The fronts that cause these thunderstorms often stall while passing over the state, occasionally producing rainfall totals exceeding ten inches within a period of a few days. Since soil tends to be nearly saturated at this time (due to relatively low overall evaporation rates), spring typically becomes the period of maximum stream flow across the state. Together, these characteristics increase the potential for high water, with low-lying, poorly drained areas being particularly susceptible to flooding during these months.

In Louisiana, six specific types of flooding are of main concern: riverine, flash, ponding, backwater, urban, and coastal.

- Riverine flooding occurs along a river or smaller stream. It is the result of runoff from heavy rainfall or intensive snow or ice melt. The speed with which riverine flood levels rise and fall depends not only on the amount of rainfall, but even more on the capacity of the river itself, as well as the shape and land cover of its drainage basin. The smaller the river, the faster that water levels rise and fall. Thus, the Mississippi River levels rise and fall slowly due to its large capacity. Generally, elongated and intensely-developed drainage basins will reach faster peak discharges and faster falls than circular-shaped and forested basins of the same area.
- **Flash flooding** occurs when locally intense precipitation inundates an area in a short amount of time, resulting in local stream flow and drainage capacity being overwhelmed.
- **Ponding** occurs when concave areas (e.g., parking lots, roads, and clay-lined natural low areas) collect water and are unable to drain.
- **Backwater flooding** occurs when water slowly rises from a normally unexpected direction where protection has not been provided. A model example is the flooding that occurred in LaPlace during

Hurricane Isaac in 2012. Although the town was protected by a levee on the side facing the Mississippi River, floodwaters from Lake Maurepas and Lake Pontchartrain crept into the community on the side of town opposite the Mississippi River.

- **Urban flooding** is similar to flash flooding but is specific to urbanized areas. It takes place when storm water drainage systems cannot keep pace with heavy precipitation, and water accumulates on the surface. Most urban flooding is caused by slow-moving thunderstorms or torrential rainfall.
- Coastal flooding can appear similar to any of the other flood types, depending on its cause. It occurs when normally dry coastal land is flooded by seawater, but may be caused by direct inundation (when the sea level exceeds the elevation of the land), overtopping of a natural or artificial barrier, or the breaching of a natural or artificial barrier (i.e., when the barrier is broken down by the sea water). Coastal flooding is typically caused by storm surge, tsunamis, or gradual sea level rise.

For purposes of this assessment, ponding, flash flood, and urban flooding are considered to be flooding as a result of storm water from heavy precipitation thunderstorms

Based on stream gauge levels and precipitation forecasts, the National Weather Service (NWS) posts flood statements, watches, and warnings. The NWS issues the following weather statements with regard to flooding:

Flood Categories

- Minor Flooding: Minimal or no property damage, but possibly some public threat.
- Moderate Flooding: Some inundation of structures and roads near streams. Some evacuations of people and/or transfer of property to higher elevations.
- Major Flooding: Extensive inundation of structures and roads. Significant evacuations of people and/or transfer of property to higher elevations.
- Record Flooding: Flooding which equals or exceeds the highest stage or discharge at a given site during the period of record keeping.

Flood Warning

o Issued along larger streams when there is a serious threat to life or property.

Flood Watch

 Issued when current and developing hydrometeorological conditions are such that there is a threat of flooding, but the occurrence is neither certain nor imminent.

Floods are measured mainly by probability of occurrence. A 10-year flood event, for example, is an event of small magnitude (in terms of stream flow or precipitation) but with a relatively high annual probability of recurrence (10%). A 100-year flood event is larger in magnitude, but it has a smaller chance of recurrence (1%). A 500-year flood is significantly larger than both a 100-year event and a 10-year event, but it has a lower probability than both to occur in any given year (0.2%). It is important to understand that an X-year flood event does not mean an event of that magnitude occurs only once in X years. Instead, it means that on average, we can expect a flood event of that magnitude to occur once every X years. Given that such statistical probability terms are inherently difficult for the general population to understand, the Association of State Floodplain Managers (ASFPM) promotes the use of more tangible expressions of flood probability. As such, the ASFPM also expresses the 100-year flood event as having a 25% chance of occurring over the life of a 30-year mortgage.

It is essential to understand that the magnitude of an X-year flood event for a particular area depends on the source of flooding and the area's location. The size of a specific flood event is defined through historic data of precipitation, flow, and discharge rates. Consequently, different 100-year flood events can have very different impacts. The 100-year flood event in two separate locations have the same likelihood to occur, but they do not necessarily have the same magnitude. For example, a 100-year event for the Mississippi River means something completely different in terms of discharge values (ft³/s) than for the Amite River. Not only are the magnitudes of 100-year events different between rivers, they can be different along any given river. A 100-year event upstream is different from one downstream due to the variation of river characteristics (volume, discharge, and topography). As a result, the definition of what constitutes a 100-year flood event is specific to each location, river, and time, since floodplain and river characteristics temporally fluctuate. Finally, it is important to note that each flood event is unique. Two hypothetical events at the same location, given the same magnitude of stream flow, may still produce substantially different impacts if there were different antecedent moisture characteristics, different times of day of occurrence (which indicates the population's probable activities at the flood's onset), or other characteristic differences.

The 100-year flood event is of particular significance since it is the regulatory standard that determines the obligation (or lack thereof) to purchase flood insurance. Flood insurance premiums are set depending on the flood zone, as modeled by National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) Rate Maps. The NFIP and FEMA suggest insurance rates based on Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHAs), as diagrammed in *Figure 2-7*.




Figure 2-7: Schematic of 100-Year Floodplain. The Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA) extends to the end of the floodway fringe.

(Source: Nebraska Department of Natural Resources)

A SFHA is the land area covered by the floodwaters of the base flood (red line in *Figure 2-7*), where the NFIP's floodplain management regulations must be enforced and the area where the mandatory purchase of flood insurance applies.

Property Damage

The depth and velocity of flood waters are the major variables in determining property damage. Flood velocity is important because the faster water moves, the more pressure it puts on a structure and the more it will erode stream banks and scour the earth around a building's foundation. In some situations, deep and fast moving waters can push a building off its foundation. Structural damage can also be caused by the weight of standing water (hydrostatic pressure).

Another threat to property from a flood is called "soaking". When soaked, many materials change their composition or shape. Wet wood will swell, and if dried too quickly, will crack, split, or warp. Plywood can come apart and gypsum wallboard can deteriorate if it is bumped before it has time to completely dry. The longer these materials are saturated, the more moisture, sediment, and pollutants they absorb.

Soaking can also cause extensive damage to household goods. Wooden furniture may become warped, making it unusable, while other furnishings such as books, carpeting, mattresses, and upholstery are usually not salvageable. Electrical appliances and gasoline engines will flood, making them worthless until they are professionally dried and cleaned.

Many buildings that have succumbed to flood waters may look sound and unharmed after a flood, but water has the potential to cause severe property damage. Any structure that experiences a flood should be stripped, cleaned, and allowed to dry before being reconstructed. This can be an extremely expensive and time consuming effort.

Repetitive Loss Properties

Repetitive loss structures are structures covered by a contract for flood insurance made available under the NFIP that:

- a. Have incurred flood-related damage on two occasions, in which the cost of the repair, on average, equaled or exceeded 25 percent of the market value of the structure at the time of each such flood event; and
- b. At the time of the second incidence of flood-related damage, the contract for flood insurance contains increased cost of compliance coverage.

Severe repetitive loss (SRL) is defined by the Flood Insurance Reform Act of 2004 and updated in the Biggert-Waters Flood Insurance Reform Act of 2012. For a property to be designated SRL, the following criteria must be met:

- a. It is covered under a contract for flood insurance made available under the NFIP; and
- b. It has incurred flood related damage -
 - For which four or more separate claims payments have been made under flood insurance coverage with the amount of each claim exceeding \$5,000 and with the cumulative amount of such claims payments exceeding \$20,000; or
 - For which at least two separate claims payments have been made under such coverage, with the cumulative amount of such claims exceeding the market value of the insured structure.

Figures regarding repetitive loss structures for Madison Parish are provided in the table below:

Tuble 2.5. Repetitive 2003 Structures for Windison's drish								
Jurisdiction	Number of Structures	Residential	Commercial	Government	Total Claims	Total Claims Paid	Average Claim Paid	
Madison Parish (Unincorporated)	10	8	2	0	25	\$495,936	\$19,837	
Delta	0	0	0	0	0	\$0	\$0	
Mound	0	0	0	0	0	\$0	\$0	
Richmond	0	0	0	0	0	\$0	\$0	
Tallulah	17	17	0	0	45	\$430,994	\$9,578	
Total	27	25	2	0	70	\$926,930	\$13,242	

Table 2-9: Repetitive Loss Structures for Madison Parish

Of the 27 repetitive loss structures, 24 were able to be geocoded in order to provide an overview of where the repetitive loss structures were located throughout the parish. *Figure 2-8* shows the approximate location of the 24 structures, while *Figure 2-9* shows where the highest concentration of repetitive loss structures are located. Through the repetitive loss map, it is clear that the primary concentrated area of repetitive loss structures is focused in the incorporated area of Tallulah.




Figure 2-8: Repetitive Loss Properties in Madison Parish




Figure 2-9: Repetitive Loss Property Densities in Madison Parish

National Flood Insurance Program

Flood insurance statistics indicate that Madison Parish has 513 flood insurance policies with the NFIP, with total annual premiums of \$341,865. Madison Parish and the incorporated areas of Delta, Mound, Richmond, and Tallulah are all participants in the NFIP. Madison Parish and each of the incorporated jurisdictions will continue to adopt and enforce floodplain management requirements, including regulating new construction Special Flood Hazard Areas, and will continue to monitor activities including local requests for new map updates. Flood insurance statistics and additional NFIP participation details for Madison Parish are provided in the table on the next page.

Madison Parish and the communities listed above will continue their active participation in the NFIP through various education and outreach activities. These activities will include community outreach on the availability of flood insurance within the parish and incorporated municipalities, as well as flood safe building initiatives throughout the parish. The Parish Floodplain Manager will continue to work in coordination with each community to ensure floodplain management regulations are adopted and enforced. The Parish Floodplain Manager and community floodplain managers will continue to seek and attend floodplain management and NFIP continuing education.

Table 2-10.	Summary	of NEID	Policies t	for Madison	Parish
TUDIE Z-10.	Sullillial	/ UI IVI IF	r uncles i	ui iviuuisuii	ruisii

Location	No. of Insured Structures	Total Insurance Coverage Value	Annual Premiums Paid	No. of Insurance Claims Filed Since 1978	Total Loss Payments
Madison Parish (Unincorporated)	211	\$51,113,500	\$134,998	91	\$1,195,542
Delta	2	\$280,000	\$531	6	\$18,588
Mound	1	\$350,000	\$370	0	\$0
Richmond	60	\$16,575,400	\$48,343	11	\$160,666
Tallulah	239	\$35,184,400	\$157,623	150	\$1,441,367
Total	513	\$103,503,300	\$341,865	258	\$2,816,163

Table 2-11: Summary of Community Flood Maps for Madison Parish

CID	Community Name	Initial FHBM Identified	Initial FIRM Identified	Current Effective Map Date	Date Joined the NFIP	Tribal
220123#	Delta	11/8/1974	9/25/1979	6/19/2012	9/25/1979	No
220122#	Madison Parish*	11/14/1978	3/4/1988	6/19//2012	3/4/1988	No
220124#	Mound	12/13/1974	7/12/1977	6/19/2012	7/12/1977	No
220125#	Richmond	10/1/1976	7/16/1980	6/19/2012	7/16/1980	No
220126#	Tallulah	5/24/1974	9/3/1980	6/19/2012	9/3/1980	No

^{*} signifies unincorporated areas of the parish

Threat to People

Just as with property damage, depth and velocity are major factors in determining the threat posed to people by flooding. It takes very little depth or velocity for flood waters to become dangerous. A car will float in less than two feet of moving water, and can be swept downstream into deeper waters, trapping passengers within the vehicle. Victims of flooding have often put themselves in perilous situations by entering flood waters that they believe to be safe, or by ignoring travel advisories.

Major health concerns are also associated with floods. Flood waters can transport materials such as dirt, oil, animal waste, and chemicals (e.g., farm, lawn, and industrial) that may cause illnesses of various degrees when coming in contact with humans. Flood waters can also infiltrate sewer lines and inundate wastewater treatment plants, causing sewage to backup and creating a breeding ground for dangerous bacteria. This infiltration may also cause water supplies to become contaminated and undrinkable.

Flooding in Madison Parish

By definition, flooding is caused when an area receives more water than the drainage system can convey. The following is a synopsis of the types of flooding that Madison Parish experiences.

Flash Flooding: Flash flooding is characterized by a rapid rise in water level, high velocity, and large amounts of debris. It is capable of uprooting trees, undermining buildings and bridges, and scouring new channels. Major factors in flash flooding are the high intensity and short duration of rainfall, as well as the steepness of watershed and stream gradients.

Local Drainage or High Groundwater Levels: Locally heavy precipitation may produce flooding in areas other than delineated floodplains or along recognizable drainage channels. If local conditions cannot accommodate intense precipitation through a combination of infiltration and surface runoff, water may accumulate and cause flooding problems.

Backwater Flooding: Backwater flooding is normally associated with riverine flooding and connotes minimal velocity. All low lying areas are at risk. A heavy rainfall event coupled with a swollen river, canal, bayou, or marsh hinders drainage outflow, causing backwater flooding to the same areas susceptible to storm surge.

Riverine Flooding: Riverine flooding is, by definition, river-based. Most of the riverine flooding problems occur when the Tensas River crests at flood stage levels, causing extensive flooding in low-lying areas.




Figure 2-10: Elevation throughout Madison Parish

Looking at the digital elevation model (DEM) for Madison Parish in the previous figure is instructive in visualizing where the low lying and high risk areas are for the parish. Elevations in the parish range from less than 60 feet to over 120 feet. The highest elevations in the parish over 120 feet, located in the unincorporated areas of the parish along the eastern border. The incorporated areas range in elevation from 75 to 89 feet, with Richmond averaging 75 feet, Tallulah averaging 85 feet, and Delta and Mound averaging 89 feet.

Location

Madison Parish has experienced significant flooding in its history and can expect more in the future. Stormwater excesses caused by large amounts of rainfall in a short period of time occur frequently in Madison Parish. Topography, poor drainage, and an extensive levee system mean that storm water cannot flow out of many areas of the parish. Generally, the most damaging storm water events are a function of a tropical storm or hurricane. Most of the riverine flooding problems occur when the Tensas River's high water levels impede the drainage of other smaller bayous and canals within the parish.

The following are enlarged maps of the incorporated areas showing the areas within each jurisdiction that are at risk of flooding:




Figure 2-11: Madison Parish Areas within the Flood Zones




Figure 2-12: Village of Delta Areas within the Flood Zones




Figure 2-13: Village of Mound Areas within the Flood Zones




Figure 2-14: Village of Richmond Areas within the Flood Zones




Figure 2-15: City of Tallulah Areas within the Flood Zones

Previous Occurrences / Extents

Historically, there have been 20 flooding events that have created significant flooding in Madison Parish between 1990 and 2015. Below is a brief synopsis of the eight flooding events that have occurred since 2010, including flooding events that have occurred since the parish's last planning update.

Table 2-12: Historical Floods in Madison Parish with Locations from 2010 - 2015

Date	Extents	Type of Flooding	Estimated Damages	Location
	Flooding along the	riodding	Damages	
	Mississippi River			
	caused extensive			
	flooding of low lying			
	farmland around the			ENOKA
May 7, 2011	river. All of the flood	Flood	\$2,000,000	
1VIUY 7, 2011	waters were within the	11000	72,000,000	
	mainline levee. A			
	granary was damaged,			
	and approximately 70			
	camps were damaged			
	or destroyed.			
	The flood waters			
	continued to recede			
June 1, 2011	during the first two	Flood	Flood \$200,000	ENOKA
,	weeks of June with			
	significant flooding			
	ending on June 12 th .			
	Parish schools closed			
	early due to excessive			TALLULAH
	rainfall and standing			
January 10, 2013	water over the roads.	Flash Flood	\$50,000	
	This event received			
	Federal Declaration			
	4102 on February 22,			
	2013.			
	Prolonged heavy			
	rainfall totals ranged			
April 6, 2014	from a widespread 2-4	Flash Flood	\$0	TALLULAH
Артії 0, 201 4	inches with a few	11831111000	, JO	TALLOLATI
	swaths of 5-7 inches.			
	Several streets were			
	flooded as a result.			
	Several roads were flooded with water			1
April 6, 2014				
	entering several buildings. Some	Flash Flood	\$30,000	TALLULAH
	residents were			
	evacuated.			
	evacuated.			

Date	Extents	Type of Flooding	Estimated Damages	Location
	Highway 65 and			
May 18, 2015	Highway 80, along with	Flash Flood	\$10,000	TALLULAH
	other smaller roads in			
	the city, were flooded.			
	Late afternoon storms			
	brought damaging		\$8,000	DELTA
10 2015	wind and rain to north			
May 18, 2015	Louisiana. Water	Flash Flood		
	covered and flowed			
	over roads. There was			
	a lot of standing water.			
	Showers and			
	thunderstorms			
	developed as a strong		\$10,000	AFTON
November 18, 2015	cold front moved	Flash Flood		
	through the region. A			
	family was displaced			
	after water got in their			
	home on Afton Road.			

Since 2010, there have been no significant flooding events in the incorporated areas of Richmond and Mound.

The worst-case scenarios are based on several different types of flooding events. Storm water excesses and riverine flooding primarily affect the low-lying areas of the parish, and flood depths of up to five feet can be expected in the unincorporated areas of the parish. The incorporated areas of Richmond and Tallulah can expect flood depths from three to five feet, while the incorporated areas of Delta and Mound can expect flooding levels of approximately two to four feet.

Frequency / Probability

While other parts of this plan, along with the State's Hazard Mitigation Plan, have relied on the SHELDUS database to provide the annual probability, due to Madison Parish having multiple jurisdictions, it was necessary to assess the historical data found in the National Climatic Data Center for Madison Parish and its jurisdictions to properly determine probability for future flood events. The table below shows the probability and return frequency for each jurisdiction.

Table 2-13: Annual Flood Probabilities for Madison Parish

Jurisdiction	Annual Probability	Return Frequency
Madison Parish (Unincorporated)	48%	2 – 3 years
Delta	28%	3 – 4 years
Mound	24%	4 – 5 years
Richmond	24%	4 – 5 years
Tallulah	52%	1 – 2 years

Based on historical record, the overall flooding probability for the entire Madison Parish planning area is 80%, with 20 events occurring over a 25-year period.

Estimated Potential Losses

Using the Hazus 2.2 Flood Model, along with the Parish DFIRM, the 100-year flood scenario was analyzed to determine losses from this worst-case scenario. Table 2-22 shows the total economic losses that would result from this occurrence.

Table 2-14: Estimated Losses in Madison Parish from a 100-Year Flood Event (Source: Hazus 2.2)

Jurisdiction	Estimated Total Losses from 100-Year Flood Event
Madison Parish (Unincorporated)	\$38,220,000
Delta	\$1,727,000
Mound	\$58,000
Richmond	\$4,112,000
Tallulah	\$4,578,000
Total	\$48,695,000

The Hazus 2.2 Flood Model also provides a breakdown by jurisdiction for seven primary sectors (Hazus occupancy) throughout the parish. The losses for each jurisdiction by sector are listed in the tables below and on the next page.

Table 2-15: Estimated 100-Year Flood Losses for Unincorporated Madison Parish by Sector (Source: Hazus 2.2)

Madison Parish	Estimated Total Losses from 100-Year	
(Unincorporated)	Flood Event	
Agricultural	\$21,000	
Commercial	\$1,178,000	
Government	\$0	
Industrial	\$752,000	
Religious / Non-Profit	\$1,317,000	
Residential	\$34,757,000	
Schools	\$195,000	
Total	\$38,220,000	

Table 2-16: Estimated 100-Year Flood Losses for Delta by Sector (Source: Hazus 2.2)

Delta	Estimated Total Losses from 100-Year Flood Event	
Agricultural	\$0	
Commercial	\$101,000	
Government	\$1,000	
Industrial	\$0	
Religious / Non-Profit	\$0	
Residential	\$1,625,000	
Schools	\$0	
Total	\$1,727,000	

Table 2-17: Estimated 100-Year Flood Losses for Mound by Sector (Source: Hazus 2.2)

Mound	Estimated Total Losses from 100-Year Flood Event	
Agricultural	\$0	
Commercial	\$2,000	
Government	\$0	
Industrial	\$0	
Religious / Non-Profit	\$0	
Residential	\$56,000	
Schools	\$0	
Total	\$58,000	

Table 2-18: Estimated 100-Year Flood Losses for Richmond by Sector (Source: Hazus 2.2)

Richmond	Estimated Total Losses from 100-Year Flood Event	
Agricultural	\$10,000	
Commercial	\$1,415,000	
Government	\$4,000	
Industrial	\$124,000	
Religious / Non-Profit	\$108,000	
Residential	\$2,451,000	
Schools	\$0	
Total	\$4,112,000	

Table 2-19: Estimated 100-Year Flood Losses for Tallulah by Sector (Source: Hazus 2.2)

Tallulah	Estimated total Losses from 100-Year Flood Event	
Agricultural	\$14,000	
Commercial	\$2,045,000	
Government	\$0	
Industrial	\$145,000	
Religious / Non-Profit	\$147,000	
Residential	\$2,168,000	
Schools	\$59,000	
Total	\$4,578,000	

Threat to People

The total population within the parish that is susceptible to a flood hazard is shown in the table below:

Table 2-20: Vulnerable Populations Susceptible to a 100-Year Flood Event (Source: Hazus 2.2)

Number of People Exposed to Flood Hazards				
Location	# in Community	# in Hazard Area	% in Hazard Area	
Madison Parish	3,878	2,487	64.1%	
(Unincorporated)	3,070	2,407	04.176	
Delta	284	98	34.5%	
Mound	19	4	21.1%	
Richmond	577	101	17.5%	
Tallulah	7,335	2,141	29.2%	
Total	12,093	4,831	39.9%	

The Hazus 2.2 Flood Model was also extrapolated to provide an overview of vulnerable populations throughout the jurisdictions in the tables below and on the following pages.

Table 2-21: Vulnerable Populations Susceptible to a 100-Year Flood Event in Unincorporated Madison Parish (Source: Hazus 2.2)

Madison Parish (Unincorporated)				
Category	Total Numbers	Percentage of People in Hazard Area		
Number in Hazard Area	2,487	64.1%		
Persons Under 5 Years	166	6.7%		
Persons Under 18 Years	455	18.3%		
Persons 65 Years and Over	295	11.9%		
White	925	37.2%		
Minority	1,562	62.8%		

Table 2-22: Vulnerable Populations Susceptible to a 100-Year Flood Event in Delta (Source: Hazus 2.2)

Delta				
Category	Total Numbers	Percentage of People in Hazard Area		
Number in Hazard Area	98	34.5%		
Persons Under 5 Years	6	6.3%		
Persons Under 18 Years	18	18.0%		
Persons 65 Years and Over	10	9.9%		
White	87	88.7%		
Minority	11	11.3%		

Table 2-23: Vulnerable Populations Susceptible to a 100-Year Flood Event in Mound (Source: Hazus 2.2)

Mound				
Category	Total Numbers	Percentage of People in Hazard Area		
Number in Hazard Area	4	21.1%		
Persons Under 5 Years	0	5.3%		
Persons Under 18 Years	1	15.8%		
Persons 65 Years and Over	1	21.1%		
White	3	79.0%		
Minority	1	21.1%		

Table 2-24: Vulnerable Populations Susceptible to a 100-Year Flood Event in Richmond (Source: Hazus 2.2)

Richmond				
Category	Total Numbers	Percentage of People in Hazard Area		
Number in Hazard Area	101	17.5%		
Persons Under 5 Years	6	6.2%		
Persons Under 18 Years	14	13.9%		
Persons 65 Years and Over	30	29.3%		
White	79	78.3%		
Minority	22	21.7%		

Table 2-25: Vulnerable Populations Susceptible to a 100-Year Flood Event in Tallulah (Source: Hazus 2.2)

Tallulah					
Category	Total Numbers	Percentage of People in Hazard Area			
Number in Hazard Area	2,141	29.2%			
Persons Under 5 Years	165	7.7%			
Persons Under 18 Years	450	21.0%			
Persons 65 Years and Over	238	11.1%			
White	460	21.5%			
Minority	1,681	78.5%			

Vulnerability

See Appendix C for parish and municipality buildings that are susceptible to flooding due to proximity within the 100-year floodplain.

Thunderstorms

The term "thunderstorm" is usually used as a catch-all term for several kinds of storms. Here, "thunderstorm" is defined to include any precipitation event in which thunder is heard or lightning is seen. Thunderstorms are often accompanied by heavy rain and strong winds, and depending on conditions, occasionally by hail or snow. Thunderstorms form when humid air masses are heated, which causes them to become convectively unstable. Consequently, the air masses rise. Upon rising, the air masses' water vapor condenses into liquid water and/or deposits directly into ice when they rise sufficiently to cool to the dew-point temperature.

Thunderstorms are classified into four main types (single-cell, multi-cell, squall line, and supercell), depending on the degree of atmospheric instability, the change in wind speed with height (called wind shear), and the degree to which the storm's internal dynamics are coordinated with those of adjacent storms. There is no such interaction for single-cell thunderstorms, but there is significant interaction with clusters of adjacent thunderstorms in multi-cell thunderstorms, and with a linear "chain" of adjacent storms in squall line thunderstorms. Though supercell storms have no significant interactions with other storms, they have very well-organized and self-sustaining internal dynamics, which allows them to be the longest-lived and most severe of all thunderstorms.

The life of a thunderstorm proceeds through three stages: the developing (or cumulus) stage, the mature stage, and the dissipation stage. During the developing stage, the unstable air mass is lifted as an updraft into the atmosphere. This sudden lift rapidly cools the moisture in the air mass, releasing latent heat as condensation and/or deposition occurs, which warms the surrounding environment, thus making it less dense than the surrounding air. This process intensifies the updraft and creates a localized lateral rush of air from all directions into the area beneath the thunderstorm to feed continued updrafts. At the mature stage, the rising air is accompanied by downdrafts caused by the shear of falling rain (if melted completely), or hail, freezing rain, sleet, or snow (if not melted completely). The dissipation stage is characterized by the dominating presence of the downdraft as the hot surface that gave the updrafts their buoyancy is cooled by precipitation. During the dissipation stage, the moisture in the air mass largely empties out.

The Storm Prediction Center, in conjunction with the National Weather Service (NWS), has the ability to issue advisory messages based on forecasts and observations. The following are the advisory messages that may be issued, along with definitions of each:

Severe Thunderstorm Watch: Issued to alert people to the possibility of a severe

thunderstorm developing in the area. Expected time frame

for these storms is three to six hours.

• Severe Thunderstorm Warning: Issued when severe thunderstorms are imminent. This

warning is highly localized and covers parts of one to several

parishes (counties).

A variety of hazards might be produced by thunderstorms, including lightning, hail, tornadoes or waterspouts, flash flooding, and high-speed winds called downbursts. Nevertheless, given the criteria, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) characterizes a thunderstorm as severe when it produces one or more of the following:

- Hail of one inch in diameter or larger
- Wind gusts to 58 mph or greater
- One or more tornadoes

Tornadoes and flooding hazards have been profiled within this report; therefore, for the purpose of thunderstorms, the sub-hazards of hail, high winds, and lightning will be profiled.

Thunderstorms occur throughout Louisiana at all times of the year, although the types and severity of those storms vary greatly depending on a wide variety of atmospheric conditions. Thunderstorms generally occur more frequently during the late spring and early summer when extreme variations exist between ground surface temperatures and upper atmospheric temperatures.

Hazard Description Hailstorms

Hailstorms are severe thunderstorms in which balls or chunks of ice fall along with rain. Hail initially develops in the upper atmosphere as ice crystals that are bounced about by high-velocity updraft winds. The ice crystals grow through deposition of water vapor onto their surface. They then fall partially to a level in the cloud where the temperature exceeds the freezing point, melt partially, and then get caught in another updraft whereupon re-freezing and deposition grows another concentric layer of ice. After several trips up and down the cloud, they develop enough weight to fall. The size of hailstones varies depending on the severity and size of the thunderstorm. Higher surface temperatures generally mean stronger updrafts, which allow more massive hailstones to be supported by updrafts, leaving them suspended longer. This longer suspension time results in larger hailstone sizes. The tables on the next page display the TORRO Hailstorm Intensity Scale, along with a spectrum of hailstone diameters and their everyday equivalents.

Table 2-26: TORRO Hailstorm Intensity Scale

Int	ensity Category	Hail Diameter (mm)	Probable Kinetic Energy	Typical Damage Impacts
Н0	Hard Hail	5	0 - 20	No damage
H1	Potentially Damaging	5 - 15	>20	Slight general damage to plant, crops
H2	Significant	10 - 20	>100	Significant damage to fruit, crops, vegetation
Н3	Severe	20 - 30	>300	Severe damage to fruit and crops, damage to glass and plastic structures, paint and wood scored
H4	Severe	25 - 40	>500	Widespread glass damage, vehicle body work
Н5	Destructive	30 - 50	>800	Wholesale destruction of glass, damage to tiled roofs, significant risk of injuries
Н6	Destructive	40 - 60		Bodywork of grounded aircraft dented, brick walls pitted
H7	Destructive	50 - 75		Severe roof damage, risk of serious injuries
Н8	Destructive	60 - 90		Severe damage to aircraft bodywork
Н9	Super Hailstorms	75 - 100		Extensive structural damage. Risk of severe or even fatal injuries to persons caught in the open
H10	Super Hailstorms	>100		Extensive structural damage. Risk of severe or even fatal injuries to persons caught in the open

Table 2-27: Spectrum of Hailstone Diameters and their Everyday Description (Source: National Weather Service)

Spectrum of Hailstone Diameters					
Hail Diameter Size Description					
1/4"	Pea				
1/2"	Plain M&M				
3/4"	Penny				
7/8"	Nickle				
1" (severe)	Quarter				
1 1/4"	Half Dollar				
1 1/2"	Ping Pong Ball / Walnut				
1 3/4"	Golf Ball				
2"	Hen Egg / Lime				
2 1/2"	Tennis Ball				
2 3/4"	Baseball				
3"	Teacup / Large Apple				
4"	Softball				
4 1/2"	Grapefruit				
4 3/4" – 5"	Computer CD-DVD				

Hailstorms can cause widespread damage to structures, automobiles, and crops. While the damage to individual structures or vehicles is often minor, the cumulative cost to communities, especially across large metropolitan areas, can be quite significant. Hailstorms can also be devastating to crops. Thus, the severity of hailstorms depends on the size of the hailstones, the length of time the storm lasts, and where it occurs.

Hail rarely causes loss of life, although large hailstones can cause bodily injury.

High Winds

In general, high winds can occur in a number of different ways, within and without thunderstorms. The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) distinguishes these as shown in the following table.

Table 2-28: High winds categorized by source, frequency, and duration (Source: Making Critical Facilities Safe from High Wind, FEMA)

(Source: Making Critical Facilities Safe from High Wina, FEMA)					
High Winds Categories					
High Wind Type	Description	Relative Frequency in Louisiana	Relative Maximum Duration in Louisiana		
Straight-line Winds	Wind blowing in straight line; usually associated with intense low-pressure area	High	Few minutes – 1 day		
Downslope Winds	Wind blowing down the slope of a mountain; associated with temperature and pressure gradients	N/A	N/A		
Thunderstorm Winds	Wind blowing due to thunderstorms, and thus associated with temperature and pressure gradients	High (especially in the spring and summer	Few minutes – several hours		
Downbursts	Sudden wind blowing down due to downdraft in a thunderstorm; spreads out horizontally at the ground, possibly forming horizontal vortex rings around the downdraft	Medium-to- High(~5% of all thunderstorms)	~15 – 20 minutes		
Northeaster (nor'easter) Winds	Wind blowing due to cyclonic storm off the east coast of North America; associated with temperature and pressure gradients between the Atlantic and land	N/A	N/A		
Hurricane Winds	Wind blowing in spirals, converging with increasing speed toward eye; associated with temperature and pressure gradients between the Atlantic and Gulf and land	Low-to- Medium	Several days		
Tornado Winds	Violently rotating column of air from base of a thunderstorm to the ground with rapidly decreasing winds at greater distances from center; associated with extreme temperature gradient	Low-to- Medium	Few minutes – few hours		

The only high winds of present concern are thunderstorm winds and downbursts. Straight-line winds are common but are a relatively insignificant hazard (on land) compared to other high winds. Downslope winds are common but relatively insignificant in the hilly areas of Louisiana where they occur. Nor'easters are

cyclonic events that have at most a peripheral effect on Louisiana, and none associated with high winds. Winds associated with hurricanes and tornadoes will be considered in their respective sections.

The following table presents the Beaufort Wind Scale, first developed in 1805 by Sir Francis Beaufort, which aids in determining relative force and wind speed based on the appearance of wind effects.

Table 2-29: Beaufort Wind Scale (Source: NOAA's SPC)

	Beaufort Wind Scale					
Force Wind WMO Appearance of Wind Effects on Land						
			Calm, smoke rises vertically			
1	1-3	Light Air	Smoke drift indicates wind direction, still wind vanes			
2	4-7	Light Breeze	Wind felt on face, leaves rustle, vanes begin to move			
3	8-12	Gentle Breeze	Leaves and small twigs constantly moving, light flags extended			
4	13-17	Moderate Breeze	Dust, leaves, and loose paper lifted, small tree branches move			
5	18-24	Fresh Breeze	Small trees in leaf begin to sway			
6	25-30	Strong Breeze	Larger tree branches moving, whistling in wires			
7	31-38	Near Gale	Whole trees moving, resistance felt walking against wind			
8	39-46	Gale	Twigs breaking off trees, generally impedes progress			
9	47-54	Strong Gale	Slight structural damage occurs, slate blows off roofs			
10	55-63	Storm	Seldom experienced on land, trees broken or uprooted, "considerable structural damage"			
11	54-73	Violent Storm	N/A			
12	74+	Hurricane	N/A			

Major damage directly caused by thunderstorm winds is relatively rare, while minor damage is common and pervasive, and most noticeable when it contributes to power outages. These power outages can have major negative impacts such as increased tendency for traffic accidents, loss of revenue for businesses, increased vulnerability to fire, food spoilage, and other losses that might be sustained by a loss of power. Power outages may pose a health risk for those requiring electric medical equipment and/or air conditioning.

Lightning

Lightning is a natural electrical discharge in the atmosphere that is a by-product of thunderstorms. Every thunderstorm produces lightning. There are three primary types of lightning: intra-cloud, cloud-to-ground, and cloud-to-cloud. Cloud-to-ground lightning has the potential to cause the most damage to property and crops, while also posing as a health risk to the populace in the area of the strike.

Damage caused by lightning is usually to homes or businesses. These strikes have the ability to damage electrical equipment inside the home or business, and can also ignite a fire that could destroy homes or crops.

Lightning continues to be one of the top three storm-related killers in the United States per FEMA, but it also has the ability to cause negative long-term health effects to the individual that is struck. The following table outlines the lightning activity level that is a measurement of lightning activity.

LAL	Cloud and Storm Development	Lightning Strikes/15 Min
1	No thunderstorms.	-
2	Cumulus clouds are common but only a few reach the towering cumulus stage. A single thunderstorm must be confirmed in the observation area. The clouds produce mainly virga, but light rain will occasionally reach the ground. Lightning is very infrequent.	1-8
3	Towering cumulus covers less than two-tenths of the sky. Thunderstorms are few, but two to three must occur within the observation. Light to moderate rain will reach the ground, and lightning is infrequent.	9-15
4	Towering cumulus covers two to three-tenths of the sky. Thunderstorms are scattered and more than three must occur within the observation area. Moderate rain is common and lightning is frequent.	16-25
5	Towering cumulus and thunderstorms are numerous. They cover more than three-tenths and occasionally obscure the sky. Rain is moderate to heavy and lightning is frequent.	>25
6	Similar to LAL 3 except thunderstorms are dry	

Hazard Profile Hailstorms Location

Because hailstorms are a climatological based hazard, the entire planning area for Madison Parish is equally at risk for hailstorms.

Previous Occurrences / Extents

The SHELDUS database reports 12 significant hailstorm events occurring within the boundaries of Madison Parish between the years of 1990 - 2015. According to the National Climatic Data Center, hailstorm diameters experienced in Madison Parish have ranged from 0.75 inches to 4.25 inches since 1990. The most frequently recorded hail size has been 1 inch diameters. *Figure 2-16* displays the density of hailstorms in Madison Parish and adjacent parishes. Based on the National Climatic Data Center dataset, Table 2-31 provides an overview of hailstorms that have impacted the Madison Parish planning area since 2010. Madison Parish can expect to experience hail up to 4.25 inches in diameter for future events.

Table 2-31: Previous Occurrences of Hailstorms in Madison Parish (Source: NCDC)

Date	Recorded Hail Size (inches)	Location
April 23, 2010	1	BARNES
March 27, 2011	1	QUIMBY
March 2, 2012	1	TENDAL ARPT
May 21, 2012	1	MOUND
August 9, 2012	1.75	ALTOONA
April 22, 2015	1	WAVERLY

Since 2010, there have been no significant hailstorm events in the incorporated areas of Tallulah, Delta, and Richmond.




Figure 2-16: Density of Hailstorms by Diameter from 1950 - 2012 (Source: State of Louisiana Hazard Mitigation Plan 2014)

Frequency

Based on historical data from SHELDUS for the past 25 years, it is estimated the probability of occurrence for a significant hailstorm event is approximately 48%. The probability was determined based on a review of significant hail data that has caused damages in the last 25 years, in which Madison Parish has had 12 recorded events.

Estimated Potential Losses

According to the SHELDUS database, property damage due to hailstorms in Madison Parish have totaled approximately \$1,344,807 since 1990. To estimate the potential losses of a hail event on an annual basis, the total damages recorded for hail events was divided by the total number of years of available hail data in SHELDUS (1990 – 2015). This provides an annual estimated potential loss of \$53,792. Table 2-32 provides an estimate of potential property losses for Madison Parish.

Table 2-32: Estimated Annual Property Losses in Madison Parish from Hailstorms

Estimated Annual Potential Losses from Hailstorms for Madison Parish					
Unincorporated Madison Parish (32.1% of Population)	Delta (2.3% of Population)	Mound (0.2% of Population)	Richmond (4.8% of Population)	Tallulah (60.7% of Population)	
\$17,250	\$1,263	\$85	\$2,567	\$32,628	

There have been no deaths or injuries due to hailstorms from 1990 – 2015 in Madison Parish.

Vulnerability

See Appendix C for parish and municipality buildings that are susceptible to hailstorms.

High Winds *Location*

Because high winds are a climatological based hazard, the entire planning area for Madison Parish is equally at risk for high winds.

Previous Occurrences / Extents

The SHELDUS database reports a total of 77 thunderstorm wind events occurring within the boundaries of Madison Parish between the years of 1990 to 2015. The significant thunderstorm wind events experienced in Madison Parish have ranged in wind speed from 40 mph to 86 mph. Madison Parish can expect to receive thunderstorm winds up to 86 mph for future high wind events. The table on the next page provides an overview of significant high wind events over the last five years.

Table 2-33: Previous Occurrences for Thunderstorm High Wind Events

Location Date Recorded Wind Speeds (mph) Property Damage Crop Damage TALLULAH April 24, 2010 61 \$54,348 \$0 TALLULAH April 26, 2011 58 \$1,054 \$0 QUEBEC April 27, 2011 75 \$368,793 \$0 QUIMBY April 27, 2011 63 \$7,376 \$0 TALLULAH June 7, 2011 58 \$52,685 \$0 TENDAL August 13, 2011 58 \$15,805 \$0 TALLULAH August 13, 2011 63 \$21,074 \$0 MOUND August 13, 2011 58 \$10,537 \$0 MOUND August 13, 2011 48 \$527 \$0 QUIMBY March 2, 2012 69 \$129,041 \$0 QUEBEC July 5, 2012 58 \$5,162 \$0 ALTOONA August 9, 2012 63 \$20,647 \$0 ALTOONA December 20, 2012 58 \$1,032 \$0 TALLA BENA <
TALLULAH April 26, 2011 58 \$1,054 \$0 QUEBEC April 27, 2011 75 \$368,793 \$0 QUIMBY April 27, 2011 63 \$7,376 \$0 TALLULAH June 7, 2011 58 \$52,685 \$0 TENDAL August 13, 2011 58 \$15,805 \$0 TALLULAH August 13, 2011 63 \$21,074 \$0 MOUND August 13, 2011 58 \$10,537 \$0 MOUND August 13, 2011 48 \$527 \$0 QUIMBY March 2, 2012 69 \$129,041 \$0 QUEBEC July 5, 2012 58 \$5,162 \$0 ALTOONA August 9, 2012 63 \$20,647 \$0 ALTOONA December 20, 2012 58 \$1,032 \$0 TALLA BENA February 10, 2013 58 \$5,087 \$0 BARNES May 21, 2013 58 \$6,105 \$0 TALLULAH April 24, 2014 <td< th=""></td<>
QUEBEC April 27, 2011 75 \$368,793 \$0 QUIMBY April 27, 2011 63 \$7,376 \$0 TALLULAH June 7, 2011 58 \$52,685 \$0 TENDAL August 13, 2011 58 \$15,805 \$0 TALLULAH August 13, 2011 63 \$21,074 \$0 MOUND August 13, 2011 58 \$10,537 \$0 MOUND August 13, 2011 48 \$527 \$0 QUIMBY March 2, 2012 69 \$129,041 \$0 QUEBEC July 5, 2012 58 \$5,162 \$0 ALTOONA August 9, 2012 63 \$20,647 \$0 ALTOONA December 20, 2012 58 \$1,032 \$0 TALLA BENA February 10, 2013 58 \$5,087 \$0 BARNES May 21, 2013 58 \$6,105 \$0 TALLULAH SCOTT ARPT October 31, 2013 58 \$15,261 \$0 TALLULAH April 28, 2014
QUIMBY April 27, 2011 63 \$7,376 \$0 TALLULAH June 7, 2011 58 \$52,685 \$0 TENDAL August 13, 2011 58 \$15,805 \$0 TALLULAH August 13, 2011 63 \$21,074 \$0 MOUND August 13, 2011 58 \$10,537 \$0 MOUND August 13, 2011 48 \$527 \$0 QUIMBY March 2, 2012 69 \$129,041 \$0 QUEBEC July 5, 2012 58 \$5,162 \$0 ALTOONA August 9, 2012 63 \$20,647 \$0 ALTOONA December 20, 2012 58 \$1,032 \$0 TALLA BENA February 10, 2013 58 \$5,087 \$0 BARNES May 21, 2013 58 \$6,105 \$0 TALLULAH SCOTT ARPT October 31, 2013 58 \$15,261 \$0 TALLULAH April 28, 2014 69 \$225,267 \$0 TALLULAH October 13, 201
TALLULAH June 7, 2011 58 \$52,685 \$0 TENDAL August 13, 2011 58 \$15,805 \$0 TALLULAH August 13, 2011 63 \$21,074 \$0 MOUND August 13, 2011 58 \$10,537 \$0 MOUND August 13, 2011 48 \$527 \$0 QUIMBY March 2, 2012 69 \$129,041 \$0 QUEBEC July 5, 2012 58 \$5,162 \$0 ALTOONA August 9, 2012 63 \$20,647 \$0 ALTOONA December 20, 2012 58 \$1,032 \$0 TALLA BENA February 10, 2013 58 \$5,087 \$0 BARNES May 21, 2013 58 \$6,105 \$0 TALLULAH SCOTT ARPT October 31, 2013 58 \$15,261 \$0 TALLULAH April 14, 2014 61 \$3,004 \$0 DELTA April 28, 2014 69 \$225,267 \$0 TALLULAH October 13, 2014
TENDAL August 13, 2011 58 \$15,805 \$0 TALLULAH August 13, 2011 63 \$21,074 \$0 MOUND August 13, 2011 58 \$10,537 \$0 MOUND August 13, 2011 48 \$527 \$0 QUIMBY March 2, 2012 69 \$129,041 \$0 QUEBEC July 5, 2012 58 \$5,162 \$0 ALTOONA August 9, 2012 63 \$20,647 \$0 ALTOONA December 20, 2012 58 \$1,032 \$0 TALLA BENA February 10, 2013 58 \$5,087 \$0 BARNES May 21, 2013 58 \$6,105 \$0 TALLULAH SCOTT ARPT October 31, 2013 58 \$15,261 \$0 TALLULAH April 28, 2014 61 \$3,004 \$0 DELTA April 28, 2014 69 \$225,267 \$0 TALLULAH October 13, 2014 64 \$7,008 \$0 AFTON October 13, 2014
TALLULAH August 13, 2011 63 \$21,074 \$0 MOUND August 13, 2011 58 \$10,537 \$0 MOUND August 13, 2011 48 \$527 \$0 QUIMBY March 2, 2012 69 \$129,041 \$0 QUEBEC July 5, 2012 58 \$5,162 \$0 ALTOONA August 9, 2012 63 \$20,647 \$0 ALTOONA December 20, 2012 58 \$1,032 \$0 TALLA BENA February 10, 2013 58 \$5,087 \$0 BARNES May 21, 2013 58 \$6,105 \$0 TALLULAH SCOTT ARPT October 31, 2013 58 \$15,261 \$0 TALLULAH April 14, 2014 61 \$3,004 \$0 DELTA April 28, 2014 69 \$225,267 \$0 TALLULAH October 13, 2014 64 \$7,008 \$0 AFTON October 13, 2014 62 \$5,006 \$0
MOUND August 13, 2011 58 \$10,537 \$0 MOUND August 13, 2011 48 \$527 \$0 QUIMBY March 2, 2012 69 \$129,041 \$0 QUEBEC July 5, 2012 58 \$5,162 \$0 ALTOONA August 9, 2012 63 \$20,647 \$0 ALTOONA December 20, 2012 58 \$1,032 \$0 TALLA BENA February 10, 2013 58 \$5,087 \$0 BARNES May 21, 2013 58 \$6,105 \$0 TALLULAH SCOTT ARPT October 31, 2013 58 \$15,261 \$0 TALLULAH April 14, 2014 61 \$3,004 \$0 DELTA April 28, 2014 69 \$225,267 \$0 TALLULAH October 13, 2014 64 \$7,008 \$0 AFTON October 13, 2014 62 \$5,006 \$0
MOUND August 13, 2011 48 \$527 \$0 QUIMBY March 2, 2012 69 \$129,041 \$0 QUEBEC July 5, 2012 58 \$5,162 \$0 ALTOONA August 9, 2012 63 \$20,647 \$0 ALTOONA December 20, 2012 58 \$1,032 \$0 TALLA BENA February 10, 2013 58 \$5,087 \$0 BARNES May 21, 2013 58 \$6,105 \$0 TALLULAH SCOTT ARPT October 31, 2013 58 \$15,261 \$0 TALLULAH April 14, 2014 61 \$3,004 \$0 DELTA April 28, 2014 69 \$225,267 \$0 TALLULAH October 13, 2014 64 \$7,008 \$0 AFTON October 13, 2014 62 \$5,006 \$0
QUIMBY March 2, 2012 69 \$129,041 \$0 QUEBEC July 5, 2012 58 \$5,162 \$0 ALTOONA August 9, 2012 63 \$20,647 \$0 ALTOONA December 20, 2012 58 \$1,032 \$0 TALLA BENA February 10, 2013 58 \$5,087 \$0 BARNES May 21, 2013 58 \$6,105 \$0 TALLULAH SCOTT ARPT October 31, 2013 58 \$15,261 \$0 TALLULAH April 14, 2014 61 \$3,004 \$0 DELTA April 28, 2014 69 \$225,267 \$0 TALLULAH October 13, 2014 64 \$7,008 \$0 AFTON October 13, 2014 62 \$5,006 \$0
QUEBEC July 5, 2012 58 \$5,162 \$0 ALTOONA August 9, 2012 63 \$20,647 \$0 ALTOONA December 20, 2012 58 \$1,032 \$0 TALLA BENA February 10, 2013 58 \$5,087 \$0 BARNES May 21, 2013 58 \$6,105 \$0 TALLULAH SCOTT ARPT October 31, 2013 58 \$15,261 \$0 TALLULAH April 14, 2014 61 \$3,004 \$0 DELTA April 28, 2014 69 \$225,267 \$0 TALLULAH October 13, 2014 64 \$7,008 \$0 AFTON October 13, 2014 62 \$5,006 \$0
ALTOONA August 9, 2012 63 \$20,647 \$0 ALTOONA December 20, 2012 58 \$1,032 \$0 TALLA BENA February 10, 2013 58 \$5,087 \$0 BARNES May 21, 2013 58 \$6,105 \$0 TALLULAH SCOTT ARPT October 31, 2013 58 \$15,261 \$0 TALLULAH April 14, 2014 61 \$3,004 \$0 DELTA April 28, 2014 69 \$225,267 \$0 TALLULAH October 13, 2014 64 \$7,008 \$0 AFTON October 13, 2014 62 \$5,006 \$0
ALTOONA December 20, 2012 58 \$1,032 \$0 TALLA BENA February 10, 2013 58 \$5,087 \$0 BARNES May 21, 2013 58 \$6,105 \$0 TALLULAH SCOTT ARPT October 31, 2013 58 \$15,261 \$0 TALLULAH April 14, 2014 61 \$3,004 \$0 DELTA April 28, 2014 69 \$225,267 \$0 TALLULAH October 13, 2014 64 \$7,008 \$0 AFTON October 13, 2014 62 \$5,006 \$0
TALLA BENA February 10, 2013 58 \$5,087 \$0 BARNES May 21, 2013 58 \$6,105 \$0 TALLULAH SCOTT ARPT October 31, 2013 58 \$15,261 \$0 TALLULAH April 14, 2014 61 \$3,004 \$0 DELTA April 28, 2014 69 \$225,267 \$0 TALLULAH October 13, 2014 64 \$7,008 \$0 AFTON October 13, 2014 62 \$5,006 \$0
BARNES May 21, 2013 58 \$6,105 \$0 TALLULAH SCOTT ARPT October 31, 2013 58 \$15,261 \$0 TALLULAH April 14, 2014 61 \$3,004 \$0 DELTA April 28, 2014 69 \$225,267 \$0 TALLULAH October 13, 2014 64 \$7,008 \$0 AFTON October 13, 2014 62 \$5,006 \$0
TALLULAH SCOTT ARPT October 31, 2013 58 \$15,261 \$0 TALLULAH April 14, 2014 61 \$3,004 \$0 DELTA April 28, 2014 69 \$225,267 \$0 TALLULAH October 13, 2014 64 \$7,008 \$0 AFTON October 13, 2014 62 \$5,006 \$0
ARPT October 31, 2013 58 \$15,261 \$0 TALLULAH April 14, 2014 61 \$3,004 \$0 DELTA April 28, 2014 69 \$225,267 \$0 TALLULAH October 13, 2014 64 \$7,008 \$0 AFTON October 13, 2014 62 \$5,006 \$0
DELTA April 28, 2014 69 \$225,267 \$0 TALLULAH October 13, 2014 64 \$7,008 \$0 AFTON October 13, 2014 62 \$5,006 \$0
TALLULAH October 13, 2014 64 \$7,008 \$0 AFTON October 13, 2014 62 \$5,006 \$0
AFTON October 13, 2014 62 \$5,006 \$0
TALLA DENIA A 1100 2045 TO \$40 200 \$40
TALLA BENA April 22, 2015 58 \$10,000 \$0
TALLA BENA April 22, 2015 69 \$30,000 \$0
TALLULAH ARPT May 25, 2015 49 \$3,000 \$0
BARNES June 24, 2015 58 \$3,000 \$0
COLEMAN August 11, 2015 40 \$2,000 \$0
COLEMAN October 9, 2015 63 \$15,000 \$0
TALLULAH November 17, 2015 58 \$7,000 \$0
ENOKA December 13, 2015 63 \$20,000 \$0

Since 2010, there have been no significant high wind event in the incorporated area of Richmond.

Frequency

High winds are a fairly common occurrence within Madison Parish, with an annual chance of occurrence calculated at 100%.

Estimated Potential Losses

Since 1990, there have been 77 significant wind events that have resulted in property damages according to the SHELDUS database. The total property damages associated with those storms have totaled \$1,234,285. To estimate the potential losses of a wind event on an annual basis, the total damages recorded for wind events was divided by the total number of years of available wind data in SHELDUS (1990 - 2015). This provides an annual estimated potential loss of \$49,371. The following table provides an estimate of potential property losses for Madison Parish:

Table 2-34: Estimated Annual Property Losses in Madison Parish Resulting from High Winds

Estimated Annual Potential Losses from Thunderstorm Winds for Madison Parish					
Unincorporated	Delta	Mound	Richmond	Tallulah	
Madison Parish	(2.3% of	(0.2% of	(4.8% of	(60.7% of	
(32.1% of Population)	Population)	Population)	Population)	Population)	
\$15,832	\$1,159	\$78	\$2,356	\$29,946	

There have been no reported injuries or fatalities as a result of a thunderstorm wind event over the 25-year record.

Vulnerability

See Appendix C for parish and municipality buildings that are susceptible to high winds.

Lightning

Location

Like hail and high winds, lightning is a climatological based hazard and has the same probability of occurring throughout the entire planning area for Madison Parish.

Previous Occurrences / Extents

There have been no significant lightning events in unincorporated Madison Parish or the jurisdictions of Delta, Mound, Richmond, and Tallulah between the years of 1990 - 2015. The SHELDUS and NCDC database only record lightning events that cause death, injuries, crop damage, and/or property damage, so these numbers do not accurately reflect the number of lightning events in Madison Parish, which occur on a nearly monthly basis. The planning area can expect to have a lightning density of 11-12 flashes per sq. mile per year.

Since 2010, there have been no lightning events that have caused property damage or loss of life in unincorporated Madison Parish or the jurisdictions of Delta, Mound, Richmond, and Tallulah.




Figure 2-17: Lightning Density Reports for Madison Parish

Frequency

Lightning can strike anywhere and is produced by every thunderstorm, so the chance of lightning occurring in Madison Parish is high. However, lightning that meets the definition that is used by SHELDUS and the NCDC that actually results in damages to property and injury or death is a less likely event. According to SHELDUS, there have been no lightning events that have caused property damages or injuries over the last 25 years, establishing an annual probability of less than 1%.

Estimated Potential Losses

Since 1990, there have been no significant lightning events that have resulted in recorded property damages in unincorporated Madison Parish or the jurisdictions of Delta, Mound, Richmond, and Tallulah according to the SHELDUS and NCDC database. Because there have been no significant lightning events, the total property damages associated with lightning events totaled \$0. To estimate the potential losses of a lightning event on an annual basis, the total damages recorded for lightning events was divided by the total number of years of available major lightning strike data in SHELDUS (1990 – 2015). At this time, the annual estimated potential losses for lightning are unknown. However, this hazard will be evaluated as events occur.

There have been no reported injuries or fatalities in Madison Parish as a result of a lightning strikes over the 25-year record.

Vulnerability

See Appendix C for parish and municipality building exposure to lightning hazards.

Tornadoes

Tornadoes (also called twisters or cyclones) are rapidly rotating funnels of wind extending between storm clouds and the ground. For their size, tornadoes are the most severe storms, and 70% of the world's reported tornadoes occur within the continental United States, making them one of the most significant hazards Americans face. Tornadoes and waterspouts form during severe weather events, such as thunderstorms and hurricanes, when cold air overrides a layer of warm air, causing the warm air to rise rapidly. This usually results in a counterclockwise rotation in the northern hemisphere. The updraft of air in tornadoes always rotates because of wind shear (differing speeds of moving air at various heights), and it can rotate in either a clockwise or counterclockwise direction; clockwise rotations (in the northern hemisphere) will sustain the system, at least until other forces cause it to die seconds to minutes later.

Since February 1, 2007, the Enhanced Fujita (EF) Scale has been used to classify tornado intensity. The EF Scale classifies tornadoes based on their damage pattern rather than wind speed; wind speed is then derived and estimated. This contrasts with the Saffir-Simpson scale used for hurricane classification, which is based on measured wind speed. *Table 2-35* shows the EF scale in comparison with the old Fujita (F) Scale, which was used prior to February 1, 2007. When discussing past tornadoes, the scale used at the time of the hazard is used. Damage and adjustment between scales can be made using the following tables.

Enhanced Fujita Scale EF0 EF1 EF2 EF3 EF4 EF5 Wind Speed 65-85 86-110 111-135 136-165 166-200 >200 (mph) **Fujita Scale** F0 F1 F2 F3 F4 F5 <73 73-112 113-157 158-206 207-260 >261

Table 2-35: Comparison of the Enhanced Fujita (EF) Scale to the Fujita (F) Scale

Table 2-36: Fr	iiita and Enhanc	ed Fuiita Torna	do Damage Scale
1 4016 2-30.16	IIILU UIIU LIIIIUIIC	eu i uiitu i oi iiu	uu Duilluue Stale

Scale	Typical Damage
FO/EFO	Light damage. Some damage to chimneys; branches broken off trees; shallow-rooted
10/110	trees pushed over; sign boards damaged.
F1/EF1	Moderate damage. Peels surface off roofs; mobile homes pushed off foundations or
F1/CF1	overturned; moving autos blown off roads.
F2/EF2	Considerable damage. Roofs torn off frame houses; mobile homes demolished; boxcars
FZ/EFZ	overturned; light-object missiles generated; cars lifted off ground.
F3/EF3	Severe damage. Roofs and some walls torn of well-constructed houses; trains overturned;
F3/EF3	most trees in forest uprooted; heavy cars lifted off the ground and thrown.
F4/EF4	Devastating damage. Well-constructed houses leveled; structures with weak foundations
F4/EF4	blown away some distance; cars thrown and large missiles generated.
	Incredible damage. Strong frame houses leveled off foundations and swept away;
F5/EF5	automobile-sized missiles fly through the air in excess of 100 meters (109 yards); trees
	debarked; incredible phenomena will occur.

The National Weather Service (NWS) has the ability to issue advisory messages based on forecasts and observations. The following are the advisory messages that may be issued, along with definitions of each:

Tornado Watch: Issued to alert people to the possibility of a tornado

developing in the area. A tornado has not been spotted but

the conditions are favorable for tornadoes to occur.

• Tornado Warning: Issued when a tornado has been spotted or when

radar identifies a distinctive "hook-shaped" area within a

thunderstorm line.

Structures within the direct path of a tornado vortex are often reduced to rubble. Structures adjacent to the tornado's path are often severely damaged by high winds flowing into the tornado vortex, known as inflow winds. It is here, adjacent to the tornado's path, that the building type and construction techniques are critical to the structure's survival. Although tornadoes strike at random, making all buildings vulnerable, mobile homes, homes with crawlspaces, and buildings with large spans are more likely to suffer damage.

The major health hazard from tornadoes is physical injury from flying debris, or being in a collapsed building or mobile home. Within a building, flying debris or projectiles are generally stopped by interior walls. However, if a building has no partitions, any glass, brick, or other debris blown into the interior is life threatening. Following a tornado, damaged buildings are a potential health hazard due to instability, electrical system damage, and gas leaks. Sewage and water lines may also be damaged.

Peak tornado activity in Louisiana occurs during the spring, as it does in the rest of the United States. Nearly one-third of observed tornadoes in the United States occur during April. About half of those in Louisiana, including many of the strongest, occur between March and June. Fall and winter tornadoes are less frequent, but the distribution of tornadoes throughout the year is more uniform in Louisiana than in locations farther north.

Location

While there is a significant tornado record in Madison Parish with actual locations, tornadoes in general are a climatological based hazard and have the same approximate probability of occurring in Madison Parish as all of its jurisdictions. Because a tornado has a similar probability of striking anywhere within the planning area for Madison Parish, all jurisdictions are equally at risk for tornadoes.

Previous Occurrences / Extents

SHELDUS reports a total of 18 tornadoes or waterspouts occurring within the boundaries of Madison Parish between the years of 1990 - 2015. The tornadoes experienced in Madison Parish have from ranged EFO to EF3 on the EF scale, and ranged from FO to F3 on the F scale. The worst case scenario Madison Parish can expect in the future is an EF3 tornado.

The tornado that caused the most damage to property occurred on April 24, 2010. The tornado started six miles west of Tallulah where it downed a few high tension truss towers on the south end of I-20. As it crossed the interstate, a tractor-trailer was flipped over and thrown opposite of the initial traveling direction. The driver of the truck was injured. The tornado then traveled northeast and damaged several homes, vehicles, and tractors. The tornado continued into Mississippi before dissipating.

Table 2-37: Historical Tornadoes in Madison Parish with Locations from 1990 - 2015

Date	Impacts	Property Damage	Location	Magnitude	
December 21, 1990	0.5 mile path with a width of 75 yards. A weaker tornado touched down just southwest of Tallulah with no significant damage.	yards. A weaker tornado thed down just southwest allulah with no significant damage.			
December 21, 1990	5.0 mile path with a width of 150 yards. The tornado was sighted south of Tallulah and lifted off the ground.	ith a width of tornado was \$4,534 TALLULA			
December 21, 1990	5.0 mile path with a width of 300 yards. Destroyed three homes, damaged several buildings, and injured three persons.	\$453,361	THOMASTOWN	F2	
December 21, 1990	5.0 mile path with a width of 100 yards. The tornado was sighted south of Tallulah and moved northeast for about 5 miles before lifting. Damage was confined mainly to downed trees and power lines.	.0 mile path with a width of LOO yards. The tornado was ighted south of Tallulah and noved northeast for about 5 niles before lifting. Damage was confined mainly to downed trees and power		F1	
December 21, 1990	5.0 mile path with a width of 100 yards. Destroyed a house ecember 21, just north of Thomastown.		TALLULAH	F2	
December 21, 1990	10.0 mile path with a width of 150 yards. The tornado touched down south of Tallulah and moved northeast before retreating into the clouds.	\$4,534	TALLULAH	F1	
December 21, 1990	5.0 mile path with a width of 250 yards. Touched down in extreme-eastern Madison \$45,336		DELTA	F2	
November 19, 1991	1.0 mile path with a width of 200 yards. Tore down five 500kw high transmission towers and power lines. Also destroyed a mobile home, injuring its occupant.	\$435,053	WAVERLY	F1	
August 26, 1992	1.5 mile path with a width of 40 yards. Heavily damaged a	\$42,234	MOUND	F1	

Date	Impacts	Property Damage	Location	Magnitude
	barn, rolled over a farm tractor, and damaged four homes.			
August 26, 1992	1.5 mile path with a width of 40 yards. Damaged two \$42,234 TALLULAH homes near Tallulah.		TALLULAH	F1
November 21, 1992	38.0 mile path with a width of 200 yards. Destroyed two mobile homes and three barns. Heavily damaged two other homes and injured two people.	\$4,223,396	TALLULAH	F3
April 22, 1996	15.0 mile path with a width of 440 yards. Twenty to thirty structures were damaged or destroyed. Weaker structures were destroyed while houses suffered major damage. One injury occurred when a man was thrown out of his barn and landed in a field.	5.0 mile path with a width of 440 yards. Twenty to thirty structures were damaged or lestroyed. Weaker structures were destroyed while houses suffered major damage. One injury occurred when a man was thrown out of his barn		F1
January 21, 1999	10.0 mile path with a width of 100 yards. Eight 18 wheelers and several cars were blown off of Interstate 20 east of Tallulah. Five power transmission towners were toppled. A large road sign and a television antenna were bent over by winds. Shingles were removed from a motel roof. Part of a metal awning was torn off of a gas station roof.	\$284,534	TALLULAH	F2
November 24, 2001	0.5 mile path with a width of 50 yards. A shed was blown away.	\$1,339	AFTON	F1
March 13, 2005	1.5 mile path with a width of 50 yards. One mobile home was destroyed and another had a piece of its roof torn off.	ile home another \$60,680 TALLULAH		FO
March 13, 2005	1.0 mile path with a width of 59 yards. Damaged two structures, each of which had a portion of tin roof peeled off.	\$24,272	MOUND	F1

M	A	D	IS	Ol	N	Al	RI	S	

Date	Impacts	Property Damage	Location	Magnitude
September 25, 2005	4.0 mile path with a width of 75 yards. An outbuilding was blown over and tin was scattered across the field. Tin and parts of a billboard were scattered in a field along with snapped tree branches.	\$30,340	TALLULAH	FO
April 24, 2010	12.35 mile track with a width of 1500 yards. A tractor trailer was flipped over and thrown opposite of the initial traveling direction, injuring the driver. Heavily damaged several vehicles, homes, and tractors before moving into Mississippi.	\$38,043,415	QUEBEC	EF3

The incorporated areas of Delta, Mound, Richmond, and Tallulah have not experienced a tornado event from 2010 to the present. Since 2011, the year in which the last update to this hazard mitigation plan was written, Madison Parish has had no tornadoes touchdown.

Frequency / Probability

Tornadoes are a sporadic occurrence within Madison Parish, with an annual chance of occurrence calculated at 72% based on the records for the past 25 years (1990 - 2015). The figure on the next page displays the density of tornado touch downs in Madison Parish and neighboring parishes.




Figure 2-18: Location and Density of Tornadoes to Touch Down in Madison Parish (Source: NOAA/SPC Severe Weather Database)

Estimated Potential Losses

According to the SHELDUS database, there have been 18 tornadoes that have caused some level of property damage. The total damage from the actual claims for property is \$39,649,911, with an average cost of \$2,202,773 per tornado strike. When annualizing the total cost over the 25-year record, total annual loses based on tornadoes are estimated to be \$1,585,996. To provide an estimated annual estimated potential loss per jurisdiction, the 2010 Census population was used to assign the estimated potential losses proportionally across the jurisdictions. Based on the 2010 Census data, the following table provides an annual estimate of potential losses for Madison Parish.

Table 2-38: Estimated Annual Losses from Tornadoes in Madison Parish

Estimated Annual Potential Losses from Tornadoes for Madison Parish							
Unincorporated Delta Mound Richmond Tallulah							
Madison Parish	(2.3% of	(0.2% of	(4.8% of	(60.7% of			
(32.1% of Population)	Population)	Population)	Population)	Population			
\$508,600	\$37,247	\$2,492	\$75,674	\$961,985			

Table 2-39 presents an analysis of building exposure that is susceptible to tornadoes by general occupancy type for Madison Parish, along with the percentage of building stock that are mobile homes.

Table 2-39: Building Exposure by General Occupancy Type for Tornadoes in Madison Parish (Source: FEMA's Hazus 2.2)

Building Exposure by General Occupancy Type for Tornadoes Exposure Types (\$1,000)							
Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Agricultural	Religion	Government	Education	Mobile Homes (%)
1,126,882	303,598	49,597	24,162	43,900	16,030	25,342	16.8%

The parish has suffered through a total of six days in which tornadoes or waterspouts have accounted for 28 injuries and no fatalities during this 25-year period (Table 2-40). The average number of injuries per event for Madison Parish is 1.56 per tornado, with an average of 1.12 per year for the 25-year period.

Table 2-40: Tornadoes in Madison Parish by Magnitude that Caused Injuries or Deaths

Date	Magnitude	Deaths	Injuries
December 21, 1990	F2	0	3
December 21, 1990	F2	0	4
November 19, 1991	F1	0	1
November 21, 1992	F3	0	2
April 22, 1996	F2	0	1
April 24, 2010	EF3	0	16

In assessing the overall risk to population, the most vulnerable population throughout the parish are those residing in manufacturing housing. Approximately 16.8% of all housing in Madison Parish consists of manufactured housing. Based on location data collected in a previous hazard mitigation project, there are no known locations where manufactured housing is concentrated.

Manufactured housing is more likely to sustain damage from a tornado than any other residential structure. However, this does not influence the risk associated with a tornado event since they strike at random, making all structures and population within the planning area equally vulnerable.

Vulnerability

See Appendix C for parish and municipality building exposure to tornado hazards.

Tropical Cyclones

Tropical cyclones are among the worst hazards that Louisiana faces. These spinning, low-pressure air masses draw surface air into their centers and attain strength ranging from weak tropical waves to the most intense hurricanes. Usually, these storms begin as clusters of oceanic thunderstorms off the western coast of Africa, moving westward in the trade wind flow. The spinning of these thunderstorm clusters begins because of the formation of low pressure in a perturbation in the westerly motion of the storms associated with differential impacts of the Earth's rotation. The west-moving, counterclockwise-spinning collection of storms, now called a tropical disturbance, may then gather strength as it draws humid air toward its low-pressure center. This results in the formation of a tropical depression (defined when the maximum sustained surface wind speed is 38 mph or less), then a Tropical Cyclone (when the maximum sustained surface wind ranges from 39 mph to 73 mph), and finally a hurricane (when the maximum sustained surface wind speeds exceed 73 mph). On the next page, the table presents the Saffir-Simpson Hurricane Wind Scale, which categorizes tropical cyclones based on sustained winds.

Table 2-41: Saffir-Simpson Hurricane Wind Scale

	Saffir-Simpson Hurricane Wind Scale					
Category	Sustained Winds	Pressure	Types of Damage Due to Winds			
Tropical Depression	<39 mph	N/A	N/A			
Tropical Cyclone	39-73 mph	N/A	N/A			
1	74-95 mph	>14.2 psi	Very dangerous winds will produce some damage. Well-constructed frame homes could have damage to roof, shingles, vinyl siding, and gutters. Large branches of trees will snap and shallow-rooted trees may be toppled, especially after the soil becomes waterlogged. Extensive damage to power lines and poles will likely result in power outages that could last several days.			
2	96-110 mph	14-14.2 psi	Extremely dangerous winds will cause extensive damage. Well-constructed frame homes could sustain major roof and siding damage. Many shallow-rooted trees will be snapped or uprooted, especially after the soil becomes waterlogged, and block numerous roads. Near total power loss is expected, with outages that could last from several days to weeks.			
3	111-129 mph	13.7 -14 psi	Devastating damage will occur. Well-built framed homes may incur major damage or removal of roof decking and gable ends. Many trees will be snapped or uprooted, especially after the soil becomes waterlogged, blocking numerous roads. Electricity and water may be unavailable for several days to weeks after the storm passes.			
4	130-156 mph	13.3-13.7 psi	Catastrophic damage will occur. Well-built framed homes can sustain severe damage with loss of most of the roof structure and/or some exterior walls. Most trees will be snapped or uprooted, especially after the soil becomes waterlogged, and power poles downed. Fallen trees and power poles will isolate residential areas. Power outages will last weeks to possibly months. Most of the area will be uninhabitable for weeks or months.			
5	157 mph or higher	<13.7 psi	Catastrophic damage will occur. A high percentage of framed homes will be destroyed, with total roof failure and wall collapse. Fallen trees and power poles will isolate residential areas. Power outages will last for weeks to possibly months. Most of the area will be uninhabitable for weeks to months.			

Many associated hazards can occur during a hurricane, including heavy rains, flooding, high winds, and tornadoes. A general rule of thumb in coastal Louisiana is that the number of inches of rainfall to be expected from a tropical cyclone is approximately 100 divided by the forward velocity of the storm in mph; so a fast-moving storm (20 mph) might be expected to drop five inches of rain while a slow-moving (5 mph) storm could produce totals of around 20 inches. However, no two storms are alike, and such generalizations have limited utility for planning purposes. Hurricane Beulah, which struck Texas in 1967, spawned 115 confirmed tornadoes. In recent years, extensive coastal development has increased the storm surge resulting from these storms so much that this has become the greatest natural hazard threat to property and loss of life in the state. Storm surge is a temporary rise in sea level generally caused by reduced air pressure and strong onshore winds associated with a storm system near the coast. Although storm surge can technically occur at any time of the year in Louisiana, surges caused by hurricanes can be particularly deadly and destructive. Such storm surge events are often accompanied by large, destructive waves (exceeding ten meters in some places) that can inflict a high number of fatalities and economic losses. In 2005, Hurricane Katrina clearly demonstrated the destructive potential of this hazard, as it produced the highest modern-day storm surge levels in the State of Louisiana, reaching up to 18.7 feet near Alluvial City in St. Bernard Parish.

Property can be damaged by the various forces that accompany a tropical cyclone. High winds can directly impact structures in three ways: wind forces, flying debris, and pressure. By itself, the force of the wind can knock over trees, break tree limbs, and destroy loose items, such as television antennas and power lines. Many things can be moved by high winds. As winds increase, so does the pressure against stationary objects. Pressure against a wall rises with the square of the wind speed. For some structures, this force is enough to cause failure. The potential for damage to structures is increased when debris breaks the building "envelope" and allows the wind pressure to impact all surfaces (the building envelope includes all surfaces that make up the barrier between the indoors and the outdoors, such as the walls, foundation, doors, windows, and roof). Mobile homes and buildings in need of maintenance are most subject to wind damage. High winds mean bigger waves. Extended pounding by waves can demolish any poorly or improperly designed structures. The waves also erode sand beaches, roads, and foundations. When foundations are compromised, the building will collapse.

Nine out of ten deaths during hurricanes are caused by storm surge flooding. Falling tree limbs and flying debris caused by high winds have the ability to cause injury or death. Downed trees and damaged buildings are a potential health hazard due to instability, electrical system damage, broken pipelines, chemical releases, and gas leaks. Sewage and water lines may also be damaged. Salt water and fresh water intrusions from storm surge send animals, such as snakes, into areas occupied by humans.

Location

Hurricanes are the single biggest threat to all of Louisiana. With any single hurricane having the potential to devastate multiple parishes at once, the risk of a tropical cyclone has the probability of impacting anywhere within the planning area for Madison Parish. As such, all jurisdictions are equally at risk for tropical cyclones.

Previous Occurrences / Extents

The central Gulf of Mexico coastline is among the most hurricane-prone locations in the United States, and hurricanes can affect every part of the state. The SHELDUS database reports a total of four tropical cyclone events occurring within the boundaries of Madison Parish between the years 2002 and 2014 (Table 2-42). The tropical cyclone events experienced in Madison Parish include depressions, storms, and hurricanes. As worst case scenario, Madison Parish can expect to experience hurricanes at the Category 1 level in the future.

Table 2-42: Historical Tropical Cyclone Events in Madison Parish from 2002-2015 (Source: SHELDUS)

Date	Name	Storm Type At Time of Impact
August 29, 2005	Katrina	Hurricane – Category 1
September 24, 2005	Rita	Hurricane – Category 1
September 1, 2008	Gustav	Tropical Storm
August 29, 2012	Isaac	Tropical Storm

Hurricane Katrina (2005)

Hurricane Katrina was one of the strongest and most destructive hurricanes on record to impact the coast of the United States. The National Hurricane Center ranked Katrina as the costliest storm (both before and after adjusting for inflation) and the third deadliest in the U.S. since 1851. The hurricane initially made landfall in Plaquemines Parish on August 29, 2005, as a Category 3 storm and continued on a north-northeast track, with a second landfall occurring near the Louisiana-Mississippi border. Hurricane Katrina caused widespread devastation along the central Gulf Coast states. Following the passage of Katrina, the flooding of New Orleans was catastrophic, resulting in the displacement of more than 250,000 people.

Madison Parish experienced wind gusts that reached hurricane force levels. In Tallulah, the peak recorded wind gust was only 55 miles per hour. However, the observation site lost power before the height of the storm. The 36-hour rainfall totals exceeded 4 inches across the parish.




Figure 2-19: Wind Speed and Precipitation Totals in Madison Parish for Hurricane Katrina

Hurricane Rita (2005)

While Hurricane Katrina and resulting levee failures captured headlines worldwide, lesser known (but just as destructive) Hurricane Rita wreaked havoc on southwestern Louisiana less than a month later. The storm made landfall as a Category 3 hurricane in Cameron Parish. Across southeast Louisiana, the main effect from Hurricane Rita was the substantial storm surge flooding that occurred in low lying communities across coastal areas of southern Terrebonne, southern Lafourche, and southern Jefferson Parishes, where numerous homes and businesses were flooded. Some of the most substantial damage occurred in southern Terrebonne Parish, where storm surge of five to seven feet above normal overtopped or breached local drainage levees, inundating many small communities. Newspaper accounts indicated that approximately 10,000 structures were flooded in Terrebonne Parish. Lafitte and other communities in lower Jefferson Parish also suffered extensive storm surge flooding. Storm surge flooding also occurred in areas adjacent to Lake Pontchartrain and Lake Maurepas, affecting homes and businesses from Slidell to Mandeville and Madisonville. Approximately 1,500 structures were reported as flooded in Livingston Parish near Lake Maurepas. Repaired levees damaged by Hurricane Katrina in late August were overtopped or breached along the Industrial Canal in New Orleans, resulting in renewed flooding in adjacent portions of New Orleans and St. Bernard Parish. However, the flooding was much more limited in scope than during Hurricane Katrina.




Figure 2-20: Wind Speed and Precipitation Totals in Madison Parish for Hurricane Rita

Hurricane Rita was the most powerful hurricane to impact southwestern Louisiana since Hurricane Audrey in 1957. Estimated damages in southwest Louisiana totaled near \$4 billion, with the majority of those losses occurring in Cameron and Calcasieu Parishes. Entire towns were destroyed in Cameron Parish, including downtown Cameron, Creole, Holly Beach, and Grand Chenier. An estimated 90 to 95 percent of the homes

in the parish were severely damaged or destroyed. Storm surge values were estimated around 15 feet in parts of Cameron Parish.

In Madison Parish, Hurricane Rita did not produce as much damage as Hurricane Katrina due to its westerly track. Peak recorded wind gusts in Tallulah reached 48 mph. The 72-hour rainfall totals only reached approximately two inches within the parish.

Hurricane Gustav (2008)

Hurricane Gustav entered the southeast Gulf of Mexico as a major Category 3 hurricane on August 31, 2008, after developing in the Caribbean Sea and moving across western Cuba. Gustav tracked northwestward across the Gulf toward Louisiana and made landfall as a Category 2 hurricane near Cocodrie, Louisiana, during the morning of September 1st. Gustav continued to move northwest across south Louisiana and weakened to a Category 1 storm over south central Louisiana later that day. The storm diminished to a tropical depression over northwestern Louisiana on September 2nd.

The highest wind gust recorded was 117 mph (102 kts) at a USGS site at the Houma Navigational Canal and at the Pilot Station East C-MAN near the Southwest Pass of the Mississippi River. The highest sustained wind of 91 mph was recorded at the Pilot's Station East C-MAN site. However, due to the failure of equipment at some observation sites during the storm, higher winds may have occurred. The minimum sea level pressure measured was 951.6 millibars at a USGS site at Caillou Lake, southwest of Dulac, and 954.5 millibars at the LUMCON facility near Dulac. Rainfall varied considerably across southeast Louisiana, ranging from around four inches to just over 11 inches.




Figure 2-21: Wind Speed and Precipitation Totals in Madison Parish for Hurricane Gustav

Gustav produced widespread wind damage across southeast Louisiana, especially in the area from Houma and Thibodaux through the greater Baton Rouge area. Hurricane force wind gusts occurred also across the inland areas, including the Baton Rouge area and surrounding parishes. A peak wind gust of 91 mph was recorded at the Baton Rouge (Ryan Field) Airport at 1:12 PM CST. This was only one mph less than the highest wind gust recorded during Hurricane Betsy in 1965. After the storm, the electric utility serving most of southeast Louisiana reported 75 to 100 percent of utility customers were without power, in areas ranging from Lafourche and Terrebonne Parishes northwest through the Baton Rouge area to central Louisiana and southwest Mississippi. Considerable damage occurred to many houses and structures as large tree limbs and trees were toppled by the hurricane force winds. Preliminary estimates from the American Red Cross indicated that around 13,000 single family dwellings were damaged by the hurricane in southeast Louisiana, and several thousand more apartments and mobile homes were also damaged. Early estimates from Louisiana Economic Development indicated that Gustav caused at least \$4.5 billion in property damage in Louisiana, including insured and uninsured losses.

Tropical storm force winds occurred across Madison Parish as the outer edges of Hurricane Gustav moved across southern and central Louisiana. Many trees and several power lines were blown down, especially across the southwest half of the parish.

Hurricane Isaac (2012)

Tropical Depression Nine formed in the Atlantic, east of the Lesser Antilles, on the morning of August 21, 2012. Twelve hours later, Tropical Depression Nine had strengthened into Tropical Storm Isaac. Isaac continued to track through the eastern Caribbean Sea and Florida Straits while maintaining high end tropical storm strength. Just before noon central time on the 28th, Isaac was located about 75 miles south-southeast of the mouth of the Mississippi River (or about 160 miles southeast of New Orleans) and was found to have reached hurricane strength with winds of 75 mph. An outer rain band from Isaac brought some showers to portions of the ArkLaMiss during the afternoon of the 28th, while the center of Isaac was still churning in the Gulf of Mexico. At 6:45pm on August 28th, Hurricane Isaac made a brief landfall along the coast of Southeast Louisiana in Plaquemines Parish. Maximum sustained winds were 80mph at this landfall. Isaac did not remain over land for long as he was back over water again by 9:00pm that same evening. Isaac made his second landfall along the coast of southeast Louisiana, just to the west of Port Fourchon, around 2:15am August 29th, again with maximum sustained winds of 80 mph.

Isaac moved very slowly to the north and northwest over the course of August 29th, which made for prolonged impacts. Forward motion of about 5 mph lead to tremendous flooding issues for both Louisiana and portions of Mississippi south of I-20. Around noon on August 29th, Isaac was downgraded to a Tropical Storm, but this was not much relief to the many residents who were being inundated with rain and wind. Storm total rainfall across portions of Marion County indicated at least 10 to 15 inches fell. Numerous homes and buildings were flooded and some water rescues occurred in Lamar, Marion and Clarke counties. Further to the north, flooding issues were not quite as bad with about 5 to 7 inches of rain falling from the I-20 corridor and north. Tropical storm force wind gusts were noted as far north as Bolivar County, with the Golden Triangle region not seeing winds reach more than tropical depression strength. The worst of the wind was felt generally along and south of an axis from Marion County to Adams County. Numerous trees were down in Adams County, leaving many without power for several days. Eighty percent of the roads were blocked in Franklin County due to downed trees.




Figure 2-22: Wind Speed and Precipitation Totals in Madison Parish for Hurricane Isaac

With all of the rain that fell, some of the area rivers filled quickly. Minor flooding was recorded on the lower Pearl River at Rockport and Monticello, as well as on Bouie Creek at Hattiesburg and Tallahala Creek at Laurel. The biggest river impact in the Jackson Hydrologic Service Area was on Black Creek at Brooklyn. Black Creek entered moderate flooding and finally crested at 26.71 feet on August 31st at 5pm. This will go down as the second highest crest in history for this particular river and forecast point. This river flooding caused damage to 15 homes both upstream and downstream of the river gage.

The winds and flooding were not all Isaac brought as a couple of tornadoes touched down in eastern Mississippi. Two tornadoes, one in Clarke County and one in Lauderdale County, occurred during the morning of August 30th. Both were rated EF-1 with winds around 100 mph. The tornado in Clarke County, near Crandall, resulted in 3 injuries to residents of a mobile home. One death attributed to Isaac occurred in Holmes County when a 64 year old woman was killed by a tree falling on her car. Isaac finally moved out of the region by the afternoon of the 30th, and was downgraded to a tropical depression by late afternoon on the 30th as it continued to track to the northwest into Missouri and the Ohio Valley.

Multiple trees were blown down across Madison Parish between the afternoon of the 29th and mid-day on the 30th. A few trees fell on power lines causing sporadic power outages, and a few roads were blocked by fallen trees.

The following figure displays the wind zones that affect Madison Parish in relation to critical facilities throughout the parish.




Figure 2-23: Winds Zones for Madison Parish in Relation to Critical Facilities

Frequency / Probability

Tropical cyclones are large natural hazard events that regularly impact Madison Parish. The annual chance of occurrence for a tropical cyclone is estimated at 16% for Madison Parish and its municipalities, with four events occurring within 25 years. The tropical cyclone season for the Atlantic Basin is from June 1st through November 30th, with most of the major hurricanes (Saffir-Simpson Categories 3, 4, & 5) occurring between the months of August and October.

Estimated Potential Losses

Using Hazus 2.2 100-Year Hurricane Model, the 100-year hurricane scenario was analyzed to determine losses from this worst-case scenario. The table on the next page shows the total economic losses that would result from this occurrence.

Table 2-43: Total Estimated Losses for a 100-Year Hurricane Event (Source: Hazus 2.2)

Jurisdiction	Estimated Total Losses from 100-Year Hurricane Event
Madison Parish (Unincorporated)	\$291,596
Delta	\$21,355
Mound	\$1,429
Richmond	\$43,386
Tallulah	\$551,536
Total	\$909,301

Total losses from a 100-year hurricane event for each jurisdiction were compared with the total value of assets to determine the ratio of potential damage to total inventory in the table below.

Table 2-44: Ratio of Total Losses to Total Estimated Value of Assets for each Jurisdiction in Madison Parish (Source: Hazus 2.2)

Jurisdiction	Estimated Total Losses from 100-Year Hurricane Event	Total Estimated Value of Assets	Ratio of Estimated Losses to Total Value
Unincorporated	\$291,596	\$501,805,000	0.1%
Delta	\$21,355	\$32,723,000	0.1%
Mound	\$1,429	\$2,072,000	0.1%
Richmond	\$43,386	\$119,322,000	0.0%
Tallulah	\$551,536	\$933,589,000	0.1%

Based on the Hazus 2.2 Hurricane Model, estimated total losses range from 0.0% to 0.1% of the total estimated value of all assets for the unincorporated area of Madison Parish, and the incorporated areas of Delta, Mound, Richmond, and Tallulah.

The Hazus 2.2 Hurricane Model also provides a breakdown by jurisdiction for seven primary sectors (Hazus occupancy) throughout the parish. The losses for each jurisdiction by sector are listed in the tables on the following pages.

Table 2-45: Estimated Losses in Unincorporated Madison Parish for a 100-Year Hurricane Event (Source: Hazus 2.2)

Madison Parish (Unincorporated)	Estimated Total Losses from 100-Year Hurricane Event
Agricultural	\$394
Commercial	\$5,333
Government	\$249
Industrial	\$658
Religious / Non-Profit	\$709
Residential	\$283,845
Schools	\$406
Total	\$291,596

Table 2-46: Estimated Losses in Delta for a 100-Year Hurricane Event (Source: Hazus 2.2)

Delta	Estimated Total Losses from 100-Year Hurricane Event
Agricultural	\$29
Commercial	\$391
Government	\$18
Industrial	\$48
Religious / Non-Profit	\$52
Residential	\$20,787
Schools	\$30
Total	\$21,355

Table 2-47: Estimated Losses in Mound for a 100-Year Hurricane Event (Source: Hazus 2.2)

Mound	Estimated Total Losses from 100-Year Hurricane Event
Agricultural	\$2
Commercial	\$26
Government	\$1
Industrial	\$3
Religious / Non-Profit	\$3
Residential	\$1,391
Schools	\$2
Total	\$1,429

Table 2-48: Estimated Losses in Richmond for a 100-Year Hurricane Event (Source: Hazus 2.2)

Richmond	Estimated Total Losses from 100-Year Hurricane Event
Agricultural	\$59
Commercial	\$794
Government	\$37
Industrial	\$98
Religious / Non-Profit	\$106
Residential	\$42,233
Schools	\$60
Total	\$43,386

Table 2-49: Estimated Losses in Tallulah for a 100-Year Hurricane Event (Source: Hazus 2.2)

Tallulah	Estimated Total Losses from 100-Year Hurricane Event
Agricultural	\$746
Commercial	\$10,088
Government	\$471
Industrial	\$1,244
Religious / Non-Profit	\$1,342
Residential	\$536,876
Schools	\$769
Total	\$551,536

Threat to People

The total population within the parish that is susceptible to a hurricane hazard is shown in the table below:

Table 2-50: Number of People Susceptible to a 100-Year Hurricane Event in Madison Parish (Source: Hazus 2.2)

Number of People Exposed to Hurricane Hazards			
Location	# in Community	# in Hazard Area	% in Hazard Area
Madison	3,878	2 070	100.0%
(Unincorporated)	3,070	3,878	100.0%
Delta	284	284	100.0%
Mound	19	19	100.0%
Richmond	577	577	100.0%
Tallulah	7,335	7,335	100.0%
Total	12,093	12,093	100.0%

The HAZUS-MH hurricane model was also extrapolated to provide an overview of vulnerable populations throughout the jurisdictions. These populations are illustrated in the following tables:

(Source: Hazus 2.2)

Madison Parish (Unincorporated)		
Category	Total Numbers	Percentage of People in Hazard Area
Number in Hazard Area	3,878	100.0%
Persons Under 5 Years	259	6.7%
Persons Under 18 Years	710	18.3%
Persons 65 Years and Over	460	11.9%
White	1,443	37.2%
Minority	2,435	62.8%

Table 2-52: Vulnerable Populations in Delta for a 100-Year Hurricane Event (Source: Hazus 2.2)

Delta		
Category	Total Numbers	Percentage of People in Hazard Area
Number in Hazard Area	284	100.0%
Persons Under 5 Years	18	6.3%
Persons Under 18 Years	51	18.0%
Persons 65 Years and Over	28	9.9%
White	252	88.7%
Minority	32	11.3%

Table 2-53: Vulnerable Populations in Mound for a 100-Year Hurricane Event (Source: Hazus 2.2)

Mound		
Category	Total Numbers	Percentage of People in Hazard Area
Number in Hazard Area	19	100.0%
Persons Under 5 Years	1	5.3%
Persons Under 18 Years	3	15.8%
Persons 65 Years and Over	4	21.1%
White	15	79.0%
Minority	4	21.1%

Table 2-54: Vulnerable Populations in Richmond for a 100-Year Hurricane Event (Source: Hazus 2.2)

Richmond		
Category	Total Numbers	Percentage of People in Hazard Area
Number in Hazard Area	577	100.0%
Persons Under 5 Years	36	6.2%
Persons Under 18 Years	80	13.9%
Persons 65 Years and Over	169	29.3%
White	452	78.3%
Minority	125	21.7%

Table 2-55: Vulnerable Populations in Tallulah for a 100-Year Hurricane Event (Source: Hazus 2.2)

Tallulah						
Category	Total Numbers	Percentage of People in Hazard Area				
Number in Hazard Area	7,335	100.0%				
Persons Under 5 Years	567	7.7%				
Persons Under 18 Years	1,543	21.0%				
Persons 65 Years and Over	815	11.1%				
White	1,576	21.5%				
Minority	5,759	78.5%				

Vulnerability

See Appendix C for parish and municipality buildings that are susceptible to tropical cyclones.

Winter Storms

For Louisiana and other parts of the southeastern United States, a severe winter storm occurs when humid air from the Gulf of Mexico meets a cold air mass from the north. Once the cold air mass crosses Louisiana, and the temperature drops, precipitation may fall in the form of snow or sleet. If the ground temperature is cold enough but air temperature is above freezing, rain can freeze instantly on contact with the surface, causing massive ice storms.

The winter storm events that affect the state of Louisiana are ice storms, freezes, and snow events. Of the winter storm types listed above, ice storms are the most dangerous. Ice storms occur during a precipitation event when warm air aloft exceeds 32 °F, while the surface remains below the freezing point. Ice will form on all surfaces when precipitation originating as rain or drizzle contacts physical structures. These ice storms are usually accompanied by freezing temperatures and occasionally snow.

Winter storms can be accompanied by strong winds, creating blizzard conditions with blinding, wind driven snow, severe drifting, and dangerous wind chill. These types of conditions are very rare in Louisiana, even in north Louisiana, but ice storms are more common. The climatic line between snow and rain often stalls over north Louisiana, creating ideal conditions for ice accumulation.

In a typical winter storm event, homes and buildings are damaged by ice accumulation, either directly by the weight of the ice on the roofs or by trees and/or limbs falling on buildings. While it is not very prevalent, this type of damage can occur in Louisiana, particularly in north Louisiana. Effects of winter weather more likely to occur in Louisiana, especially southern Louisiana, include extreme temperatures which can cause waterlines to freeze and sewer lines to rupture. This is especially true with elevated or mobile homes, since cold air is able to access more of the building's infrastructure. Winter storms can also have a devastating effect on agriculture, particularly on crops (like citrus) that are dependent on warm weather. Long exposures to low temperatures can kill many kinds of crops, and ice storms can weigh down branches and fruit.

Winter storms are not only a direct threat to human health through conditions like frostbite and hypothermia, but they are also an indirect threat to human health due to vehicle accidents and loss of power and heat, which can be disrupted for days. However, these impacts are rarely seen in Louisiana. As people use space heaters and fireplaces to stay warm, the risk of household fires and carbon monoxide poisoning increases.

Winter storm events occur throughout Louisiana usually during the colder calendar months of December, January, and February. Severe weather events do not occur with the same frequency across all parts of Louisiana. The northern quarter of Louisiana has historically experienced the most severe winter events between 1987 and 2012. The central, and to an even greater extent the southern parts of the state, such as Ascension Parish, have experienced the fewest severe winter events. The table on the next page shows the Sperry-Piltz Ice Accumulation Index which is utilized to predict the potential damage to overhead utility systems from freezing rain and ice storms.

Table 2-56: Sperry-Piltz Ice Accumulation Index

Ice Damage Index	Damage and Impact Descriptions
0	Minimal risk of damage to exposed utility systems; no alerts or advisories needed for crews, few outages.
1	Some isolated or localized utility interruptions are possible, typically lasting only a few hours. Roads and bridges may become slick and hazardous.
2	Scattered utility interruptions expected, typically lasting 12 to 24 hours. Roads and travel conditions may be extremely hazardous due to ice accumulation.
3	Numerous utility interruptions with some damage to main feeder lines and equipment expected. Tree limb damage is excessive. Outages lasting 1 – 5 days.
4	Prolonged and widespread utility interruptions with extensive damage to main distribution feeder lines and some high voltage transmission lines/structure. Outages lasting 5 – 10 days.
5	Catastrophic damage to entire exposed utility systems, including both distribution and transmission networks. Outages could last several weeks in some areas. Shelters needed.

Location

Because a winter storm is a climatological based hazard and has the same probability of occurring in Madison Parish as all of the adjacent parishes, the entire planning area for Madison Parish is equally at risk for winter storms.

Previous Occurrences / Extents

According to SHELDUS, there have been 11 reported winter storm events that have occurred within the boundaries of Madison Parish between the years of 1990 and 2015. The table on the next page provides a brief synopsis of each event. Based on historic data, Madison Parish can expect an ice damage index of 2 on the Sperry-Piltz Ice Accumulation Index.

Table 2-57: Previous Occurrences for Winter Storm Events

Date	Synopsis	Property Damage	Crop Damage
March 13, 1993	A widespread, damaging freeze occurred in mid-March. Temperatures fell into the upper teens across northern parishes. Total agricultural losses were estimated to be about \$8.9 million. Due to the relatively mild winter, many crops were in early bloom. Among the hardest hit was the peach crop which suffered a 60% loss valued at around \$2 million.	\$0	\$227,828
February 1, 1996	Freezing rain fell across Madison Parish. Widespread damage was done to trees and power lines. Accumulations of up to one inch were common over the area. Most roads and bridges were impassable. Many thousand customers were without power.	\$150,883	\$0
December 22, 1998	Widespread freezing rain, sleet, and freezing drizzle affected Madison Parish. The ice accumulated mainly across exposed surfaces such as trees and power lines as well as bridges and overpasses. Over a quarter million people were without power, some for over a week.	\$850,673	\$0
January 27, 2000	Significant damage from ice occurred as much of the precipitation fell in the form of freezing rain. Ice accumulations of one to two inches were common. Some parishes were declared federal disaster areas by President Clinton. Several thousand people were without power as the weight of the ice brought trees and power lines down. Many vehicles ran off the ice coated roads.	\$101,733	\$0
February 20, 2006	Light freezing rain and freezing drizzle fell across much of the region. Ice accumulations were very light, mainly less than one quarter of an inch across most places. Most elevated bridges and overpasses saw some ice accumulations which resulted in numerous traffic accidents.	\$97,857	\$0
January 1, 2010	A prolonged cold snap broke water lines that were run to public schools in Tallulah.	\$54,283	\$0
February 11, 2010	Between 3 and 5 inches of snow was reported in Madison Parish with 4 inches reported in Tallulah.	\$108,567	\$0
January 9, 2011	Sleet and freezing rain began falling across the parish during the early morning hours causing a few traffic accidents during the morning commute. Up to a half inch of ice had accumulated on tree limbs, power lines, and other elevated surfaces.	\$42,098	\$21,049
February 3, 2011	A quarter inch to four tenths of ice and sleet accumulated across the parish. Bridges and overpasses were iced over and roadways were slick.	\$210,489	\$0
February 11, 2014	A series of complex weather systems affected the area causing over a half inch of ice to accumulate on trees and power lines in southwest Madison Parish. All of the parish experienced ice accumulation of at least one quarter inch.	\$0	\$0
March 5, 2015	A weak cold front brought approximately one half inch of sleet.	\$0	\$0

Based on previous winter storm events, the worst-case scenario for Madison Parish planning area is approximately five inches of snow accumulation and approximately one inch of ice accumulation.

Frequency / Probability

With 11 recorded events in 25 years, winter storm events within the boundaries of Madison Parish have an annual chance of occurrence calculated at 44% based on the SHELDUS dataset.

Estimated Potential Losses

Since 1990, there have been nine reported winter weather events that have resulted in property and/or crop damages according to the SHELDUS database. The total property damages associated with these storms have totaled \$1,616,583. To estimate the potential losses of a winter weather event on an annual basis, the total damage recorded for winter weather events was divided by the total number of years of available winter weather data in SHELDUS (1990 – 2015). This provides an annual estimated potential loss of \$64,663. To assess potential losses to the participating jurisdictions, the 2010 Census population was used to assign the estimated potential losses proportionally across the jurisdictions. The following table provides an estimate of potential property losses for Madison Parish based on the 2010 Census data:

Table 2-58: Estimated Annual Losses for Winter Weather Events in Madison Parish

Estimated Annual Potential Losses from Winter Weather for Madison Parish							
Unincorporated Delta Mound Richmond Tallulah							
Madison Parish	Madison Parish (2.3% of (0.2% of (4.8% of (60.7% of						
(32.1% of Population)	Population)	Population)	Population)	Population)			
\$20,736	\$1,519	\$102	\$3,085	\$39,221			

From 1990 to 2015, there have been no injuries or fatalities as a result of winter weather in Madison Parish.

Vulnerability

See Appendix C for parish and municipality building exposure to winter weather hazards.

Levee Failure

Levees and floodwalls are flood control barriers constructed of earth, concrete, or other materials. For the purposes of this plan, levees are distinguished from smaller flood barriers (such as berms) by their size and extent. Berms are barriers that only protect a small number of structures, or at times only a single structure. Levees and floodwalls are barriers that protect significant areas of residential, commercial, or industrial development; at a minimum, they protect a neighborhood or small community. Levee failure involves the overtopping, breach, or collapse of the levee. Levee failure is especially destructive to nearby development during flood and hurricane events.

The northern half of Louisiana is protected by levees on the Ouachita River, under the authority of the Vicksburg District of the United States Army Corp of Engineers (USACE). The Vicksburg District encompasses 68,000 mi² in the states of Arkansas, Mississippi and Louisiana. They manage seven drainage basins, including the Yazoo, Pearl, Big Black, Red, Ouachita, and Mississippi Rivers; 12 locks and dams on the Pearl, Red, and Ouachita Rivers; 1,808 miles of levees, including 468 miles along the Mississippi River; and multiple lakes with 1,709 miles of shoreline.

Coastal and southern Louisiana are protected by an extensive levee system under the authority of the New Orleans District of the USACE. This system includes 30,000 mi² of Louisiana south of Alexandria, including 961 miles of river levees in the Mississippi River and Tributaries Project, 449 miles of river levees in the Atchafalaya Basin, and 340 miles of hurricane-protection levees. Other levees have been built along stretches of rivers throughout Louisiana by local levee districts and private citizens. The data regarding these nonfederal levees are managed by the individual entity responsible for construction and subsequent maintenance and are not kept in a consistent format for comprehensive hazard analysis.

The effects of a levee failure on property is similar to that of a flood, as discussed in the flooding section. One major difference is that the velocity of the water is increased in the area of the breach, so the potential for property damage is higher in these areas.

A levee failure occurs during high water events, so the populace is normally alerted to the potential danger. Levees are normally monitored during these events and the population in danger is alerted to a possible levee failure. However, if people consider themselves safe once a levee has been breached and do not evacuate, the results could be deadly.

Location

Madison Parish is awaiting a response from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers on levee locations within the Madison Parish Planning area. Currently, a data deficiency exists for levee failure in Madison Parish.

Previous Occurrences / Extents

There have been no reported levee failures in Madison Parish from 1990 to 2015. Levee information including the extent of a levee failure has been requested from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Madison Parish is awaiting a response from the USACE, and will continue to update this information as new data is received.

Frequency / Probability

Based on the 25-year record, it is determined that a levee failure has less than a 1% annual chance of occurrence in the Madison Parish planning area. Madison Parish is awaiting a response from the USACE, and will continue to work to update this information as new data is received.

Sinkholes

Sinkholes are areas of ground with no natural external surface drainage. They can vary in size from a few square feet to hundreds of acres, and can reach depths of more than 100 feet. Sinkholes are usually found in karst terrain—that is, areas where limestone, carbonate rock, salt beds, and other water-soluble rocks lie below the Earth's surface. Karst terrain is marked by the presence of other uncommon geologic features, such as springs, caves, and dry streambeds that lose water into the ground. In general, sinkholes form gradually (in the case of cover-subsidence sinkholes), but they can also occur suddenly (in the case of cover-collapse sinkholes).

Sinkhole formation is a very simple process. Whenever water is absorbed through soil, it encounters water-soluble bedrock. The water then begins to dissolve the bedrock, forming sinkholes. The karst rock dissolves along cracks; as the fissures grow, soil and other particles fill the gaps, loosening the soil above the bedrock. *Figure 2-24* illustrates the development of a cover subsidence sinkhole. As the soil sinks from the surface a depression forms, which draws in more water, funneling it down to the water-soluble rock. The increase of water and soil in the rock pushes open the cracks, again drawing more soil and water into it. This positive feedback loop continues, unless clay plugs into the cracks in the bedrock, at which time a pond may form. A sudden cover-collapse sinkhole occurs when the top soil above dissolving bedrock does not sink, but forms a bridge over the soil that is sinking beneath it. As *Figure 2-25* demonstrates, underground soil continues to fill the bedrock fissures, until finally the soil bridge collapses and fills the void beneath it.

Both kinds of sinkholes can occur naturally or through human influence. While sinkholes tend to form naturally in karst areas, sinkholes can form in other geological areas that have been altered by humans, by processes such as mining, sewers, hydraulic fracture drilling, groundwater pumping, irrigation, or storage ponds. In all of these cases, and others, the cause for the sinkhole is that support for surface soil has been weakened or substantially removed.

In the United States, 20% of the land is susceptible to sinkholes. Most of this area lies in Florida, Texas, Alabama, Missouri, Kentucky, Tennessee, and Pennsylvania. In Louisiana, most of the sinkholes are precipitated by the human-influenced collapse of salt dome caverns. The collapse of a salt dome is usually a slow process; however, it may occur suddenly and without any advance warning.




Figure 2-24: Cover-subsidencence Sinkole Formation from the Breaking Apart of Karst Bedrock by Soil

Deposit

(Courtesy of USGS Sinkholes Fact Sheet)




Figure 2-25: Formation of Cover-collapse Sinkhole after a Soil Bridge forms above Dissolving Bedrock (Courtesy of USGS Sinkhole Fact Sheet)

Location

Currently, there are six identifiable salt dome locations in Madison Parish. In addition, there is one salt dome in which its two-mile buffer extends into Madison Parish. *Figure 2-26* displays the locations of these salt domes with their relative location to the nearest jurisdiction. As depicted in *Figure 2-26*, the sinkholes are dispersed throughout Madison parish. While the majority of sinkholes are located in unincorporated areas of the parish, a two-mile buffer around the North Tallulah salt dome encompasses part of Tallulah. There are no sinkholes whose buffer intersects with the jurisdictions of Delta, Mound, or Richmond. Based on previous occurrences of sinkholes in the State of Louisiana, the worst case scenario for a sinkhole in Madison Parish would be one encompassing an area of approximately 30 acres and approximately 400 feet deep.




Figure 2-26: Salt Dome Locations in Madison Parish Relative to Jurisdictions

Previous Occurrences / Extents

There have been no recorded incidents of sinkholes or salt dome collapses in Madison Parish to date.

Frequency / Probability

Since there has been no recorded incidents of sinkhole or salt dome collapse in Madison Parish, the annual chance of occurrence is calculated at less than 1%.

Estimated Potential Losses

Of the seven salt domes that influence Madison, five were analyzed to determine the number of people and houses that are potentially susceptible to losses from a sinkhole materializing from one of the salt domes. The remainder were discounted due to it not being located near any populated areas of the parish. The following tables are based on conducting a two-mile buffer around the center of the salt dome. The values were determined by querying the 2010 U.S. Census block data to determine the number of houses and people located within two miles of each salt dome. Critical facilities were also analyzed to determine if they fell within the two-mile buffer of a salt dome. Total value for all occupancy groups from Hazus 2.2 was used to estimate a total loss of all facilities that were within two miles of a salt dome.

The salt dome that poses the greatest risk to Madison Parish is the North Tallulah Salt Dome. The North Tallulah Salt Dome contains a total of 1,419 homes and 3,443 people within its two-mile buffer.

Table 2-59: Estimated Potential Losses from a Sinkhole Formation (Source: U.S. 2010 Census Data and Hazus 2.2)

Salt Dome Name	Total Building Exposure	Critical Infrastructure Exposure	Number of People Exposed	Number of Houses Exposed
Duck Port	\$3,972,000	0	37	21
North Tallulah	\$371,528,000	4	3,443	1,419
Singer	\$0	0	0	0
Snake Bayou	\$0	0	0	0
South Coleman (Coleman)	\$3,636,000	0	23	13
Tallulah (South Tallulah)	\$2,518,000	0	16	9
Walnut Bayou	\$2,629,000	0	18	13

Due to the isolated locations of the sinkholes, there is little to no risk to people, with the exception being the residents within two miles of the Duck Port, North Tallulah, South Coleman, Tallulah, and Walnut Bayou salt domes. The remaining two salt domes that were analyzed also pose some risk, but not nearly to the same degree as the others.

Vulnerability

See Appendix C for parish and municipality building exposure to a sinkhole hazard.

This Page Left Intentionally Blank

3. Capability Assessment

This section summarizes the results of the Madison Parish jurisdictions and other agency efforts to develop policies, programs, and activities that directly or indirectly support hazard mitigation. It also provides information on resources and gaps in the parish's infrastructure, as well as relevant changes in its law since the last plan update, in order to suggest a mitigation strategy.

Through this assessment, Madison Parish and the participating jurisdictions are able to identify strengths that could be used to reduce losses and reduce risk throughout the community. It also identifies areas where mitigation actions might be used to supplement current capabilities and create a more resilient community before, during, and after a hazard event.

Policies, Plans, and Programs

Madison Parish capabilities are unique to the parish, including planning, regulatory, administrative, technical, financial, and education and outreach resources. There are a number of mitigation-specific acts, plans, executive orders, and policies that lay out specific goals, objectives, and policy statements which already support or could support pre- and post-disaster hazard mitigation. Many of the ongoing plans and policies hold significant promise for hazard mitigation. They take an integrated and strategic look holistically at hazard mitigation in Madison Parish to propose ways to continually improve it. These tools are valuable instruments in pre- and post-disaster mitigation as they facilitate the implementation of mitigation activities through the current legal and regulatory framework. Examples of existing documents in Madison Parish and its jurisdictions are shown in the table on the following page.

Table 3-1: Madison Parish Planning and Regulatory Capabilities

Planning and Regulatory						
Please indicate which of the following plans and regul	atory capabil	ities vouriu	risdiction ha	s in place.		
Madison Data Mound Richmond Tally						
Plans		,		Yes / No		
Comprehensive / Master Plan	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Capital Improvements Plan	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Economic Development Plan	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Local Emergency Operations Plan	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Continuity of Operations Plan	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Transportation Plan	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Stormwater Management Plan	No	No	No	No	No	
Community Wildfire Protection Plan	No	No	No	No	No	
Other plans (redevelopment, recovery, coastal zone						
management)	No	No	No	No	No	
Building Code, Permitting and Inspections				Yes / No		
Building Code	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Building Code Effectiveness Grading Schedule						
(BCEGS) Score	No	No	No	No	No	
Fire Department ISO/PIAL rating	7	7	7	7	7	
Site plan review requirements	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Land Use Planning and Ordinances				Yes / No		
Zoning Ordinance	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Subdivision Ordinance	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Floodplain Ordinance	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Natural Hazard Specific Ordinance (stormwater, steep						
slope, wildfire)	No	No	No	No	No	
Flood Insurance Rate Maps	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Acquisition of land for open space and public						
recreation uses	No	No	No	No	No	
Other	No	No	No	No	No	

Building Codes, Permitting, Land Use Planning and Ordinances

The Madison Parish Police Jury provides oversight for building permits and codes, land use planning, and all parish ordinances.

As of the 2016 update, Madison Parish and its jurisdictions ensure that all adopted building codes are enforced and in compliance relating to the construction of any structure within the boundaries of the parish. Building permits are required prior to beginning any type of construction or renovation projects, installation of electrical wiring, plumbing or gas piping, moving manufactured/modular or portable buildings, and reroofing or demolitions.

The Madison Parish Police Jury is also responsible for enforcing the Parish Ordinances relating to health and safety, property maintenance standards, condemnation of unsafe structures, and zoning compliance.

The Madison Parish Police Jury meets regularly to consider any proposed ordinance changes, and to take final actions on proposed changes.

While local capabilities for mitigation can vary from community to community, Madison Parish as a whole has a system in place to coordinate and share these capabilities through Madison Parish Government and through this Parish Hazard Mitigation Plan.

Some programs and policies, such as the above described, might use complementary tools to achieve a common end, but fail to coordinate with or support each other. Thus, coordination among local mitigation policies and programs is essential to hazard mitigation.

Administration, Technical, and Financial

As a community, Madison Parish has administrative and technical capabilities in place that may be utilized in reducing hazard impacts or implementing hazard mitigation activities. Such capabilities include staff, skillset, and tools available in the community that may be accessed to implement mitigation activities and to effectively coordinate resources. The ability to access and coordinate these resources is also important. The table below shows examples of resources in place in Madison Parish and its jurisdictions.

Table 3-2: Madison Parish Administrative and Technical Capabilities

Table 3-2: Madison Parish Administrative and Technical Capabilities					
Administration and Technical					
Identify whether your community has the following add	ministrative a	and technical	capabilities	. For smaller	jurisdictions with
local staff resources, if there are public resources at the	ne next highe	er level gover	nment that o	an provide te	chnical assistanc
indicate so in your comments.					
	Madist	On Parish D	gra m	ound Rich	rond Fallulah
Administration				Yes / No	
Planning Commission	No	No	No	No	No
Mitigation Planning Committee	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Maintenance programs to reduce risk (tree trimming,					
clearing drainage systems)	No	No	No	No	No
Mutual Aid Agreements	No	No	No	No	No
Staff			Yes / No; FT	/PT; % Hazar	d Mitigation
Staff Chief Building Official	No	No	Yes / No; FT No	/PT; % Hazar No	d Mitigation
	No Yes	No Yes			
Chief Building Official			No	No	No
Chief Building Official Floodplain Administrator	Yes	Yes	No Yes	No Yes	No Yes
Chief Building Official Floodplain Administrator Emergency Manager	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	No Yes Yes	No Yes Yes	No Yes Yes
Chief Building Official Floodplain Administrator Emergency Manager Community Planner	Yes Yes No	Yes Yes No	No Yes Yes No	No Yes Yes No	No Yes Yes No
Chief Building Official Floodplain Administrator Emergency Manager Community Planner Civil Engineer	Yes Yes No Yes	Yes Yes No Yes	No Yes Yes No Yes	No Yes Yes No Yes	No Yes Yes No Yes
Chief Building Official Floodplain Administrator Emergency Manager Community Planner Civil Engineer GIS Coordinator	Yes Yes No Yes	Yes Yes No Yes	No Yes Yes No Yes	No Yes Yes No Yes	No Yes Yes No Yes No
Chief Building Official Floodplain Administrator Emergency Manager Community Planner Civil Engineer GIS Coordinator Grant Writer	Yes Yes No Yes No Yes No	Yes Yes No Yes No	No Yes Yes No Yes No Yes No	No Yes Yes No Yes No No No	No Yes Yes No Yes No No No
Chief Building Official Floodplain Administrator Emergency Manager Community Planner Civil Engineer GIS Coordinator Grant Writer Other	Yes Yes No Yes No Yes No	Yes Yes No Yes No	No Yes Yes No Yes No Yes No	No Yes Yes No Yes No No No No	No Yes Yes No Yes No No No
Chief Building Official Floodplain Administrator Emergency Manager Community Planner Civil Engineer GIS Coordinator Grant Writer Other Technical	Yes Yes No Yes No Yes No	Yes Yes No Yes No	No Yes Yes No Yes No Yes No	No Yes Yes No Yes No No No No	No Yes Yes No Yes No No No
Chief Building Official Floodplain Administrator Emergency Manager Community Planner Civil Engineer GIS Coordinator Grant Writer Other Technical Warning Systems / Service	Yes Yes No Yes No No No No	Yes Yes No Yes No No No	No Yes Yes No Yes No No No No	No Yes Yes No Yes No No No No No No No Yes / No	No Yes Yes No Yes No No No No
Chief Building Official Floodplain Administrator Emergency Manager Community Planner Civil Engineer GIS Coordinator Grant Writer Other Technical Warning Systems / Service (Reverse 911, outdoor warning signals)	Yes Yes No Yes No No No Yes	Yes Yes No Yes No No No Yes	No Yes Yes No Yes No No No No No Yes	No Yes Yes No Yes No No No Yes No Yes/No Yes	No Yes Yes No Yes No No No No No Yes
Chief Building Official Floodplain Administrator Emergency Manager Community Planner Civil Engineer GIS Coordinator Grant Writer Other Technical Warning Systems / Service (Reverse 911, outdoor warning signals) Hazard Data & Information	Yes Yes No Yes No No No Yes Yes Yes	Yes Yes No Yes No No No Yes Yes Yes	No Yes Yes No Yes No No No Yes Yes Yes Yes	No Yes Yes No Yes No No No Yes/No Yes Yes Yes	No Yes Yes No Yes No No No No Yes Yes Yes

Financial capabilities are the resources that Madison Parish and its incorporated jurisdictions have access to or are eligible to use in order to fund mitigation actions. Costs associated with implementing the actions identified by the jurisdictions may vary from little/no cost actions, such as outreach efforts, to substantial action costs such acquisition of flood prone properties. The resources available to fund mitigation actions in Madison Parish and its jurisdictions are found in the table on the following page.

Table 3-3: Madison Parish Financial Capabilities

Financial						
Identify whether your jurisdiction has access to or is	s eligible to use	the followin	g funding res	sources for h	azard mitigati	on.
Madison Data Mound Richmond Tallian						
Funding Resource				Yes / No		
Capital Improvements project funding	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Authority to levy taxes for specific purposes	No	No	No	No	No	
Fees for water, sewer, gas, or electric services	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Impact fees for new development	No	No	No	No	No	
Stormwater Utility Fee	No	No	No	No	No	
Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Other Funding Programs	No	No	No	No	No	

Education and Outreach

A key element in hazard mitigation is promoting a safer, more disaster resilient community through education and outreach activities and/or programs. Successful outreach programs provide data and information that improves overall quality and accuracy of important information for citizens to feel better prepared and educated with mitigation activities. These programs enable the individual jurisdictions and parish as a whole to maximize opportunities for implementation of activities through greater acceptance and consensus of the community.

Madison Parish and its jurisdictions have existing education and outreach programs to implement mitigation activities, as well as to communicate risk and hazard related information to its communities. The existing programs are as follows:

Table 3-4: Madison Parish Education and Outreach Capabilities

Education and Outreach						
Identify education and outreach programs and metho	ds, already in	place that co	ould be used	to implemen	nt mitigation a	ctivities
communicate hazard-related information.						
	Madisc	parish De	Mrc Mrc	und Richt	rond Tall	llah (
Program / Organization				Yes / No		
Local citizen groups or non-profit organizations						
focused on environmental protection, emergency						
preparedness, access and functional needs						
populations, etc.	No	No	No	No	No	
Ongoing public education or information program						
(responsible water use, fire safety, household						
preparedness, environmental education)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Natural Disaster or safety related school program	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Storm Ready certification	No	No	No	No	No	
Firewise Communities certification	No	No	No	No	No	
Public/Private partnership initiatives addressing						
disaster-related issues	No	No	No	No	No	
Other	No	No	No	No	No	

In some cases, the jurisdictions rely on Madison Parish OHSEP and/or Madison Parish Government Agencies for the above listed planning and regulatory, administrative and technical, financial, and education and outreach capabilities. Comments regarding the jurisdictions utilization or intentions to utilize and leverage the capabilities of the parish government can be found in Appendix E in the jurisdictional specific worksheets.

As reflected in the aforementioned existing regulatory mechanisms, programs, and resources within each jurisdiction, Madison Parish and its jurisdiction remains committed to expanding and improving on the existing capabilities within the parish. All participating jurisdictions will work toward increased participation in funding opportunities and available mitigation programs. Should funding become available, the hiring of additional personnel to dedicate to hazard mitigation initiatives and programs, as well as increasing ordinances within the jurisdictions, will help to enhance and expand risk reduction measures within the parish.

With the sharing of these capabilities, the following municipalities and entities are recognized by the Parish of Madison under the Hazard Mitigation Plan, allowing them to apply for available hazard mitigation funding for as long as these municipalities and entities notify the parish of their intentions and the parish concurs:

- Unincorporated Madison Parish
- Village of Delta
- Village of Mound
- Village of Richmond
- · City of Tallulah

Flood Insurance and Community Rating System

Madison Parish is not a participant in the Community Rating System (CRS), nor are any of its jurisdictions. Obtaining the CRS rating for the parish and participating jurisdictions is recognized as an eventual goal by the Hazard Mitigation Steering Committee. Participation in the CRS strengthens local capabilities by lowering flood insurance premiums for jurisdictions that exceed NFIP minimum requirements.

Under the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) administers the Community Rating System. Under the CRS, flood insurance premiums for properties in participating communities are reduced to reflect the flood protection activities that are being implemented. This program can have a major influence on the design and implementation of flood mitigation activities, so a brief summary is provided here.

A community receives a CRS classification based upon the credit points it receives for its activities. It can undertake any mix of activities that reduce flood losses through better mapping, regulations, public information, flood damage reduction and/or flood warning and preparedness programs.

There are ten CRS classes: class 1 requires the most credit points and gives the largest premium reduction; class 10 receives no premium reduction (see *Figure 3-1*). A community that does not apply for the CRS or that does not obtain the minimum number of credit points is a class 10 community.

During the last update, 38 Louisiana communities participated. Mandeville, Shreveport, and Jefferson and East Baton Rouge Parishes had the best classifications in the state, class 7. As of the 2016 update, Jefferson, East Baton Rouge, and Terrebonne Parishes all lead the state with best classifications, class 6.

As of May 2012, 310 communities in the State of Louisiana participate in the Federal Emergency Management Agency's NFIP. Of these communities, 41 (or 13%) participate in the Community Rating System (CRS). Of the top fifty Louisiana

CLASS	DISCOUNT	CLASS	DISCOUNT
1	45%	6	20%
2	40%	7	15%
3	35%	8	10%
4	30%	9	5%
5	25%	10	_

SFHA (Zones A, AE, A1-A30, V, V1-V30, AO, and AH): Discount varies depending on class. SFHA (Zones A99, AR, AR/A, AR/AE, AR/A1-A30, AR/AH, and AR/AO): 10% discount for Classes 1-6; 5% discount for Classes 7-9.*

Non-SFHA (Zones B, C, X, D): 10% discount for Classes 1-6; 5% discount for Classes 7-9.

Figure 3-1: CRS Discounts by Class (Source: FEMA)

communities, in terms of total flood insurance policies held by residents, 27 participate in the CRS. The remaining 23 communities present an outreach opportunity for encouraging participation in the CRS.

The CRS provides an incentive not just to start new mitigation programs, but to keep them going. There are two requirements that "encourage" a community to implement flood mitigation activities.

First, the parish will receive CRS credit for this plan when it is adopted. To retain that credit, though, the parish must submit an evaluation report on progress toward implementing this plan to FEMA by October 1st of each year. That report must be made available to the media and the public.

Second, the parish must annually recertify to FEMA that it is continuing to implement its CRS credited activities. Failure to maintain the same level of involvement in flood protection can result in a loss of CRS credit points and a resulting increase in flood insurance rates to residents.

In 2011¹, the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) completed a comprehensive review of the Community Rating System that will result in the release of a new CRS Coordinator's Manual.

The changes to the 2013 CRS Coordinator's Manual are the result of a multi-year program evaluation that included input from a broad group of contributors in order to evaluate the CRS and refine the program to meet its stated goals.

The upcoming changes will drive new achievements in the following six core flood loss reduction areas important to the NFIP: (1) reduce liabilities to the NFIP Fund; (2) improve disaster resiliency and sustainability of communities; (3) integrate a whole community approach to addressing emergency management; (4) promote natural and beneficial functions of floodplains; (5) increase understanding of risk, and; (6) strengthen adoption and enforcement of disaster-resistant building codes.

The 2013 CRS Coordinator's Manual changes will impact each CRS community differently. Some communities will see an increase in the points they receive since points for certain activities have increased (e.g., Activity 420 Open Space Preservation). Other communities will receive fewer points for certain activities (e.g., Activity 320 Map Information Service). It is likely that some communities with marginal CRS class 9 programs will have to identify new CRS credits in order to remain in the CRS.

^{*} In determining CRS Premium Discounts, all AR and A99 Zones are treated as non-SFHAs.

¹ https://www.fema.gov/national-flood-insurance-program-community-rating-system

Typically, CRS communities do not request credit for all the activities they are currently implementing unless it would earn enough credit to advance the community to a higher CRS class. A community that finds itself losing CRS credit with the 2013 manual could likely identify activities deserving credit they had not previously received.

Due to the changes in both activities and CRS points, community CRS coordinators should speak with their ISO/CRS Specialist to understand how and when the 2013 manual will impact their community.




Figure 3-2: Louisiana CRS NFIP Participation (Source: FEMA²)

.

² http://www.fema.gov/media-library-data/20130726-2128-31471-9581/ks_ky_la_crs_may_2012_508.zip

In addition to the direct financial reward for participating in the Community Rating System, there are many other reasons to participate in the CRS. As FEMA staff often say, "If you are only interested in saving premium dollars, you're in the CRS for the wrong reason." The other benefits that are more difficult to measure in dollars include:

- 1. The activities credited by the CRS provide direct benefits to residents, including:
 - Enhanced public safety
 - A reduction in damage to property and public infrastructure
 - Avoidance of economic disruption and losses
 - Reduction of human suffering
 - Protection of the environment
- 2. A community's flood programs will be better organized and more formal. Ad hoc activities, such as responding to drainage complaints rather than an inspection program, will be conducted on a sounder, more equitable basis.
- 3. A community can evaluate the effectiveness of its flood programs against a nationally recognized benchmark.
- 4. Technical assistance in designing and implementing a number of activities is available at no charge from the Insurance Services Office.
- 5. The public information activities will build a knowledgeable constituency interested in supporting and improving flood protection measures.
- 6. A community would have an added incentive to maintain its flood programs over the years. The fact that its CRS status could be affected by the elimination of a flood related activity or a weakening of the regulatory requirements for new developments would be taken into account by the governing board when considering such actions.
- 7. Every time residents pay their insurance premiums, they are reminded that the community is working to protect them from flood losses, even during dry years.
- **More information on the Community Rating System can be found at www.fema.gov/nfip/crs.shtm**

NFIP Worksheets

Parish and participating jurisdiction NFIP worksheets can be found in Appendix E: State Required Worksheets

4. Mitigation Strategy

Introduction

Madison Parish's Hazard Mitigation Strategy has a common guiding principle and is the demonstration of the parish's and participating jurisdictions' commitment to reduce risks from hazards. The strategy also serves as a guide for parish and local decision makers as they commit resources to reducing the effects of hazards.

Madison Parish confirmed the goals, objectives, actions, and projects over the period of the Hazard Mitigation Plan Update process. The mitigation actions and projects in this 2016 update are a product of analysis and review of the Madison Parish Hazard Mitigation Plan Steering Committee, under the coordination of the Madison Parish Office of Homeland Security and Emergency Preparedness. The committee was presented a list of projects and actions, new and from the 2011 plan, for review from February 2016 – August 2016.

An online public opinion survey was conducted of Madison Parish residents between April and September 2016. The survey was designed to capture public perceptions and opinions regarding natural hazards in Madison Parish. In addition, the survey sought to collect information regarding the methods and techniques preferred by the respondents for reducing the risks and losses associated with local hazards.

This activity was created in an effort to confirm that the goals and action items developed by the Madison Parish Hazard Mitigation Plan Steering Committee are representative of the outlook of the community at large. However, because there were no responses to the survey, this public feedback could not be incorporated into the plan. The full Madison Parish survey can be found at the following link:

https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/MadisonParish

During the public meeting in August, the committee provided a status of the projects from 2011 and the proposed actions for the 2016 update. Committee members then agreed on the submission of each project based on feasibility for funding, ease of completion and other community specific factors. The actions were later prioritized.

Goals

The goals represent the guidelines that the parish and its communities want to achieve with this plan update. To help implement the strategy and adhere to the mission of the Hazard Mitigation Plan, the preceding section of the plan update was focused on identifying and quantifying the risks faced by the residents and property owners in Madison Parish from natural and manmade hazards. By articulating goals and objectives based on the previous plans, the risk assessment results, and intending to address those results, this section sets the stage for identifying, evaluating, and prioritizing feasible, cost effective, and environmentally sound actions to be promoted at the parish and municipal level — and to be undertaken by the state for its own property and assets. By doing so, Madison Parish and its jurisdictions can make progress toward reducing identified risks.

For the purposes of this plan update, goals and action items are defined as follows:

- **Goals** are general guidelines that explain what the parish wants to achieve. Goals are expressed as broad policy statements representing desired long-term results.
- **Action Items** are the specific steps (projects, policies, and programs) that advance a given goal. They are highly focused, specific, and measurable.

The current goals of the Madison Parish Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Steering Committee represent long-term commitments by the parish and its jurisdictions. After assessing these goals, the committee decided that the current four goals remain valid.

The goals are as follows:

- Identify and pursue preventative measures that will reduce further damages from hazards
- Enhance public awareness and understanding of disaster preparedness
- Reduce repetitive flood losses in the parish
- Facilitate sound development in the parish to reduce or eliminate potential impacts of hazards

The Mitigation Action Plan focuses on actions to be taken by Madison Parish and its jurisdictions. All of the activities in the Mitigation Action Plan will be focused on helping the parish and its municipalities in developing and funding projects that are not only cost effective, but also meet the other DMA 2000 criteria of environmental compatibility and technical feasibility.

The Hazard Mitigation Plan Steering Committee and each jurisdiction reviewed and evaluated the potential action and project lists in which consideration was given to a variety of factors. Such factors include determining a project's eligibility for federal mitigation grants, as well as its ability to be funded. This process required evaluation of each project's engineering feasibility, cost effectiveness, and environmental and cultural factors.

2016 Mitigation Actions and Update on Previous Plan Actions

The Madison Parish Hazard Mitigation Plan Steering Committee and participating jurisdictions each identified actions that would reduce and/or prevent future damage within Madison Parish and their respective communities. In that effort, each jurisdiction focused on a comprehensive range of specific mitigation actions. These actions were identified in thorough fashion by the consultant team, the committee, and the individual jurisdictions by way of frequent and open communications and meetings held throughout the planning process.

As outlined in the Local Mitigation Planning Handbook, the following are eligible types of mitigation actions:

- **Local Plans and Regulations** These actions include government authorities, policies, or codes that influence the way land and buildings are developed and built.
- Structure and Infrastructure Projects These actions involve modifying existing structures and infrastructure to protect them from a hazard or remove them from a hazard area, and also includes projects to construct manmade structures to reduce the impact of hazards.
- **Natural System Protection** These actions minimize the damage and losses and also preserve or restore the functions of natural systems.
- **Education and Awareness Programs** These actions inform and educate citizens, elected officials, and property owners about hazards and potential ways to mitigate them.

The established and agreed upon parish and jurisdiction actions relative to the parish-wide goals are below. Additionally, action updates from the previous plan updates can be found in the first table below.

Madison 2011 Hazard Mitigation Action Update

	Madison Parish - Unin	corporated		
Jurisdiction-Specific Action	Action Description	Funding Source	Responsible Party, Agency, or Department	Status
M1: Wind Hardening	Wind harden structures using shutters, screens, window film, and/or roof straps	HMGP, local, regional, and federal	Existing parish and municipal administration	Carried Over
M2: Safe Rooms	Install safe rooms in critical facilities	HMGP, local, regional, and federal	Existing parish and municipal administration	Carried Over
M3: Early Warning and Reverse 911 System	Install a hazard early warning system and reverse 911 system	HMGP, local, regional, and federal	Parish and municipal administration	Ongoing
M4: Shelters	Construct new shelters	HMGP, local, regional, and federal	Parish and municipal administration	Carried Over
M5: Communication Devices	Purchase communication devices including radio systems	HMGP, local, regional, and federal	Existing parish and municipal administration	In progress
M6: Generators	Purchase generators for critical facilities to ensure operation during and after a hazard event	HMGP, local, regional, and federal	Existing parish and municipal administration	Completed
M7: Drainage Way Upgrades	Upgrade drainage ways by concrete lining, widening, and/or enlarging culverts and catch basins	HMGP, local, regional, and federal	Existing parish and municipal administration	Ongoing
M8: Storm Water Retention	Upgrade capacity of storm water retention and detention areas	HMGP, local, regional, and federal	Existing parish and municipal administration	Ongoing
M9: Sewer System Upgrades	Upgrade sewer system to ensure infiltration does not cause a service interruption	HMGP, local, regional, and federal	Existing parish and municipal administration	In progress
M10: Public Meetings	Continue to advertise public meetings during the hazard mitigation planning process	HMGP	Parish and municipal administrative staff	Ongoing
M11: Multi-Hazard Awareness Week	Sponsor a "Multi-Hazard Awareness Week"	HMGP	Parish and municipal administrative staff	In progress
M12: RL and SRL Structure Elevation	Elevate, acquire, or pilot reconstruct all RL and SRL structures in Madison Parish	HMGP	Existing parish administration	Ongoing
M13: Municipal Equipment Elevation	Elevate parish and municipal equipment that is vulnerable to flood damage	HMGP	Existing parish administration	Carried Over
M14: Public Building Flood Proofing	Flood proof all public buildings vulnerable to flood damage	HMGP	Existing parish administration	Carried Over

	Madison Parish - Unin	corporated		
Jurisdiction-Specific Action	Action Description	Funding Source	Responsible Party, Agency, or Department	Status
M15: Drainage Projects	Complete drainage projects including but not limited to upgrading culverts and widening outfall ditches to reduce repetitive flood losses and other flood threats in concentrated areas	HMGP	Existing parish administration	Ongoing
M16: Cohesive Drainage Plan	Ensure all municipalities and parish work together to produce a cohesive drainage plan	Local, federal, regional	Existing parish and municipal administration	In progress
M17: Building Code Enforcement	Ensure that future development does not increase hazard losses by enforcing building codes	No additional funds required	Parish and municipal administration	In progress
M18: Zoning Regulations	Guide future development away from hazard areas using zoning regulations while maintaining other parish goals such as economic development and improving the quality of life	No additional funds required	Parish and municipal administration	Carried Over
M19: New Regulations	Determine what new regulations could be passed to reduce the effects of hazards on new buildings and infrastructure	No additional funds required	Parish and municipal administration	Carried Over
M20: Environmental Enhancement and Conservation	Participate in existing programs at the state and federal levels oriented to environmental enhancement and conservation	local, regional, and federal	One current full-time member of the parish and municipalities	Deleted
M21: NFIP	Continue to participate in the NFIP	No additional funds required	Parish and municipal administrative staff	Ongoing
M22: Public Outreach Campaign	Establish a public outreach campaign to ensure all homeowners in floodplains are aware of the various types of coverage options under the NFIP	No additional funds required	Parish and municipal administrative staff	Ongoing
M23: Homeowner Education Program	Establish homeowner education program on flood mitigation measures	No additional funds required	Parish and municipal administrative staff	Ongoing
M24: CRS	Evaluate and consider future participation in the CRS	No additional funds required	Parish and municipal administrative staff	Carried Over

Village of Delta				
Jurisdiction-Specific Action	Action Description	Funding Source	Responsible Party, Agency, or Department	Status
M1: Wind Hardening	Wind harden structures using shutters, screens, window film, and/or roof straps	HMGP, local, regional, and federal	Existing parish and municipal administration	Carried Over
M2: Safe Rooms	Install safe rooms in critical facilities	HMGP, local, regional, and federal	Existing parish and municipal administration	Carried Over
M3: Early Warning and Reverse 911 System	Install a hazard early warning system and reverse 911 system	HMGP, local, regional, and federal	Parish and municipal administration	Ongoing
M4: Shelters	Construct new shelters	HMGP, local, regional, and federal	Parish and municipal administration	Carried Over
M5: Communication Devices	Purchase communication devices including radio systems	HMGP, local, regional, and federal	Existing parish and municipal administration	In progress
M6: Generators	Purchase generators for critical facilities to ensure operation during and after a hazard event	HMGP, local, regional, and federal	Existing parish and municipal administration	Completed
M7: Drainage Way Upgrades	Upgrade drainage ways by concrete lining, widening, and/or enlarging culverts and catch basins	HMGP, local, regional, and federal	Existing parish and municipal administration	Ongoing
M8: Storm Water Retention	Upgrade capacity of storm water retention and detention areas	HMGP, local, regional, and federal	Existing parish and municipal administration	Ongoing
M9: Sewer System Upgrades	Upgrade sewer system to ensure infiltration does not cause a service interruption	HMGP, local, regional, and federal	Existing parish and municipal administration	In progress
M10: Public Meetings	Continue to advertise public meetings during the hazard mitigation planning process	HMGP	Parish and municipal administrative staff	Ongoing
M11: Multi-Hazard Awareness Week	Sponsor a "Multi-Hazard Awareness Week"	HMGP	Parish and municipal administrative staff	In progress
M12: RL and SRL Structure Elevation	Elevate, acquire, or pilot reconstruct all RL and SRL structures in Madison Parish	HMGP	Existing parish administration	Ongoing
M13: Municipal Equipment Elevation	Elevate parish and municipal equipment that is vulnerable to flood damage	HMGP	Existing parish administration	Carried Over
M14: Public Building Flood Proofing	Flood proof all public buildings vulnerable to flood damage	HMGP	Existing parish administration	Carried Over

Village of Delta				
Jurisdiction-Specific Action	Action Description	Funding Source	Responsible Party, Agency, or Department	Status
M15: Drainage Projects	Complete drainage projects including but not limited to upgrading culverts and widening outfall ditches to reduce repetitive flood losses and other flood threats in concentrated areas	HMGP	Existing parish administration	Ongoing
M16: Cohesive Drainage Plan	Ensure all municipalities and parish work together to produce a cohesive drainage plan	Local, federal, regional	Existing parish and municipal administration	In progress
M17: Building Code Enforcement	Ensure that future development does not increase hazard losses by enforcing building codes	No additional funds required	Parish and municipal administration	In progress
M18: Zoning Regulations	Guide future development away from hazard areas using zoning regulations while maintaining other parish goals such as economic development and improving the quality of life	No additional funds required	Parish and municipal administration	Carried Over
M19: New Regulations	Determine what new regulations could be passed to reduce the effects of hazards on new buildings and infrastructure	No additional funds required	Parish and municipal administration	Carried Over
M20: Environmental Enhancement and Conservation	Participate in existing programs at the state and federal levels oriented to environmental enhancement and conservation	local, regional, and federal	One current full-time member of the parish and municipalities	Deleted
M21: NFIP	Continue to participate in the NFIP	No additional funds required	Parish and municipal administrative staff	Ongoing
M22: Public Outreach Campaign	Establish a public outreach campaign to ensure all homeowners in floodplains are aware of the various types of coverage options under the NFIP	No additional funds required	Parish and municipal administrative staff	Ongoing
M23: Homeowner Education Program	Establish homeowner education program on flood mitigation measures	No additional funds required	Parish and municipal administrative staff	Ongoing

Village of Delta				
Jurisdiction-Specific Action	Action Description	Funding Source	Responsible Party, Agency, or Department	Status
M24: CRS	Evaluate and consider future participation in the CRS	No additional funds required	Parish and municipal administrative staff	Carried Over

	Village of Mound				
Jurisdiction-Specific Action	Action Description	Funding Source	Responsible Party, Agency, or Department	Status	
M1: Wind Hardening	Wind harden structures using shutters, screens, window film, and/or roof straps	HMGP, local, regional, and federal	Existing parish and municipal administration	Carried Over	
M2: Safe Rooms	Install safe rooms in critical facilities	HMGP, local, regional, and federal	Existing parish and municipal administration	Carried Over	
M3: Early Warning and Reverse 911 System	Install a hazard early warning system and reverse 911 system	HMGP, local, regional, and federal	Parish and municipal administration	Ongoing	
M4: Shelters	Construct new shelters	HMGP, local, regional, and federal	Parish and municipal administration	Carried Over	
M5: Communication Devices	Purchase communication devices including radio systems	HMGP, local, regional, and federal	Existing parish and municipal administration	In progress	
M6: Generators	Purchase generators for critical facilities to ensure operation during and after a hazard event	HMGP, local, regional, and federal	Existing parish and municipal administration	Completed	
M7: Drainage Way Upgrades	Upgrade drainage ways by concrete lining, widening, and/or enlarging culverts and catch basins	HMGP, local, regional, and federal	Existing parish and municipal administration	Ongoing	
M8: Storm Water Retention	Upgrade capacity of storm water retention and detention areas	HMGP, local, regional, and federal	Existing parish and municipal administration	Ongoing	
M9: Sewer System Upgrades	Upgrade sewer system to ensure infiltration does not cause a service interruption	HMGP, local, regional, and federal	Existing parish and municipal administration	In progress	
M10: Public Meetings	Continue to advertise public meetings during the hazard mitigation planning process	HMGP	Parish and municipal administrative staff	Ongoing	
M11: Multi-Hazard Awareness Week	Sponsor a "Multi-Hazard Awareness Week"	HMGP	Parish and municipal administrative staff	In progress	
M12: RL and SRL Structure Elevation	Elevate, acquire, or pilot reconstruct all RL and SRL structures in Madison Parish	HMGP	Existing parish administration	Ongoing	

Village of Mound				
Jurisdiction-Specific Action	Action Description	Funding Source	Responsible Party, Agency, or Department	Status
M13: Municipal Equipment Elevation	Elevate parish and municipal equipment that is vulnerable to flood damage	HMGP	Existing parish administration	Carried Over
M14: Public Building Flood Proofing	Flood proof all public buildings vulnerable to flood damage	HMGP	Existing parish administration	Carried Over
M15: Drainage Projects	Complete drainage projects including but not limited to upgrading culverts and widening outfall ditches to reduce repetitive flood losses and other flood threats in concentrated areas	HMGP	Existing parish administration	Ongoing
M16: Cohesive Drainage Plan	Ensure all municipalities and parish work together to produce a cohesive drainage plan	Local, federal, regional	Existing parish and municipal administration	In progress
M17: Building Code Enforcement	Ensure that future development does not increase hazard losses by enforcing building codes	No additional funds required	Parish and municipal administration	In progress
M18: Zoning Regulations	Guide future development away from hazard areas using zoning regulations while maintaining other parish goals such as economic development and improving the quality of life	No additional funds required	Parish and municipal administration	Carried Over
M19: New Regulations	Determine what new regulations could be passed to reduce the effects of hazards on new buildings and infrastructure	No additional funds required	Parish and municipal administration	Carried Over
M20: Environmental Enhancement and Conservation	Participate in existing programs at the state and federal levels oriented to environmental enhancement and conservation	local, regional, and federal	One current full-time member of the parish and municipalities	Deleted
M21: NFIP	Continue to participate in the NFIP	No additional funds required	Parish and municipal administrative staff	Ongoing

	Village of Mound								
Jurisdiction-Specific Action	Action Description	Funding Source	Responsible Party, Agency, or Department	Status					
M22: Public Outreach Campaign	Establish a public outreach campaign to ensure all homeowners in floodplains are aware of the various types of coverage options under the NFIP	No additional funds required	Parish and municipal administrative staff	Ongoing					
M23: Homeowner Education Program	Establish homeowner education program on flood mitigation measures	No additional funds required	Parish and municipal administrative staff	Ongoing					
M24: CRS	Evaluate and consider future participation in the CRS	No additional funds required	Parish and municipal administrative staff	Carried Over					

	Village of Richm	ond		
Jurisdiction-Specific Action	ACTION DESCRIPTION		Responsible Party, Agency, or Department	Status
M1: Wind Hardening	Wind harden structures using shutters, screens, window film, and/or roof straps	HMGP, local, regional, and federal	Existing parish and municipal administration	Carried Over
M2: Safe Rooms	Install safe rooms in critical facilities	HMGP, local, regional, and federal	Existing parish and municipal administration	Carried Over
M3: Early Warning and Reverse 911 System	Install a hazard early warning system and reverse 911 system	HMGP, local, regional, and federal	Parish and municipal administration	Ongoing
M4: Shelters	Construct new shelters	HMGP, local, regional, and federal	Parish and municipal administration	Carried Over
M5: Communication Devices	Purchase communication devices including radio systems	HMGP, local, regional, and federal	Existing parish and municipal administration	In progress
M6: Generators	Purchase generators for critical facilities to ensure operation during and after a hazard event	HMGP, local, regional, and federal	Existing parish and municipal administration	Completed
M7: Drainage Way Upgrades	Upgrade drainage ways by concrete lining, widening, and/or enlarging culverts and catch basins	HMGP, local, regional, and federal	Existing parish and municipal administration	Ongoing

	Village of Richm	ond		
Jurisdiction-Specific Action	Action Description	Funding Source	Responsible Party, Agency, or Department	Status
M8: Storm Water Retention	Upgrade capacity of storm water retention and detention areas	HMGP, local, regional, and federal	Existing parish and municipal administration	Ongoing
M9: Sewer System Upgrades	Upgrade sewer system to ensure infiltration does not cause a service interruption	HMGP, local, regional, and federal	Existing parish and municipal administration	In progress
M10: Public Meetings	Continue to advertise public meetings during the hazard mitigation planning process	HMGP	Parish and municipal administrative staff	Ongoing
M11: Multi-Hazard Awareness Week	Sponsor a "Multi-Hazard Awareness Week"	HMGP	Parish and municipal administrative staff	In progress
M12: RL and SRL Structure Elevation	Elevate, acquire, or pilot reconstruct all RL and SRL structures in Madison Parish	HMGP	Existing parish administration	Ongoing
M13: Municipal Equipment Elevation	Elevate parish and municipal equipment that is vulnerable to flood damage	HMGP	Existing parish administration	Carried Over
M14: Public Building Flood Proofing	Flood proof all public buildings vulnerable to flood damage	HMGP	Existing parish administration	Carried Over
M15: Drainage Projects	Complete drainage projects including but not limited to upgrading culverts and widening outfall ditches to reduce repetitive flood losses and other flood threats in concentrated areas	HMGP	Existing parish administration	Ongoing
M16: Cohesive Drainage Plan	Ensure all municipalities and parish work together to produce a cohesive drainage plan	Local, federal, regional	Existing parish and municipal administration	In progress
M17: Building Code Enforcement	Ensure that future development does not increase hazard losses by enforcing building codes	No additional funds required	Parish and municipal administration	In progress
M18: Zoning Regulations	Guide future development away from hazard areas using zoning regulations while maintaining other parish goals such as economic development and improving the quality of life	No additional funds required	Parish and municipal administration	Carried Over

	Village of Richm	ond		
Jurisdiction-Specific Action	ACTION DESCRIPTION AGENC			
M19: New Regulations	Determine what new regulations could be passed to reduce the effects of hazards on new buildings and infrastructure	No additional funds required	Parish and municipal administration	Carried Over
M20: Environmental Enhancement and Conservation	Participate in existing programs at the state and federal levels oriented to environmental enhancement and conservation	local, regional, and federal	One current full-time member of the parish and municipalities	Deleted
M21: NFIP	Continue to participate in the NFIP	No additional funds required	Parish and municipal administrative staff	Ongoing
M22: Public Outreach Campaign	Establish a public outreach campaign to ensure all homeowners in floodplains are aware of the various types of coverage options under the NFIP	No additional funds required	Parish and municipal administrative staff	Ongoing
M23: Homeowner Education Program	Establish homeowner education program on flood mitigation measures	No additional funds required	Parish and municipal administrative staff	Ongoing
M24: CRS	Evaluate and consider future participation in the CRS	No additional funds required	Parish and municipal administrative staff	Carried Over

	City of Tallulah								
Jurisdiction-Specific Action	Action Description	Funding Source	Responsible Party, Agency, or Department	Status					
M1: Wind Hardening	Wind harden structures using shutters, screens, window film, and/or roof straps	HMGP, local, regional, and federal	Existing parish and municipal administration	Carried Over					
M2: Safe Rooms	Install safe rooms in critical facilities	HMGP, local, regional, and federal	Existing parish and municipal administration	Carried Over					
M3: Early Warning and Reverse 911 System	Install a hazard early warning system and reverse 911 system	HMGP, local, regional, and federal	Parish and municipal administration	Ongoing					
M4: Shelters	Construct new shelters	HMGP, local, regional, and federal	Parish and municipal administration	Carried Over					

	City of Tallulah							
Jurisdiction-Specific Action	Action Description	Funding Source	Responsible Party, Agency, or Department	Status				
M5: Communication Devices	Purchase communication devices including radio systems	HMGP, local, regional, and federal	Existing parish and municipal administration	In progress				
M6: Generators	Purchase generators for critical facilities to ensure operation during and after a hazard event	HMGP, local, regional, and federal	Existing parish and municipal administration	Completed				
M7: Drainage Way Upgrades	Upgrade drainage ways by concrete lining, widening, and/or enlarging culverts and catch basins	HMGP, local, regional, and federal	Existing parish and municipal administration	Ongoing				
M8: Storm Water Retention	Upgrade capacity of storm water retention and detention areas	HMGP, local, regional, and federal	Existing parish and municipal administration	Ongoing				
M9: Sewer System Upgrades	Upgrade sewer system to ensure infiltration does not cause a service interruption	HMGP, local, regional, and federal	Existing parish and municipal administration	In progress				
M10: Public Meetings	Continue to advertise public meetings during the hazard mitigation planning process	HMGP	Parish and municipal administrative staff	Ongoing				
M11: Multi-Hazard Awareness Week	Sponsor a "Multi-Hazard Awareness Week"	HMGP	Parish and municipal administrative staff	In progress				
M12: RL and SRL Structure Elevation	Elevate, acquire, or pilot reconstruct all RL and SRL structures in Madison Parish	HMGP	Existing parish administration	Ongoing				
M13: Municipal Equipment Elevation	Elevate parish and municipal equipment that is vulnerable to flood damage	HMGP	Existing parish administration	Carried Over				
M14: Public Building Flood Proofing	Flood proof all public buildings vulnerable to flood damage	HMGP	Existing parish administration	Carried Over				
M15: Drainage Projects	Complete drainage projects including but not limited to upgrading culverts and widening outfall ditches to reduce repetitive flood losses and other flood threats in concentrated areas	HMGP	Existing parish administration	Ongoing				
M16: Cohesive Drainage Plan	Ensure all municipalities and parish work together to produce a cohesive drainage plan	Local, federal, regional	Existing parish and municipal administration	In progress				
M17: Building Code Enforcement	Ensure that future development does not increase hazard losses by enforcing building codes	No additional funds required	Parish and municipal administration	In progress				

	City of Tallula	ìh		
Jurisdiction-Specific Action	Action Description	Funding Source	Responsible Party, Agency, or Department	Status
M18: Zoning Regulations	Guide future development away from hazard areas using zoning regulations while maintaining other parish goals such as economic development and improving the quality of life	No additional funds required	Parish and municipal administration	Carried Over
M19: New Regulations	Determine what new regulations could be passed to reduce the effects of hazards on new buildings and infrastructure	No additional funds required	Parish and municipal administration	Carried Over
M20: Environmental Enhancement and Conservation	Participate in existing programs at the state and federal levels oriented to environmental enhancement and conservation	local, regional, and federal	One current full-time member of the parish and municipalities	Deleted
M21: NFIP	Continue to participate in the NFIP	No additional funds required	Parish and municipal administrative staff	Ongoing
M22: Public Outreach Campaign	Establish a public outreach campaign to ensure all homeowners in floodplains are aware of the various types of coverage options under the NFIP	No additional funds required	Parish and municipal administrative staff	Ongoing
M23: Homeowner Education Program	Establish homeowner education program on flood mitigation measures	No additional funds required	Parish and municipal administrative staff	Ongoing
M24: CRS	Evaluate and consider future participation in the CRS	No additional funds required	Parish and municipal administrative staff	Carried Over

Unincorporated Madison - New Mitigation Actions

	Madisor	Unincorp	orated - New N	Mitigation Actio	ns		
Jurisdiction- Specific Action	Action Description	Funding Source	Target Completion Date	Responsible Party, Agency, or Department	Hazard	Goal	Status
M1: Building Retrofits	Retrofit public buildings exterior shell to maintain use during and after storm events. Benefits: Reduces damage from high winds, and helps assure that the public buildings can be used, occupied and operable during or after storms.	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	Madison Parish OHSEP	High Wind, Hail, Tropical Cyclones, Tornadoes	1,2,3	New
M2: Drainage Improvement	Will relieve flooding problems, reduce flood damage and costs of damage, overtopping of roads with drain water, while also keeping open roadways during periods of high precipitation. Benefits: Relieves Parish or local government and property owners of the continual flooding problems, with closed roadways (loss of function). Saves public funds for road repairs, drainage ditch repairs, sandbagging and blocking of roadways during storm periods.	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	Madison Parish OHSEP	Flooding, Tropical Cyclones, Levee Failure	1,3,4	New
M3: Mitigation of repetitive loss and severe repetitive loss properties and other hazard prone structures	Elevation, acquisition-demolition, acquisition-relocations, and reconstruction of repetitive loss or flooding or other hazard prone properties.	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	Madison Parish OHSEP	Flooding, Tropical Cyclones, Levee Failure	1,2,3,4	New
M4: Safe Room Projects	Construction of a safe room for first responders located in Madison Parish. Other locations will be identified based on funding availability.	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	Madison Parish OHSEP	Tornadoes, High Wind, Tropical Cyclones	1,2	New

	Madisor	n Unincorp	orated - New N	/litigation Actio	ons		
Jurisdiction- Specific Action	Action Description	Funding Source	Target Completion Date	Responsible Party, Agency, or Department	Hazard	Goal	Status
M5: Education and Outreach	Enhance the public outreach programs for the parish and all communities by increasing awareness of risks and safety for Flooding, Tropical Cyclones, Tornadoes, Thunderstorms (lightning, high wind, hail), Sinkholes, Levee Failure and Winter Storm hazards as well as providing information on high risk areas. Informing communities, business and citizens on proper mitigation efforts and activities will create resiliency within the parish and its communities.	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	Madison Parish OHSEP	Flooding, Tropical Cyclones, Tornadoes, Thunderstorms (lightning, high wind, hail), Winter Storms, Levee Failure, Sinkholes	1,2,3,4	New
M6: Generators for continuity of operations and government	Procurement and Installation of generators at public facilities to ensure continued operations during and after events.	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	Madison Parish OHSEP	Tornadoes, Winter Storms, Tropical Cyclones, Thunderstorms (lightning, high wind, hail), Levee Failure	1	New
M7: Lightning Mitigation	Procurement and Installation of Lightning rods and surge protectors for public buildings to preserve life and property	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	Madison Parish OHSEP	Lightning	1	New
M8: Warning Systems	Update/upgrade public warning system components throughout Madison Parish as necessary. Install audible and/or reverse 911 warning system(s)	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	Madison Parish OHSEP	Winter Storms, Tornadoes, Tropical Cyclones, Sinkholes	1,2,3	New

	Madisor	n Unincorp	orated - New N	/litigation Actio	ons		
Jurisdiction- Specific Action	Action Description	Funding Source	Target Completion Date	Responsible Party, Agency, or Department	Hazard	Goal	Status
M9: Potable Water	Create redundancy of potable water supply to critical facilities, especially hospitals in Parish, and provide protection of potable water supply by acquisition/installation of backflow preventers at appropriate critical locations.	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	Madison Parish OHSEP	Tropical Cyclones, Thunderstorms (lightning, high wind, hail), Tornadoes, Levee Failure	1,2	New
M10: Promote Flood Insurance	Promote the purchase of flood insurance. Advertise the availability, cost, and coverage of flood insurance through the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP).	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	Madison Parish OHSEP	Tropical Cyclones, Flooding, Levee Failure	1,2,3,4	New
M11: Levee Failure Working Group	Create a working group in order to assess the extent and determine the possible effects of a Levee Failure.	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	Madison Parish OHSEP and Mayors	Levee Failure, Flooding	1,3,4	New

Village of Delta - New Mitigation Actions

			Village of Del	ta			
Jurisdiction- Specific Action	Action Description	Funding Source	Target Completion Date	Responsible Party, Agency, or Department	Hazard	Goal	Status
D1: Building Retrofits	Retrofit public buildings exterior shell to maintain use during and after storm events. Benefits: Reduces damage from high winds, and helps assure that the public buildings can be used, occupied and operable during or after storms.	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	Village of Delta/Madison Parish OHSEP	High Wind, Hail, Tropical Cyclones, Tornadoes	1,2,3	New
D2: Drainage Improvement	Will relieve flooding problems, reduce flood damage and costs of damage, overtopping of roads with drain water, while also keeping open roadways during periods of high precipitation. Benefits: Relieves Parish or local government and property owners of the continual flooding problems, with closed roadways (loss of function). Saves public funds for road repairs, drainage ditch repairs, sandbagging and blocking of roadways during storm periods.	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	Village of Delta/Madison Parish OHSEP	Flooding, Tropical Cyclones, Levee Failure	1,3,4	New
D3: Mitigation of repetitive loss and severe repetitive loss properties and other hazard prone structures	Elevation, acquisition-demolition, acquisition-relocations, and reconstruction of repetitive loss or flooding or other hazard prone properties.	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	Village of Delta/Madison Parish OHSEP	Flooding, Tropical Cyclones, Levee Failure	1,2,3,4	New
D4: Safe Room Projects	Construction of a safe room for first responders located in Madison Parish. Other locations will be identified based on funding availability.	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	Village of Delta/Madison Parish OHSEP	Tornadoes, High Wind, Tropical Cyclones	1,2	New

			Village of Del	ta			
Jurisdiction- Specific Action	Action Description	Funding Source	Target Completion Date	Responsible Party, Agency, or Department	Hazard	Goal	Status
D5: Education and Outreach	Enhance the public outreach programs for the parish and all communities by increasing awareness of risks and safety for Flooding, Tropical Cyclones, Tornadoes, Thunderstorms (lightning, high wind, hail), Levee Failure and Winter Storm hazards as well as providing information on high risk areas. Informing communities, business and citizens on proper mitigation efforts and activities will create resiliency within the parish and its communities.	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	Village of Delta/Madison Parish OHSEP	Flooding, Tropical Cyclones, Tornadoes, Thunderstorms (lightning, high wind, hail), Winter Storms, Levee Failure	1,2,3,4	New
D6: Generators for continuity of operations and government	Procurement and Installation of generators at public facilities to ensure continued operations during and after events.	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	Village of Delta/Madison Parish OHSEP	Tornadoes, Winter Storms, Tropical Cyclones, Thunderstorms (lightning, high wind, hail), Levee Failure	1	New
D7: Lightning Mitigation	Procurement and Installation of Lightning rods and surge protectors for public buildings to preserve life and property	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	Village of Delta/Madison Parish OHSEP	Lightning	1	New
D8: Warning Systems	Update/upgrade public warning system components throughout Madison Parish as necessary. Install audible and/or reverse 911 warning system(s)	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	Village of Delta/Madison Parish OHSEP	Winter Storms, Tornadoes, Tropical Cyclones	1,2,3	New

			Village of Del	ta			
Jurisdiction- Specific Action	Action Description	Funding Source	Target Completion Date	Responsible Party, Agency, or Department	Hazard	Goal	Status
D9: Potable Water	Create redundancy of potable water supply to critical facilities, especially hospitals in Parish, and provide protection of potable water supply by acquisition/installation of backflow preventers at appropriate critical locations.	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	Village of Delta/Madison Parish OHSEP	Tropical Cyclones, Thunderstorms (lightning, high wind, hail), Tornadoes, Levee Failure	1,2	New
D10: Promote Flood Insurance	Promote the purchase of flood insurance. Advertise the availability, cost, and coverage of flood insurance through the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP).	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	Village of Delta/Madison Parish OHSEP	Tropical Cyclones, Flooding, Levee Failure	1,2,3,4	New
D11: Levee Failure Working Group	Create a working group in order to assess the extent and determine the possible effects of a Levee Failure.	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	Madison Parish OHSEP and Mayors	Levee Failure, Flooding	1,3,4	New

Village of Mound - New Mitigation Actions

	Village of Mound										
Jurisdiction- Specific Action	Action Description	Funding Source	Target Completion Date	Responsible Party, Agency, or Department	Hazard	Goal	Status				
M1: Building Retrofits	Retrofit public buildings exterior shell to maintain use during and after storm events. Benefits: Reduces damage from high winds, and helps assure that the public buildings can be used, occupied and operable during or after storms.	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	Village of Mound/Madison Parish OHSEP	High Wind, Hail, Tropical Cyclones, Tornadoes	1,2,3	New				
M2: Drainage Improvement	Will relieve flooding problems, reduce flood damage and costs of damage, overtopping of roads with drain water, while also keeping open roadways during periods of high precipitation. Benefits: Relieves Parish or local government and property owners of the continual flooding problems, with closed roadways (loss of function). Saves public funds for road repairs, drainage ditch repairs, sandbagging and blocking of roadways during storm periods.	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	Village of Mound/Madison Parish OHSEP	Flooding, Tropical Cyclones, Levee Failure	1,3,4	New				
M3: Mitigation of repetitive loss and severe repetitive loss properties and other hazard prone structures	Elevation, acquisition-demolition, acquisition-relocations, and reconstruction of repetitive loss or flooding or other hazard prone properties.	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	Village of Mound/Madison Parish OHSEP	Flooding, Tropical Cyclones, Levee Failure	1,2,3,	New				
M4: Safe Room Projects	Construction of a safe room for first responders located in Madison Parish. Other locations will be identified based on funding availability.	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	Village of Mound/Madison Parish OHSEP	Tornadoes, High Wind, Tropical Cyclones	1,2	New				

		,	Village of Mou	nd			
Jurisdiction- Specific Action	Action Description	Funding Source	Target Completion Date	Responsible Party, Agency, or Department	Hazard	Goal	Status
M5: Education and Outreach	Enhance the public outreach programs for the parish and all communities by increasing awareness of risks and safety for Flooding, Tropical Cyclones, Tornadoes, Thunderstorms (lightning, high wind, hail), Levee Failure and Winter Storm hazards as well as providing information on high risk areas. Informing communities, business and citizens on proper mitigation efforts and activities will create resiliency within the parish and its communities.	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	Village of Mound/Madison Parish OHSEP	Flooding, Tropical Cyclones, Tornadoes, Thunderstorms (lightning, high wind, hail), Winter Storms, Levee Failure	1,2,3,	New
M6: Generators for continuity of operations and government	Procurement and Installation of generators at public facilities to ensure continued operations during and after events.	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	Village of Mound/Madison Parish OHSEP	Tornadoes, Winter Storms, Tropical Cyclones, Thunderstorms (lightning, high wind, hail), Levee Failure	1	New
M7: Lightning Mitigation	Procurement and Installation of Lightning rods and surge protectors for public buildings to preserve life and property	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	Village of Mound/Madison Parish OHSEP	Lightning	1	New
M8: Warning Systems	Update/upgrade public warning system components throughout Madison Parish as necessary. Install audible and/or reverse 911 warning system(s)	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	Village of Mound/Madison Parish OHSEP	Winter Storms, Tornadoes, Tropical Cyclones	1,2,3	New

		,	Village of Mou	nd			
Jurisdiction- Specific Action	Action Description	Funding Source	Target Completion Date	Responsible Party, Agency, or Department	Hazard	Goal	Status
M9: Potable Water	Create redundancy of potable water supply to critical facilities, especially hospitals in Parish, and provide protection of potable water supply by acquisition/installation of backflow preventers at appropriate critical locations.	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	Village of Mound/Madison Parish OHSEP	Tropical Cyclones, Thunderstorms (lightning, high wind, hail), Tornadoes, Levee Failure	1,2	New
M10: Promote Flood Insurance	Promote the purchase of flood insurance. Advertise the availability, cost, and coverage of flood insurance through the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP).	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	Village of Mound/Madison Parish OHSEP	Tropical Cyclones, Flooding, Levee Failure	1,2,3,	New
M11: Levee Failure Working Group	Create a working group in order to assess the extent and determine the possible effects of a Levee Failure.	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	Madison Parish OHSEP and Mayors	Levee Failure, Flooding	1,3,4	New

Village of Richmond - New Mitigation Actions

	Village of Richmond										
Jurisdiction- Specific Action	Action Description	Funding Source	Target Completion Date	Responsible Party, Agency, or Department	Hazard	Goal	Status				
R1: Building Retrofits	Retrofit public buildings exterior shell to maintain use during and after storm events. Benefits: Reduces damage from high winds, and helps assure that the public buildings can be used, occupied and operable during or after storms.	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	Village of Richmond/Madison Parish OHSEP	High Wind, Hail, Tropical Cyclones, Tornadoes	1,2,3	New				
R2: Drainage Improvements	Will relieve flooding problems, reduce flood damage and costs of damage, overtopping of roads with drain water, while also keeping open roadways during periods of high precipitation. Benefits: Relieves Parish or local government and property owners of the continual flooding problems, with closed roadways (loss of function). Saves public funds for road repairs, drainage ditch repairs, sandbagging and blocking of roadways during storm periods.	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	Village of Richmond/Madison Parish OHSEP	Flooding, Tropical Cyclones, Levee Failure	1,3,4	New				
R3: Mitigation of repetitive loss and severe repetitive loss properties and other hazard prone structures	Elevation, acquisition-demolition, acquisition-relocations, and reconstruction of repetitive loss or flooding or other hazard prone properties.	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	Village of Richmond/Madison Parish OHSEP	Flooding, Tropical Cyclones, Levee Failure	1,2,3,4	New				
R4: Safe Room Projects	Construction of a safe room for first responders located in Madison Parish. Other locations will be identified based on funding availability.	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	Village of Richmond/Madison Parish OHSEP	Tornadoes, High Wind, Tropical Cyclones	1,2	New				

			Village of Rich	mond			
Jurisdiction- Specific Action	Action Description	Funding Source	Target Completion Date	Responsible Party, Agency, or Department	Hazard	Goal	Status
R5: Education and Outreach	Enhance the public outreach programs for the parish and all communities by increasing awareness of risks and safety for Flooding, Tropical Cyclones, Tornadoes, Thunderstorms (lightning, high wind, hail), Levee Failure and Winter Storm hazards as well as providing information on high risk areas. Informing communities, business and citizens on proper mitigation efforts and activities will create resiliency within the parish and its communities.	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	Village of Richmond/Madison Parish OHSEP	Flooding, Tropical Cyclones, Tornadoes, Thunderstorms (lightning, high wind, hail), Winter Storms, Levee Failure	1,2,3,4	New
R6: Generators for continuity of operations and government	Procurement and Installation of generators at public facilities to ensure continued operations during and after events.	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	Village of Richmond/Madison Parish OHSEP	Tornadoes, Winter Storms, Tropical Cyclones, Thunderstorms (lightning, high wind, hail), Levee Failure	1	New
R7: Lightning Mitigation	Procurement and Installation of Lightning rods and surge protectors for public buildings to preserve life and property	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	Village of Richmond/Madison Parish OHSEP	Lightning	1	New
R8: Warning Systems	Update/upgrade public warning system components throughout Madison Parish as necessary. Install audible and/or reverse 911 warning system(s)	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	Village of Richmond/Madison Parish OHSEP	Winter Storms, Tornadoes, Tropical Cyclones	1,2,3	New

			Village of Rich	mond			
Jurisdiction- Specific Action	Action Description	Funding Source	Target Completion Date	Responsible Party, Agency, or Department	Hazard	Goal	Status
R9: Potable Water	Create redundancy of potable water supply to critical facilities, especially hospitals in Parish, and provide protection of potable water supply by acquisition/installation of backflow preventers at appropriate critical locations.	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	Village of Richmond/Madison Parish OHSEP	Tropical Cyclones, Thunderstorms (lightning, high wind, hail), Tornadoes, Levee Failure	1,2	New
R10: Promote Flood Insurance	Promote the purchase of flood insurance. Advertise the availability, cost, and coverage of flood insurance through the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP).	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	Village of Richmond/Madison Parish OHSEP	Tropical Cyclones, Flooding, Levee Failure	1,2,3,4	New
R11: Levee Failure Working Group	Create a working group in order to assess the extent and determine the possible effects of a Levee Failure.	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	Madison Parish OHSEP and Mayors	Levee Failure, Flooding	1,3,4	New

City of Tallulah – New Mitigation Actions

	City of Tallulah									
Jurisdiction- Specific Action	Action Description	Funding Source	Target Completion Date	Responsible Party, Agency, or Department	Hazard	Goal	Status			
T1: Building Retrofits	Retrofit public buildings exterior shell to maintain use during and after storm events. Benefits: Reduces damage from high winds, and helps assure that the public buildings can be used, occupied and operable during or after storms.	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	City of Tallulah/Madison Parish OHSEP	High Wind, Hail, Tropical Cyclones, Tornadoes	1,2,3	New			
T2: Drainage Improvement	Will relieve flooding problems, reduce flood damage and costs of damage, overtopping of roads with drain water, while also keeping open roadways during periods of high precipitation. Benefits: Relieves Parish or local government and property owners of the continual flooding problems, with closed roadways (loss of function). Saves public funds for road repairs, drainage ditch repairs, sandbagging and blocking of roadways during storm periods.	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	City of Tallulah/Madison Parish OHSEP	Flooding, Tropical Cyclones, Levee Failure	1,3,4	New			
T3: Mitigation of repetitive loss and severe repetitive loss properties and other hazard prone structures	Elevation, acquisition-demolition, acquisition-relocations, and reconstruction of repetitive loss or flooding or other hazard prone properties.	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	City of Tallulah/Madison Parish OHSEP	Flooding, Tropical Cyclones, Levee Failure	1,2,3,4	New			
T4: Safe Room Projects	Construction of a safe room for first responders located in Madison Parish. Other locations will be identified based on funding availability.	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	City of Tallulah/Madison Parish OHSEP	Tornadoes, High Wind, Tropical Cyclones	1,2	New			

			City of Tallul	ah			
Jurisdiction- Specific Action	Action Description	Funding Source	Target Completion Date	Responsible Party, Agency, or Department	Hazard	Goal	Status
T5: Education and Outreach	Enhance the public outreach programs for the parish and all communities by increasing awareness of risks and safety for Flooding, Tropical Cyclones, Tornadoes, Thunderstorms (lightning, high wind, hail), Sinkholes, Levee Failure and Winter Storm hazards as well as providing information on high risk areas. Informing communities, business and citizens on proper mitigation efforts and activities will create resiliency within the parish and its communities.	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	City of Tallulah/Madison Parish OHSEP	Flooding, Tropical Cyclones, Tornadoes, Thunderstorms (lightning, high wind, hail), Winter Storms, Levee Failure, Sinkholes	1,2,3,4	New
T6: Generators for continuity of operations and government	Procurement and Installation of generators at public facilities to ensure continued operations during and after events.	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	City of Tallulah/Madison Parish OHSEP	Tornadoes, Winter Storms, Tropical Cyclones, Thunderstorms (lightning, high wind, hail), Levee Failure	1	New
T7: Lightning Mitigation	Procurement and Installation of Lightning rods and surge protectors for public buildings to preserve life and property	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	City of Tallulah/Madison Parish OHSEP	Lightning	1	New
T8: Warning Systems	Update/upgrade public warning system components throughout Madison Parish as necessary. Install audible and/or reverse 911 warning system(s)	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	City of Tallulah/Madison Parish OHSEP	Winter Storms, Tornadoes, Tropical Cyclones, Sinkholes	1,2,3	New

			City of Tallul	ah			
Jurisdiction- Specific Action	Action Description	Funding Source	Target Completion Date	Responsible Party, Agency, or Department	Hazard	Goal	Status
T9: Potable Water	Create redundancy of potable water supply to critical facilities, especially hospitals in Parish, and provide protection of potable water supply by acquisition/installation of backflow preventers at appropriate critical locations.	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	City of Tallulah/Madison Parish OHSEP	Tropical Cyclones, Thunderstorms (lightning, high wind, hail), Tornadoes, Levee Failure	1,2	New
T10: Promote Flood Insurance	Promote the purchase of flood insurance. Advertise the availability, cost, and coverage of flood insurance through the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP).	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	City of Tallulah/Madison Parish OHSEP	Tropical Cyclones, Flooding, Levee Failure	1,2,3,4	New
T11: Levee Failure Working Group	Create a working group in order to assess the extent and determine the possible effects of a Levee Failure.	FEMA HMGP, Local	1-5 years	Madison Parish OHSEP and Mayors	Levee Failure, Flooding	1,3,4	New

Action Prioritization

During the prioritization process, each jurisdiction and the steering committee considered the costs and relative benefits of each new action. Costs can usually be listed in terms of dollars, although at times it involves staff time rather than the purchase of equipment or services that can be readily measured in dollars. In most cases, benefits, such as lives saved or future damage prevented, are hard to measure in dollars, many projects were prioritized with these factors in mind.

In all cases, the jurisdictions concluded that the benefits (in terms of reduced property damage, lives saved, health problems averted and/or economic harm prevented) outweighed the costs for the recommended action items.

The steering committee met internally for mitigation action meetings to review and approve Madison Parish and the jurisdiction's mitigation actions. On-going actions, as well as actions which can be undertaken by existing parish or local staff without need for additional funding, were given high priority. The actions with high benefit and low cost, political support, and public support but require additional funding from parish or external sources were given medium priority. The actions that require substantial funding from external sources with relatively longer completion time were given low priority. There have been no changes in financial, legal, and political priorities within the past 5 years, with the methodology and prioritization process remaining the same.

Madison Parish and the participating jurisdictions will implement and administer the identified actions based off of the proposed timeframes and priorities for each reflected in the portions of this section where actions are summarized. The inclusion of any specific action item in this document does not commit the parish to implementation. Each action item will be subject to availability of staff and funding. Certain items may require regulatory changes or other decisions that must be implemented through standard processes, such as changing regulations. This plan is intended to offer priorities based on an examination of hazards.

This Page Left Intentionally Blank

Appendix A: Planning Process

Purpose

The Hazard Mitigation Plan Update process prompts local jurisdictions to keep their hazard mitigation plan current and moving toward a more resilient community. The plan update builds on the research and planning efforts of previous plans while reviewing recent trends. The steering committee followed FEMA's hazard mitigation planning process per the FEMA Local Mitigation Planning Handbook. This planning process assured public involvement and the participation of interested agencies and private organizations. Documentation of the planning process for the updated plan is addressed in this section.

The Madison Parish Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

The Madison Parish Hazard Mitigation Plan Update process began in January 2016 with a series of meetings and collaborations between the contractor (SDMI) and the participating jurisdictions. Update activities were intended to give each jurisdiction the opportunity to shape the plan to best fit their community's goals. Community stakeholders and the general public were invited to attend and contribute information to the planning process during specific time periods or meetings.

Madison Parish includes the unincorporated areas of the parish and four incorporated municipalities that participated in the plan update process – the Village of Delta, Village of Mound, Village of Richmond, and City of Tallulah. Madison Parish Office of Homeland Security and Emergency Preparedness (OHSEP) invited communities' representatives to meetings, where they supplied critical infrastructure data and reviewed work-in-progress for the plan update.

Similar to the development of the original Hazard Mitigation Plan, the role of the steering committee members during the plan update was to attend the planning meetings and provide valuable information on the parish, develop parts of the plan update, and review the results of research conducted by SDMI. Tasks completed by the steering committee include:

- Reviewing and revising the list of potential hazards included in the plan update
- Assembling a list of critical facilities, such as hospitals, police stations, and shelters
- Updating mitigation goals and objectives
- Determining prudent mitigation measures
- Prioritization of identified mitigation measures

The table below details the meeting schedule and purpose for the planning process:

Date	Meeting or Outreach	Location	Public Invited	Purpose
1/22/2016	Initial	Telephone/ Fmail	No	Discuss with Parish HM coordinator and any Steering
	Coordination	Email		Committee members expectations and requirements of the project.
2/2/2016	Kick-Off	Tallulah, LA	No	Discuss with the plan steering committee
	Meeting			expectations and requirements of the project. Assign plan worksheets to jurisdictions.
8/25/2016	Risk	Tallulah, LA	No	Discuss and review the risk assessment with the
	Assessment			steering committee discuss and review expectations
8/25/2016	Overview Public	Tallulah, LA	Yes	for public meeting. The public meeting allowed the public and
0, 20, 2020	Meeting		. 55	community stakeholders to participate and provide
				input into the hazard mitigation planning process.
				Maps of the Madison Parish communities were
				provide for the meeting attendees to identify specific areas where localized hazards occur.
Ongoing	Public Survey	Online	Yes	This survey asked participants about public
	Tool			perceptions and opinions regarding natural hazards
				in Madison Parish. In addition, we asked about the
				methods and techniques preferred for reducing
				the risks and losses associated with these hazards.
				Survey Results:
				https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/MadisonParish
2 Week	Public Plan		Yes	Parish Website and Madison Parish OHSEP
Period	Review			
	(Digital)			

Planning

The plan update process consisted of several phases:

Phase	Month 1-2	Month 3	Month 4	Month 5	Month 6	Month 7	Month 8	Month 9
Plan Revision								
Data Collection								
Risk Assessment								
Public Input								
Mitigation Strategy and Actions								
Plan Review by GOHSEP and FEMA								
Plan Adoption								
Plan Approval								

Coordination

The Madison Parish OHSEP oversaw the coordination of the 2016 Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Steering Committee during the update process. The Madison Parish OHSEP and participating jurisdictions were responsible for identifying members for the committee.

The Parish Director and SDMI were jointly responsible for inviting the Steering Committees and key stakeholders to all planned meetings and activities by email invitations and calendar invites. SDMI assisted the Parish Director with meeting notices, website and social media statements for notification to the media and general public for public meetings and public outreach activities.

SDMI was responsible for facilitating meetings and outreach efforts during the update process.

Neighboring Community, Local and Regional Planning Process Involvement

From the outset of the planning process, the Hazard Mitigation Team encouraged participation from a broad range of jurisdictional entities. The involvement of representatives from the city, state, and regional agencies provided diverse perspectives and mitigation ideas.

Formal participation in this plan includes but is not limited to the following activities:

- · Participation in Hazard Mitigation Team meetings at the local and parish level
- Sharing local data and information
- Local action item development

- Plan document draft review
- Formal adoption of the Hazard Mitigation Plan document by each jurisdiction following provisional approval by The State of Louisiana and FEMA

The 2016 Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Steering Committee consisted of representatives from the following parish, municipal, or community stakeholders:

- Madison Parish Police Jury
- Madison Office of Homeland Security and Emergency Preparedness
- · City of Tallulah
- Village of Delta
- Village of Mound
- Village of Richmond

The Parish of East Carroll was invited by the Madison Parish OHSEP to participate in all meetings and activities as well in an effort to collaborate with neighboring communities. In addition, the participation of the GOHSEP Region 8 Coordinator during the process also contributed to neighboring community representation.

As part of the coordination and planning process, each jurisdiction was provided the State Required Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Worksheet. Jurisdictions with the capability to complete and return these worksheets returned them to assist with the 2016 update. The completed worksheets can be found in Appendix E – State Required Plan Update Worksheets.

Below is a detailed list of the 2016 Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Steering Committee:

Name	Title	Agency	Address	Phone
Paxton J.	Mayor of		204 N Cedar St, Tallulah,	
Branch	Tallulah	City of Tallulah	LA 71282	(318) 574-0964
			200 1st St, Delta, LA	
Marvin Ashley	Mayor of Delta	Village of Delta	71233	(318) 633-9566
Margaret	Mayor of		2927 Highway 602,	
Yerger	Mound	Village of Mound	Mound, Louisiana, 71282	(318) 574-4969
	Mayor of	Village of	598 Wood St, Richmond,	
Olga Butler	Richmond	Richmond	LA 71282	(318) 574-2913
Cynthia	Assistant	Madison Parish	100 N Cedar St # 3,	
Machen	Director	OHSEP	Tallulah, LA 71282	(318) 341-1697
		Madison Parish	100 N Cedar St # 3,	
Earl Pinkney	Director	OHSEP	Tallulah, LA 71282	(318) 341-1697
	Regional		7667 Independence Blvd	
Joe Stewart	Coordinator	GOHSEP	Baton Rouge, LA	(225) 925-7500
LaKeetha		East Carroll Parish		
Reed	Director	OHSEP	Lake Providence, LA	(318) 559-2256

Program Integration

Local governments are required to describe how their mitigation planning process is integrated with other ongoing local and area planning efforts. This subsection describes Madison Parish programs and planning.

A measure of integration and coordination is achieved through the Hazard Mitigation Plan participation of steering committee members and community stakeholders, who administer programs such as floodplain management under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) and parish planning and zoning and building code enforcement.

Opportunities to integrate the requirements of this Hazard Mitigation Plan into other local planning mechanisms will continue to be identified through future meetings of the parish and jurisdictions, and through the five-year review process described in the Plan Maintenance section. The primary means for integrating mitigation strategies into other local planning mechanisms will be through the revision, update, and implementation of each jurisdiction's individual city/town plans that require specific planning and administrative tasks (e.g. risk assessment, plan amendments, ordinance revisions, capital improvement projects, etc.).

The members of the Madison Parish Hazard Mitigation Steering Committee will remain charged with ensuring that the goals and strategies of new and updated local planning documents for their jurisdictions or agencies are consistent with the goals and actions of the Hazard Mitigation Plan, and will not contribute to increased hazard vulnerability in the parish. Existing plans, studies, and technical information were incorporated in the planning process. Examples include flood data from FEMA, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE or Corps), and the U.S. Geological Survey. Much of this data was incorporated into the risk assessment component of the plan relative to plotting historical events and the magnitude of damages that occurred. The parish's 2005 Hazard Mitigation Plan was also used in the planning process. Other existing parish and jurisdiction data and plans reviewed and/or incorporated into the planning process include those listed below:

- Emergency Operations Plan
- State of Louisiana Hazard Mitigation Plan
- Flood Insurance Rate Maps

Further information on other plans and capabilities reviewed can be found in the Capabilities Assessment, Section 3.

Meeting Documentation and Public Outreach Activities

The following pages contain information from the meetings and public outreach activities conducted during this Hazard Mitigation Plan Update for Madison Parish.

Meeting #1: Coordination Discussion

Date: January 22, 2016

Location: Email

Purpose: Discuss with the Hazard Mitigation Lead for the parish (OHSEP Director) the expectations and

requirements of the Hazard Mitigation Plan Update process and to establish and initial project

timeline.

Public Initiation: No

Invitees Included: Madison Parish OHSEP, SDMI Staff

Meeting #2: Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Kick-Off

Date: February 2, 2016 **Location:** Tallulah, Louisiana

Purpose: Discuss the expectations and requirements of the Hazard Mitigation Plan Update process and to

establish and initial project timeline with the parish's Hazard Mitigation Plan Steering Committee.

Assign each individual jurisdiction and the parish data collection for the plan update.

Public Initiation: No Invitees Included:

Name	Title	Agency
Paxton J. Branch	Mayor of Tallulah	City of Tallulah
Marvin Ashley	Mayor of Delta	Village of Delta
Margaret Yerger	Mayor of Mound	Village of Mound
Olga Butler	Mayor of Richmond	Village of Richmond
Cynthia Machen	Assistant Director	Madison Parish OHSEP
Earl Pinkney	Director	Madison Parish OHSEP
Joe Stewart	Regional Coordinator	GOHSEP
LaKeetha Reed	Director East Carroll Parish OHSEP	

Meeting #3: Risk Assessment Overview

Date: August 25, 2016 **Location:** Tallulah, LA

Purpose: Members of the Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Steering Committee were invited and were presented the results of the most recent risk assessment and an overview of the public meeting

presented the results of the most recent risk assessment and an overview of the public meeting presentation during this overview. The assessment was conducted based on hazards identified

during previous plans.

Public Initiation: No **Invitees Included:**

Name	Title	Agency
Paxton J. Branch	Mayor of Tallulah	City of Tallulah
Marvin Ashley	Mayor of Delta	Village of Delta
Margaret Yerger	Mayor of Mound	Village of Mound
Olga Butler	Mayor of Richmond	Village of Richmond
Cynthia Machen	Assistant Director	Madison Parish OHSEP
Earl Pinkney	Director	Madison Parish OHSEP
Joe Stewart	Regional Coordinator	GOHSEP
LaKeetha Reed	Director	East Carroll Parish OHSEP

Meeting #4: Public Meeting

Date: August 25, 2016 **Location:** Tallulah, LA

Purpose: The public meeting allowed the public and community stakeholders to participate and provide input

into the hazard mitigation planning process. Maps of the Madison Parish communities were

provided for the meeting attendees to identify specific areas where localized hazards occur.

Public Initiation: Yes **Invitees Included:**

Name	Title	Agency
Paxton J. Branch	Mayor of Tallulah	City of Tallulah
Marvin Ashley	Mayor of Delta	Village of Delta
Margaret Yerger	Mayor of Mound	Village of Mound
Olga Butler	Mayor of Richmond	Village of Richmond
Cynthia Machen	Assistant Director	Madison Parish OHSEP
Earl Pinkney	Director	Madison Parish OHSEP
Joe Stewart	Regional Coordinator	GOHSEP
LaKeetha Reed	Director	East Carroll Parish OHSEP

^{**}Subject Matter Experts from parish government were present to answer specific questions about proposed projects from any citizens**

Meeting Public Notice



MADISON PARISH OFFICE OF HOMELAND SECURITY & EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS

MEETING NOTICE - Thursday, August 25

Madison Parish to hold Public Meetings for Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Tallulah, LA — Madison Parish Office of Homeland Security & Emergency Preparedness is in the process of updating the Madison Parish Hazard Mitigation Plan and are required to hold public meetings on the plan update. The Public meeting will be held on August 25th, in the Police Jury Meeting Room located at the Parish Courthouse, Tallulah, LA, from 11:30AM to 12:30PM.

Natural hazards have the potential to cause property loss, loss of life, economic hardship, and threats to public health and safety. While an important aspect of emergency management deals with disaster recovery (the actions that a community takes to repair damages), an equally important aspect of emergency management involves hazard mitigation - sustained actions taken to reduce long-term risk to life and property. They are things we do today to be more protected in the future. For example, elevating buildings in flood hazard areas, installing hurricane clips and storm shutters, relocating critical facilities out of hazard areas, using fire-resistant construction materials in wildfire hazard areas, etc. Hazard mitigation actions are essential to breaking the typical disaster cycle of damage, reconstruction, and repeated damage. With careful selection, they can be long-term, cost-effective means of reducing risk and helping to create a more sustainable and disaster-resilient community.

A hazard mitigation plan describes an area's vulnerability to the various natural hazards that are typically present, along with an array of actions and projects for reducing key risks. While natural disasters cannot be prevented from occurring, the continued implementation of mitigation strategies identified in the plan will gradually, but steadily, make our communities more sustainable and disaster-resilient.

The Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 (DMA 2000) requires all states and local governments to have a hazard mitigation plan in order to be eligible to apply for certain types of federal hazard mitigation project grants. Hazard mitigation plans must be: (a) implemented on an ongoing basis, and (b) updated every five years to ensure that they remain applicable representations of local risk and locally-preferred risk reduction strategies.

Madison Parish is in the stages of updating its hazard mitigation plan. Public meeting will be held on August 25th for all citizens interested in learning about and participating in discussions concerning the Madison Parish Hazard Mitigation Plan.

Residents of Madison Parish are asked to participate in a survey about public perceptions and opinions regarding natural hazards in the parish. The survey results will be used in the development of the plan. This short web-based survey can be found at https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/MadisonParish

For more information, please contact: Madison OHSEP Office

Outreach Activity #1: Public Opinion Survey

Date: Ongoing throughout planning process

Location: Web Survey **Public Initiation:** Yes

Outreach Activity #2: Incident Questionnaire

Date: Public Meeting Activity
Location: Public Meeting
Public Initiation: Yes

The public was asked to provide information regarding which types of hazards concerned them the most. No

public elected to participate in this activity, therefore no feedback was collected at this time.

Outreach Activity #3: Mapping Activities

Public meeting attendees were asked to identify areas on jurisdictional maps provided that were "problem areas". They were also asked to indicate any areas of new development. This activity gave the public an opportunity to interact with SDMI's GIS Mapping section, as well as provide valuable input on areas that may flood repeatedly during rain events that may not get reported to local emergency managers as significant events.

Public Plan Review Documentation

The Madison Parish Hazard Mitigation Draft Plan was placed on the Madison Parish website to collect comments and feedback from the public. This outreach provided the public an opportunity to comment on the plan during the drafting stage and prior to plan approval. No feedback was collected at this time.

This Page Left Intentionally Blank

Appendix B: Plan Maintenance

Purpose

The section of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) pertaining to Local Mitigation Plans lists five required components for each plan: a description of the planning process; risk assessments; mitigation strategies; a method and system for plan maintenance; and documentation of plan adoption. This section details the method and system for plan maintenance, following the CFR's guidelines that the Plan Update must include (1) "a section describing the method and schedule of monitoring, evaluating, and updating the mitigation plan within a five-year cycle," (2) "a process by which local governments incorporated the requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms such as comprehensive or capital improvement plans", and (3) "discussion on how the community will continue public participation in the plan maintenance process."

Monitoring, Evaluating, and Updating the Plan

The Madison Parish Planning Committee will be responsible for monitoring, evaluating, and documenting the plan's progress throughout the year. Part of the plan maintenance process should include a system by which local governing bodies incorporate the HMP into the parish's comprehensive or capital improvement plans. This process provides for continued public participation through the diverse resources of the parish to help in achieving the goals and objectives of the plan. Public participation will be achieved through availability of copies of HMP in parish public library and parish website. This section describes the whole update process which includes the following:

- Responsible parties
- Methods to be used
- Evaluation criteria to be applied
- Scheduling for monitoring and evaluating the plan

Responsible Parties

Madison Parish has developed a method to ensure that a regular review and update of the Hazard Mitigation Plan occurs. This will be the responsibility of the steering committee, which consists of representatives from governmental organizations, local businesses, and private citizens, who will be involved in the process of monitoring, evaluating and updating the plan. All committee members in this plan will remain active in the steering committee.

Although the people filling the positions may change from year to year, the parish and its stakeholders will have representatives on the Steering Committee. The future Steering Committee will continue to be comprised of the same job functions as currently evident in the Steering Committee. However, the decision of specific job duties will be left to the Parish OHSEP Director to be assigned as deemed appropriate.

Methods for Monitoring and Evaluating the Plan and Plan Evaluation Criteria

Madison Parish has developed a method to ensure monitoring, evaluating, and updating of the HMP occurs during the five-year cycle of the plan. The planning committee will become a permanent body and will be responsible for monitoring, evaluating, and updating of the plan. The planning committee meeting will be held annually in order to monitor, evaluate, and update the plan. The Madison Parish OHSEP Director will be responsible for conducting the annual planning committee meetings.

The lead person of the agency responsible for the implementation of a specific mitigation action will submit a progress report to the Director at least thirty days prior to the planning committee meeting. The progress report will provide project status monitoring to include the following: whether the project has started; if not started, reason for not starting; if started, status of the project; if the project is completed, whether it has eliminated the problem; and any changes recommended to improve the implementation of the project etc. In addition, the progress report will provide status monitoring on the plan evaluation, changes to the hazard profile, changes to the risk assessment, and public input on the Hazard Mitigation Plan updates and reviews.

Progress on the mitigation action items and projects will be reviewed during the annual planning committee meeting. The criteria that would be utilized in the project review will include the following:

- 1) Whether the action was implemented and reasons, if the action was not implemented
- 2) What were the results of the implemented action
- 3) Were the outcomes as expected, and reasons if the outcomes were not as expected
- 4) Did the results achieve the stated goals and objectives
- 5) Was the action cost-effective
- 6) What were the losses avoided after completion of the project
- 7) In case of a structural project, did it change the hazard profile

In addition to monitoring and evaluating the progress of the mitigation plan actions and projects, the mitigation plan is required to be maintained and monitored annually, and updated every five years. The annual maintenance, monitoring and evaluation of the plan will be conducted in the annual planning committee meeting. The planning committee will review each goal and objective to determine their relevance to changing situations in the parish, as well as changes to state or federal policy, and to ensure that they are addressing current and expected conditions. The planning committee will evaluate if any change in hazard profile and risk in the parish occurred during the past year. In addition, the evaluation will include the following criteria in respect of plan implementation:

- 1) Any local staffing changes that would warrant inviting different members to the planning committee
- 2) Any new organizations that would be valuable in the planning process or project implementation need to be included in the planning committee
- 3) Are there any procedures that can be done more efficiently
- 4) Are there more ways to gain more diverse and widespread cooperation
- 5) Are there any different or additional funding sources available for mitigation planning and implementation

The HMP will be updated every five years to remain eligible for continued HMGP funding. The planning committee will be responsible for updating the HMP. The OHSEP Director will be the lead person for the HMP update. The HMP update process will commence at least one year prior to the expiration of the plan. The HMP will be updated after a major disaster if an annual evaluation of the plan indicate a substantial change in hazard profile and risk assessment in the parish.

Additionally, the public will be canvassed to solicit public input to continue Madison Parish's dedication to involving the public directly in review and updates of the Hazard Mitigation Plan. Meetings will be scheduled as needed by the plan administrator to provide a forum for which the public can express their concerns, opinions, and/or ideas about the plan. The plan administrator will be responsible for using parish resources to publicize the annual public meetings and maintain public involvement through the newspapers, radio, and public access television channels. Copies of the plan will be catalogued and kept at all appropriate agencies in the city government, as well as at the Public Library.

The review by the steering committee and input from the public will determine whether a plan update is needed prior to the required five-year update.

Annual Reports on the progress of actions, plan maintenance, monitoring, evaluation, incorporation into existing planning programs, and continued public involvement will be documented at each annual meeting of the committee and kept by the Parish OHSEP Director. The Steering Committee will work together as a team, with each member sharing responsibility for completing the monitoring, evaluation and updates. It is the responsibility of the Parish OHSEP Director for contacting committee members, organizing the meeting and providing public noticing for the meeting to solicit public input.

2016 Plan Version Plan Method and Schedule Evaluation

For the current plan update, the previously approved plan's method and schedule were evaluated to determine if the elements and processes involved in the required 2016 update. Based on this analysis, the method and schedule were deemed to be acceptable, and nothing was changed for this update.

Incorporation into Existing Planning Programs

It is and has been the responsibility of the Madison Parish Hazard Mitigation Plan Steering Committee and participating jurisdictions to determine additional implementation procedures when appropriate. This may include integrating the requirements of the Madison Parish Hazard Mitigation Plan into each jurisdiction's planning documents, processes, or mechanisms as follows:

- Ordinances, Resolutions, Regulations
- Floodplain Ordinances
- Emergency Operations Plan
- Capital Improvements Plan
- Comprehensive Master Plan
- Economic Development Plan
- Continuity of Operations Plan
- Transportation Plan

Opportunities to integrate the requirements of this plan into other local planning mechanisms will continue to be identified through future meetings of the Madison Parish Hazard Mitigation Steering Committee and through the five-year review process described herein. The primary means for integrating mitigation strategies into other local planning mechanisms will be through the revision, update and implementation of each jurisdiction's individual plans that require specific planning and administrative tasks (e.g. risk assessment, plan amendments, ordinance revisions, capital improvement projects, etc.). The members of the steering committee will meet with Department Heads to discuss what should be included in the changes that are necessary before the changes are introduced to the city council or police jury meetings. Steering committee members will remain charged with ensuring that the goals and strategies of new and updated

local planning documents for their jurisdictions or agencies are consistent with the goals and actions of the Madison Parish Hazard Mitigation Plan, and will not contribute to increased hazard vulnerability within the parish.

During the planning process for new and updated local planning documents at the parish and jurisdiction level, such as a risk assessment, comprehensive plan, capital improvements plan, or emergency operations plan, the jurisdictions will provide a copy of the Parish Hazard Mitigation Plan to the appropriate parties and recommend that all goals and strategies of new and updated local planning documents are consistent with and support the goals of the Parish Hazard Mitigation Plan and will not contribute to increased hazards.

Although it is recognized that there are many possible benefits to integrating components of this plan into other parish and jurisdiction planning mechanisms, the development and maintenance of this stand-alone Hazard Mitigation Plan is deemed by the steering committee to be the most effective and appropriate method to ensure implementation of parish and local hazard mitigation actions.

On behalf of the jurisdictions of Unincorporated Madison Parish, Village of Delta, Village of Mound, Village of Richmond, and City of Tallulah, Madison Parish has the authority to incorporate the contents of the Hazard Mitigation Plan into the parish's existing regulatory mechanisms. Agreements are currently in place with jurisdictions to allow for the parish incorporation mechanisms to take place.

The following parish and local plans incorporate requirements of this HMP Update as follows through steering committee member and jurisdiction representation throughout the planning process as described above:

Unincorporated Madison Parish

Comprehensive Master Plan/Updated as needed/Madison Parish Police Jury Local Emergency Operations Plan/Updated as needed/Madison Parish OHSEP Capital Improvements Plan/Updated as needed/Madison Parish Police Jury Economic Development Plan/Updated as needed/Madison Parish Police Jury Continuity of Operations Plan/Updated as needed/Madison Parish OHSEP Transportation Plan/Updated as needed/Madison Parish Police Jury

Village of Delta

Comprehensive Master Plan/Updated as needed/Madison Parish Police Jury and Mayor of Delta Local Emergency Operations Plan/Updated as needed/Madison Parish OHSEP and Mayor of Delta Capital Improvements Plan/Updated as needed/Madison Parish Police Jury and Mayor of Delta Economic Development Plan/Updated as needed/Madison Parish Police Jury and Mayor of Delta Continuity of Operations Plan/Updated as needed/Madison Parish OHSEP and Mayor of Delta Transportation Plan/Updated as needed/Madison Parish Police Jury and Mayor of Delta

Village of Mound

Comprehensive Master Plan/Updated as needed/Madison Parish Police Jury and Mayor of Mound Local Emergency Operations Plan/Updated as needed/Madison Parish OHSEP and Mayor of Mound Capital Improvements Plan/Updated as needed/Madison Parish Police Jury and Mayor of Mound Economic Development Plan/Updated as needed/Madison Parish Police Jury and Mayor of Mound Continuity of Operations Plan/Updated as needed/Madison Parish OHSEP and Mayor of Mound Transportation Plan/Updated as needed/Madison Parish Police Jury and Mayor of Mound

Village of Richmond

Comprehensive Master Plan/Updated as needed/Madison Parish Police Jury and Mayor of Richmond Local Emergency Operations Plan/Updated as needed/Madison Parish OHSEP and Mayor of Richmond Capital Improvements Plan/Updated as needed/Madison Parish Police Jury and Mayor of Richmond Economic Development Plan/Updated as needed/Madison Parish Police Jury and Mayor of Richmond Continuity of Operations Plan/Updated as needed/Madison Parish OHSEP and Mayor of Richmond Transportation Plan/Updated as needed/Madison Parish Police Jury and Mayor of Richmond

City of Tallulah

Comprehensive Master Plan/Updated as needed/Madison Parish Police Jury and Mayor of Tallulah Local Emergency Operations Plan/Updated as needed/Madison Parish OHSEP and Mayor of Tallulah Capital Improvements Plan/Updated as needed/Madison Parish Police Jury and Mayor of Tallulah Economic Development Plan/Updated as needed/Madison Parish Police Jury and Mayor of Tallulah Continuity of Operations Plan/Updated as needed/Madison Parish OHSEP and Mayor of Tallulah Transportation Plan/Updated as needed/Madison Parish Police Jury and Mayor of Tallulah

Continued Public Participation

Public participation is an integral component of the mitigation planning process and will continue to be essential as this plan evolves over time. Significant changes or amendments to the plan require a public hearing prior to any adoption procedures. Other efforts to involve the public in the maintenance, evaluation, and revision process will be made as necessary. These efforts will include at least one of the following:

- Advertising meetings of the Mitigation Committee in the local newspaper, public bulletin boards, and/or city and county office buildings
- Designating willing and voluntary citizens and private sector representatives as official members of the Mitigation Committee
- Utilizing local media to update the public of any maintenance and/or periodic review activities taking place
- Utilizing city and parish web sites to advertise any maintenance and/or periodic review activities taking place
- Keeping copies of the plan in appropriate public locations

This Page Left Intentionally Blank

Appendix C: Essential Facilities

Madison Parish Essential Facilities – All Jurisdictions

		Ma	dison	Unincorpora	ated Ess	ential Faciliti	es			
Туре	Name	Flooding	Hail	Lightning	Wind	Tornadoes	Tropical Cyclones	Sinkholes	Levee Failure +	Winter Storms*
	Afton Fire Station		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х			
	Bear Lake Fire District		Х	Х	Х	Х	Χ			
Fine end	Bear Lake Fire District									
Fire and	1		Χ	X	Х	X	X			
Rescue	Fire Station		Х	Х	Х	X	Х			
	Fire Station		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х			
	Fire Station		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х			
	DOTD Project									
	Engineers Office		Х	Х	Х	X	Х			
Government	Madison Parish Police									
	Jury Maintenance									
	Dept		Х	Χ	Х	X	X			
	Walnut Bayou Water Association		Х	X	Х	x	X			
Corrections	Madison Parish									
Corrections	Detention Center		Χ	Х	Х	X	X			
Public Health	Outpatient Medical									
T ublic Health	Center at Tallulah		Х	Х	Х	X	Х			
Schools	Wright Elementary									
36110013	School		Χ	Χ	X	X	X	X		

	Delta Essential Facilities									
Туре	Name	Flooding	Hail	Lightning	Wind	Tornadoes	Tropical Cyclones	Sinkholes	Levee Failure +	Winter Storms*
Fire and	Delta Fire									
Rescue	Department		Х	Х	Х	Х	X			
Government	Delta City Hall and									
Government	Community Center	X	Χ	Х	Х	X	Χ			
Law	Delta Police									
Enforcement	Department		Χ	Х	Χ	Χ	Χ			

	Mound Essential Facilities									
Туре	Name	Flooding	Hail	Lightning	Wind	Tornadoes	Tropical Cyclones	Sinkholes	Levee Failure +	Winter Storms*
Fire and	Mound Fire									
Rescue	Department		Χ	Х	Х	Х	Χ			

	Richmond Essential Facilities									
Туре	Name	Flooding	Hail	Lightning	Wind	Tornadoes	Tropical Cyclones	Sinkholes	Levee Failure +	Winter Storms*
	DOTD Tallulah									
	Maintenance Unit		Χ	Χ	Х	X	Χ			
	Fight Louisiana Levee									
	District Office		Χ	Х	Х	X	Χ			
Government	Madison Parish DSS -									
	Support Enforcement									
	Services	X	Χ	Χ	Х	X	X			
	Madison Parish Port									
	Commission	X	Χ	Χ	Х	X	X			

	Village of Richmond							
	Mayor's Office	Χ	Х	Х	X	X		
Schools	Tallulah Delta Christian							
Schools	Academy	Χ	Χ	Х	X	X		

Tallulah Essential Facilities										
Туре	Name	Flooding	Hail	Lightning	Wind	Tornadoes	Tropical Cyclones	Sinkholes	Levee Failure +	Winter Storms*
Fire and	Fire Station 2		Χ	Х	Χ	Х	Χ			
Rescue	Fire Station 3		Х	Х	Х	X	Х			
	Delta Community Action		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		
	District Attorney's Office		Х	Х	Х	X	X			
	LA Department of Public Safety and									
	Corrections		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х			
	LA Workforce Commission		Х	X	Х	X	Х			
Government	Madison Parish Courthouse		Х	X	Х	×	X			
	Madison Parish DSS - Office of Social									
	Services	X	Х	Х	Х	X	Χ			
	Madison Parish DSS and DHH	Х	Х	Х	Х	х	Х			
	Madison Parish Parent Resource									
	Center		Χ	Х	Х	х	Х			
	Madison Parish School Board		Х	X	Х	X	X			

	Madison Parish								
	Teacher Training								
	Center		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		
	Madison Voluntary								
	Council on Aging		Х	Х	Х	Х	X		
	Office of Judge Felicia								
	Williams Toney		Х	Х	Х	Х	X		
	Office of Motor								
	Vehicles	Χ	Х	Х	Х	Х	X		
	Tallulah Municipal								
	Building		Х	Х	Х	Х	X		
	Traffic Department								
	and PTI Program		Х	Х	Х	Х	X		
	Madison Sheriff's								
Law	Office		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		
Enforcement	Tallulah Police								
	Department		Х	Х	Х	X	X		
	LA Transitional Center								
Corrections	for Women		Х	Х	Х	Х	X		
	Madison Parish Jail		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		
Public Health	Madison Parish							_	
T dollo i locato.	Hospital		Х	Х	Χ	Х	Х	X	
	BSC Academy		Х	Х	Χ	Х	Х		
	Madison High School		Х	Х	Χ	Х	Х	Х	
Schools	Reuben McCall Senior								
3010013	High School		Х	Х	Χ	Х	Х	Х	
	Tallulah Elementary		Х	Х	Х	Х	X		
	Tallulah High School		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		

^{*} Hazard does not impact any critical facility.

⁺Unknown due to data deficiency

Appendix D: Plan Adoption

APA Letter from FEMA

Placeholder for Jurisdiction and Parish Adoptions

This Page Left Intentionally Blank

Appendix E: State Required Worksheets

During the planning process (Appendix A) the Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Steering Committee was provided state-required plan update process worksheets to be filled out by each jurisdiction. The worksheets were presented at the Kickoff Meeting by the contractor as tools for assisting in the update of the Hazard Mitigation Plan. The plan update worksheets allowed for collection of information such as planning team members, community capabilities, critical infrastructure and vulnerable populations and NFIP information. The following pages contain documentation of the worksheets.

Mitigation Planning Team

Name	Title	Agency	Address	Phone
Paxton J. Branch	Mayor of Tallulah	City of Tallulah	204 N Cedar St, Tallulah, LA 71282	(318) 574-0964
Marvin Ashley	Mayor of Delta	Village of Delta	200 1st St, Delta, LA 71233	(318) 633-9566
Margaret Yerger	Mayor of Mound	Village of Mound	2927 Highway 602, Mound, Louisiana, 71282	(318) 574-4969
Olga Butler	Mayor of Richmond	Village of Richmond	598 Wood St, Richmond, LA 71282	(318) 574-2913
Cynthia Machen	Assistant Director	Madison Parish OHSEP	100 N Cedar St # 3, Tallulah, LA 71282	(318) 341-1697
Earl Pinkney	Director	Madison Parish OHSEP	100 N Cedar St # 3, Tallulah, LA 71282	(318) 341-1697
Joe Stewart	Regional Coordinator	GOHSEP	7667 Independence Blvd Baton Rouge, LA	(225) 925-7500
LaKeetha Reed	Director	East Carroll Parish OHSEP	Lake Providence, LA	(318) 559-2256

Capability Assessment

Madison Unincorporated

Worksheet 4.1: Capability Assessment Worksheet

Local mitigation capabilities are existing authorities, polices and resources that reduce hazard impacts or that could be used to implement hazard mitigation activities. Please complete the tables and questions in the worksheet as completely as possible.

Planning and Regulatory

Please indicate which of the following plans and regulatory capabilities your jurisdiction has in place.

Madison Parish - Unincorporated		
Plans	Yes/No	Comments
Comprehensive / Master Plan	Yes	
Capital Improvements Plan	Yes	
Economic Development Plan	Yes	
Local Emergency Operations Plan	Yes	
Continuity of Operations Plan	Yes	
Transportation Plan	Yes	
Stormwater Management Plan	No	
Community Wildfire Protection Plan	No	
Other plans (redevelopment, recovery, coastal zone management)	No	
Building Code, Permitting and Inspections		
Building Code	Yes	
Building Code Effectiveness Grading Schedule (BCEGS) Score	No	
Fire Department ISO/PIAL rating	7	
Site plan review requirements	Yes	
Land Use Planning and Ordinances		
Zoning Ordinance	Yes	
Subdivision Ordinance	Yes	

Floodplain Ordinance	Yes	
1 loouplant Orumance	163	
Natural Hazard Specific Ordinance (stormwater, steep slope, wildfire)	No	
Flood Insurance Rate Maps	Yes	
Acquisition of land for open space and public recreation uses	No	
Other	No	
Administration and Technical		
Identify whether your community has the following administrative and technical capabilities. For smaller jurisdictions	ions without	
local staff resources, if there are public resources at the next higher level government that can provide technical a	ssistance,	
indicate so in your comments.		
Administration	Yes/No	Comments
Planning Commission	No	
Mitigation Planning Committee	Yes	
Maintenance programs to reduce risk (tree trimming, clearing drainage systems)	No	
Staff		
Chief Building Official	No	
Floodplain Administrator	Yes	
Emergency Manager	Yes	
Community Planner	No	
Civil Engineer	Yes	
GIS Coordinator	No	
Grant Writer	No	
Other	No	
Technical		
Warning Systems / Service		
(Reverse 911, outdoor warning signals)	Yes	

	1	Γ
Hazard Data & Information	Yes	
Grant Writing	No	
Hazus Analysis	No	
Other	No	
Financial		
Identify whether your jurisdiction has access to or is eligible to use the following funding resources for hazard mitiga	tion.	
Funding Resource	Yes/No	Comments
Capital Improvements project funding	Yes	
Authority to levy taxes for specific purposes	No	
Fees for water, sewer, gas, or electric services	Yes	
Impact fees for new development	No	
Stormwater Utility Fee	No	
Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)	Yes	
Other Funding Programs	No	
Education and Outreach		
Identify education and outreach programs and methods, already in place that could be used to implement mitigation	n	
activities and communicate hazard-related information.		
Program / Organization	Yes/No	Comments
Local citizen groups or non-profit organizations focused on environmental protection, emergency preparedness,		
access and functional needs populations, etc.	No	
Ongoing public education or information program (responsible water use, fire safety, household preparedness,		
environmental education)	Yes	
Natural Disaster or safety related school program	Yes	

Storm Ready certification	No	
Firewise Communities certification	No	
	,	
Public/Private partnership initiatives addressing disaster-related issues	No	
Other	No	

Village of Delta

Worksheet 4.1: Capability Assessment Worksheet

Local mitigation capabilities are existing authorities, polices and resources that reduce hazard impacts or that could be used to

implement hazard mitigation activities. Please complete the tables and questions in the worksheet as completely as possible.

Planning and Regulatory

Please indicate which of the following plans and regulatory capabilities your jurisdiction has in place.

Delta		
Plans	Yes/No	Comments
Comprehensive / Master Plan	Yes	
Capital Improvements Plan	Yes	
Economic Development Plan	Yes	
Local Emergency Operations Plan	Yes	
Continuity of Operations Plan	Yes	
Transportation Plan	Yes	
Stormwater Management Plan	No	
Community Wildfire Protection Plan	No	
Other plans (redevelopment, recovery, coastal zone management)	No	
Building Code, Permitting and Inspections		
Building Code	Yes	

Building Code Effectiveness Grading Schedule		
(BCEGS) Score	No	
Fire Department ISO/PIAL rating	7	
Site plan review requirements	Yes	
Land Use Planning and Ordinances		
Zoning Ordinance	Yes	
Subdivision Ordinance	Yes	
Floodplain Ordinance	Yes	
Natural Hazard Specific Ordinance (stormwater,		
steep slope, wildfire)	No	
Flood Insurance Rate Maps	Yes	
Acquisition of land for open space and public		
recreation uses	No	
Other	No	
Administration and Technical		
Identify whether your community has the following a	dministrative and technical capa	abilities. For smaller jurisdictions without
local staff resources, if there are public resources at the	he next higher level governmen	t that can provide technical assistance,
indicate so in your comments.		
Administration	Yes/No	Comments
Planning Commission	No	
Mitigation Planning Committee	Yes	
Maintenance programs to reduce risk (tree		
trimming, clearing drainage systems)	No	
Staff		
	1	
Chief Building Official	No	
Chief Building Official Floodplain Administrator	No Yes	

Civil Engineer	Yes	
GIS Coordinator	No	
Grant Writer	No	
Other	No	
Technical		
Warning Systems / Service		
(Reverse 911, outdoor warning signals)	Yes	
Hazard Data & Information	Yes	
Grant Writing	No	
Hazus Analysis	No	
Other	No	
Financial		
Identify whether your jurisdiction has access to or i	s eligible to use the following fund	ding resources for hazard mitigation.
Funding Resource	Yes/No	Comments
Capital Improvements project funding	Yes	
Authority to levy taxes for specific purposes	No	
Fees for water, sewer, gas, or electric services	Yes	
Impact fees for new development	No	
Stormwater Utility Fee	No	
Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)	Yes	
Other Funding Programs	No	
Education and Outreach		
Education and Outreach Identify education and outreach programs and met	hods, already in place that could b	pe used to implement mitigation
		pe used to implement mitigation

Local citizen groups or non-profit organizations focused on environmental protection, emergency preparedness, access and functional needs populations, etc.	No	
Ongoing public education or information program (responsible water use, fire safety, household preparedness, environmental education)	Yes	
Natural Disaster or safety related school program	Yes	
Storm Ready certification	No	
Firewise Communities certification	No	
Public/Private partnership initiatives addressing		
disaster-related issues	No	
Other	No	

Village of Mound

Worksheet 4.1: Capability Assessment Worksheet

Local mitigation capabilities are existing authorities, polices and resources that reduce hazard impacts or that could be used to implement hazard mitigation activities. Please complete the tables and questions in the worksheet as completely as possible.

Planning and Regulatory

Please indicate which of the following plans and regulatory capabilities your jurisdiction has in place.

Mound		
Plans	Yes/No	Comments
Comprehensive / Master Plan	Yes	
Capital Improvements Plan	Yes	
Economic Development Plan	Yes	
Local Emergency Operations Plan	Yes	
Continuity of Operations Plan	Yes	
Transportation Plan	Yes	

Stormwater Management Plan	No	
Community Wildfire Protection Plan	No	
Other plans (redevelopment, recovery, coastal zone management)	No	
Building Code, Permitting and Inspections		
Building Code	Yes	
Building Code Effectiveness Grading Schedule (BCEGS) Score	No	
Fire Department ISO/PIAL rating	7	
Site plan review requirements	Yes	
Land Use Planning and Ordinances		
Zoning Ordinance	Yes	
Subdivision Ordinance	Yes	
Floodplain Ordinance	Yes	
Natur+A32al Hazard Specific Ordinance (stormwater, steep slope, wildfire)	No	
Flood Insurance Rate Maps	Yes	
Acquisition of land for open space and public recreation uses	No	
Other	No	
Administration and Technical		
Identify whether your community has the following administrative and technical capabilities. For smaller jurisdictions	without	
local staff resources, if there are public resources at the next higher level government that can provide technical assist	tance,	
indicate so in your comments.		
Administration	Yes/No	Comments
Planning Commission	No	
Mitigation Planning Committee	Yes	

		T
Maintenance programs to reduce risk (tree trimming, clearing drainage systems)	No	
Staff	110	
Chief Building Official	No	
Floodplain Administrator	Yes	
Emergency Manager	Yes	
Community Planner	No	
Civil Engineer	Yes	
GIS Coordinator	No	
Grant Writer	No	
Other	No	
Technical		
Warning Systems / Service		
(Reverse 911, outdoor warning signals)	Yes	
Hazard Data & Information	Yes	
Grant Writing	No	
Hazus Analysis	No	
Other	No	
Financial		
Identify whether your jurisdiction has access to or is eligible to use the following funding resources	for hazard mitigation.	
Funding Resource	Yes/No	Comments
Capital Improvements project funding	Yes	
Authority to levy taxes for specific purposes	No	
Fees for water, sewer, gas, or electric services	Yes	
Impact fees for new development	No	
Stormwater Utility Fee	No	
Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)	Yes	
Other Funding Programs	No	

Education and Outreach		
Identify education and outreach programs and methods, already in place that could be used to implement mitigation	on	
activities and communicate hazard-related information.		
Program / Organization	Yes/No	Comments
Local citizen groups or non-profit organizations focused on environmental protection, emergency preparedness,		
access and functional needs populations, etc.	No	
Ongoing public education or information program (responsible water use, fire safety, household preparedness,		
environmental education)	Yes	
Natural Disaster or safety related school program	Yes	
Storm Ready certification	No	
Firewise Communities certification	No	
Public/Private partnership initiatives addressing disaster-related issues	No	
Other	No	

Village of Richmond

Village of Melimona		
Worksheet 4.1: Capability Assessment Worksheet		
Local mitigation capabilities are existing authorities, polices and resources that reduce hazard impacts or that could	be used to	1
implement hazard mitigation activities. Please complete the tables and questions in the worksheet as completely as	possible.	
Planning and Regulatory		
Please indicate which of the following plans and regulatory capabilities your jurisdiction has in place.		
Richmond		
Plans	Yes/No	Comments
Comprehensive / Master Plan	Yes	

Capital Improvements Plan	Yes
Economic Development Plan	Yes
Local Emergency Operations Plan	Yes
Continuity of Operations Plan	Yes
Transportation Plan	Yes
Stormwater Management Plan	No
Community Wildfire Protection Plan	No
Other plans (redevelopment, recovery, coastal zone management)	No
Building Code, Permitting and Inspections	
Building Code	Yes
Building Code Effectiveness Grading Schedule (BCEGS) Score	No
Fire Department ISO/PIAL rating	7
Site plan review requirements	Yes
Land Use Planning and Ordinances	
Zoning Ordinance	Yes
Subdivision Ordinance	Yes
Floodplain Ordinance	Yes
Natur+A32al Hazard Specific Ordinance (stormwater, steep slope, wildfire)	No
Flood Insurance Rate Maps	Yes
Acquisition of land for open space and public recreation uses	No
Other	No
Administration and Technical	
Identify whether your community has the following administrative and technical capabilities. For smaller	jurisdictions without
local staff resources, if there are public resources at the next higher level government that can provide te	echnical assistance,

indicate so in your comments.		
Administration	Yes/No	Comments
Planning Commission	No	
Mitigation Planning Committee	Yes	
Maintenance programs to reduce risk (tree trimming, clearing drainage systems)	No	
Staff	No	
Chief Building Official	No	
Floodplain Administrator	Yes	
Emergency Manager	Yes	
Community Planner	No	
Civil Engineer	Yes	
GIS Coordinator	No	
Grant Writer	No	
Other	No	
Technical		
Warning Systems / Service		
(Reverse 911, outdoor warning signals)	Yes	
Hazard Data & Information	Yes	
Grant Writing	No	
Hazus Analysis	No	
Other	No	
Financial		
Identify whether your jurisdiction has access to or is eligible to use the following funding resources for hazard mitiga	tion.	
Funding Resource	Yes/No	Comments
Capital Improvements project funding	Yes	
Authority to levy taxes for specific purposes	No	

Fees for water, sewer, gas, or electric services	Yes	
Impact fees for new development	No	
Stormwater Utility Fee	No	
Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)	Yes	
Other Funding Programs	No	
Education and Outreach		
Identify education and outreach programs and methods, already in place that could be used to implement mitigation	1	
activities and communicate hazard-related information.		
Program / Organization	Yes/No	Comments
Local citizen groups or non-profit organizations focused on environmental protection, emergency preparedness, access and functional needs populations, etc.	No	
Ongoing public education or information program (responsible water use, fire safety, household preparedness, environmental education)	Yes	
Natural Disaster or safety related school program	Yes	
Storm Ready certification	No	
Firewise Communities certification	No	
Public/Private partnership initiatives addressing disaster-related issues	No	

No

City of Tallulah

Other

Worksheet 4.1: Capability Assessment Worksheet

Local mitigation capabilities are existing authorities, polices and resources that reduce hazard impacts or that could be used to implement hazard mitigation activities. Please complete the tables and questions in the worksheet as completely as possible.

Planning and Regulatory		
Please indicate which of the following plans and regulatory capabilities your jurisdiction has in place.		
Tallulah		
Plans	Yes/No	Comments
Comprehensive / Master Plan	Yes	
Capital Improvements Plan	Yes	
Economic Development Plan	Yes	
Local Emergency Operations Plan	Yes	
Continuity of Operations Plan	Yes	
Transportation Plan	Yes	
Stormwater Management Plan	No	
Community Wildfire Protection Plan	No	
Other plans (redevelopment, recovery, coastal zone management)	No	
Building Code, Permitting and Inspections		
Building Code	Yes	
Building Code Effectiveness Grading Schedule (BCEGS) Score	No	
Fire Department ISO/PIAL rating	7	
Site plan review requirements	Yes	
Land Use Planning and Ordinances		
Zoning Ordinance	Yes	
Subdivision Ordinance	Yes	
Floodplain Ordinance	Yes	
Natur+A32al Hazard Specific Ordinance (stormwater, steep slope, wildfire)	No	
Flood Insurance Rate Maps	Yes	
Acquisition of land for open space and public recreation uses	No	

Other	No	
	NO	
Administration and Technical		
Identify whether your community has the following administrative and technical capabilities. For smaller jurisdictions	without	
local staff resources, if there are public resources at the next higher level government that can provide technical assistance.	stance,	
indicate so in your comments.		
Administration	Yes/No	Comments
Planning Commission	No	
Mitigation Planning Committee	Yes	
Maintenance programs to reduce risk (tree trimming, clearing drainage systems)	No	<u> </u>
Staff		
Chief Building Official	No	İ
Floodplain Administrator	Yes	
Emergency Manager	Yes	
Community Planner	No	İ
Civil Engineer	Yes	İ
GIS Coordinator	No	
Grant Writer	No	
Other	No	<u> </u>
Technical		
Warning Systems / Service		
(Reverse 911, outdoor warning signals)	Yes	
Hazard Data & Information	Yes	
Grant Writing	No	<u></u>
Hazus Analysis	No	<u> </u>
Other	No	
Financial		
- Than total		

Identify whether your jurisdiction has access to or is eligible to use the following funding resources for hazard mitigates	ation.	
Funding Resource	Yes/No	Comments
Capital Improvements project funding	Yes	
Authority to levy taxes for specific purposes	No	
Fees for water, sewer, gas, or electric services	Yes	
Impact fees for new development	No	
Stormwater Utility Fee	No	
Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)	Yes	
Other Funding Programs	No	
Education and Outreach		
Identify education and outreach programs and methods, already in place that could be used to implement mitigation	n	
activities and communicate hazard-related information.		
Program / Organization	Yes/No	Comments
Local citizen groups or non-profit organizations focused on environmental protection, emergency preparedness,		
Local citizen groups or non-profit organizations focused on environmental protection, emergency preparedness, access and functional needs populations, etc.	No	
	No	
	No	
access and functional needs populations, etc.	No Yes	
access and functional needs populations, etc. Ongoing public education or information program (responsible water use, fire safety, household preparedness,		
access and functional needs populations, etc. Ongoing public education or information program (responsible water use, fire safety, household preparedness, environmental education)	Yes	
ongoing public education or information program (responsible water use, fire safety, household preparedness, environmental education) Natural Disaster or safety related school program	Yes Yes	
access and functional needs populations, etc. Ongoing public education or information program (responsible water use, fire safety, household preparedness, environmental education) Natural Disaster or safety related school program Storm Ready certification	Yes Yes No	
access and functional needs populations, etc. Ongoing public education or information program (responsible water use, fire safety, household preparedness, environmental education) Natural Disaster or safety related school program Storm Ready certification	Yes Yes No	

Building Inventory

Critical Facility (If Yes, Mark X)	Name of Building	Purpose of Building	Address	City	Latitude	Longitude	Assessed Value	Date Built	Construction Type
Madison Parish									
Х	Fire Station	Fire Search and Rescue	120 Hwy 5775	Delhi	32.4446864 9	- 91.40994078	\$ 120,000.00		Metal
Х	Waverly Fire Station	Fire Search and Rescue	111 Robinson Road	Delhi	32.2495798 5	- 91.52411769	\$ 120,000.00	1990's	Metal
Х	Waverly Fire Station	Fire Search and Rescue	120 Hwy 577 S.	Delhi	32.3164583 5	- 91.48543864	\$ 120,000.00	2006	
Х	Bear Lake Fire Station	Fire Search and Rescue	138 Byrd Road	Tallulah	32.50398	-91.19844	\$ 120,000.00	1990's	Metal
Х	Bear Lake Fire Station	Fire Search and Rescue	771 W. Bear Lake Rd	Tallulah	32.46494	-91.26364	\$ 120,000.00	1990's	Metal
Х	Afton Fire Station	Fire Search and Rescue	215 Wallace Rd	Tallulah	32.3141	-91.22393	\$ 120,000.00	1990's	Metal
	Madison High School	Education	1234 Madison Dr	Tallulah	32.4190767 7	- 91.19449475	\$ 3,000,000.00	2009	Brick
	Tallulah High School	Education	None	Tallulah	32.4043481 6	- 91.19280364	\$ 1,000,000.00	1920's	Brick
	Tallulah Elementary	Education	1100 Johnson Street	Tallulah	32.4008616 7	91.18296372	\$ 2,000,000.00	1920's	Brick
	Wright Elementary School	Education	809 Wyche Street	Tallulah	32.4198151 4	- 91.19576214	\$ 2,000,000.00	1930's	Brick
	Reuban McCall Senior High School	Education	800 Wyche Street	Tallulah	32.4191458 4	- 91.19449587	\$ 10,000,000.00	1930's	Brick
	Madison Alternative School	Education	900 West Askew Street	Tallulah	32.4156352	91.19920445	\$ 10,000,000.00	1990's	Brick
	Madison Parish Office of Homeland Security and	Emergency Operations			32.4090660	-			
Х	Emergency	Center	402 E. Green St	Tallulah	2	91.18739103	\$ 1,000,000.00	1940's	Brick

	Preparednes								
	S								
	Tallulah Fire		Nearby: 1237-1299		32.3996249		\$		
Х	Station 3	Fire Search and Rescue	Johnson Street	Tallulah	32.3330243	-91.1839224	250,000.00	2009	Metal
	Tallulah Fire				32.4103947	-	\$		
Х	Station 2	Fire Search and Rescue	20 Massey St	Tallulah	7	91.19467843	120,000.00	1970's	Metal
	Madison								
	Parish								
Х	Hospital	Hospital	900 Johnson St	Tallulah			\$ 1,000,000.00	1950's	Brick
X	Courthouse Annex	Parish Government	402 E. Green St	Tallulah			\$ 1,000,000.00	1940's	Brick
^	Madison	ransii Governinent	402 L. Green St	Tallulati			3 1,000,000.00	1340 3	BLICK
	Parish Jail	Jail	404 E. Green St	Tallulah	32.40909	-91.18691	\$ 2,000,000.00	1970's	Brick
	Madison								
	Parish								
	Library	Library	403 N. Mulberry St	Tallulah	32.41023	-91.1844	\$ 2,000,000.00	1970's	Brick
	Madison								
	Voluntary	604	202 C Flor Ct	T-111-1.	22.40002	04 40463	¢ 4 000 000 00	2000	N 4 - 4 - 1
	COA	COA	203 S. Elm St	Tallulah	32.40802	-91.19162	\$ 1,000,000.00	2000	Metal
	TVR Airport	Airport			32.35436	-91.02595	\$ 2,000,000.00	1990's	Metal/Brick
Delta									
	No Critical								
	Facilities								
Mound									
	Mound Fire				32.3383646	-	\$		
Х	Department	Fire Search and Rescue	2966 Hwy 602	Tallulah	4	91.02479227	120,000.00	1980's	Metal
Richmon d									
u									
	No Critical								
	Facilities								
Tallulah									
	Tallulah								
	Police								
Х	Department	Law Enforcement	500 E. Green Street	Tallulah	32.408558	-91.1864	\$ 1,000,000.00	1920's	Brick

Vulnerable Populations

Vulnerable Populations Worksheet

Madison Parish

Name	Street	City	Zip Code	Latitude	Longitude
All Hospitals (Private or Public)					
Madison Parish Hospital	900 Johnson St	Tallulah	71282	32.40348857	-91.18488158
Outpatient Medical Center at Tallulah	804 N. Beech St	Tallulah	71282	32.40961444	-91.18126677
Rural Health Clinic	808 Johnson St	Tallulah	71282	34.40423	-91.18477
Nursing Homes (Private or Public)					
Olive Branch Nursing Home	32 Crothers Dr	Tallulah	71282	32.39005699	-91.18061487
Madison Place Retirement Faculty	902 Beech Street	Tallulah	71282	32.40975167	-91.18048065
Mobile Home Parks					
Abendroth's Trailer Park	100 Federick St	Tallulah	71282	32.38482	-91.1797
Kivett's Trailer Park	404 Wood St	Tallulah	71282	32.40115	-91.17775

National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP)

Madison Parish

Insurance

Insurance Summary

for substantial damage?

risk with in the community?

and coverage?

community? What is the total premium

How many claims have been paid in the community? What is the total amount of paid claims? How many of the claims were

How many structures are exposed to flood

\$51,113,500

Coverage

91, \$1,195,542,

Unknown

211

ELEMENT F: STATE REQUIREMENT National Flood **Program (NFIP) Jurisdiction: Madison Madison Parish Richmond** Delta **Tallulah** Mound 239, \$157,623 211, \$134,998 60, \$48,343 2, \$531 Premium, 1, \$370 Premium, How many NFIP polices are in the Premium, Premium, Premium,

\$350,000

Coverage

None

1

\$16,575,400

Coverage

11, \$160,666,

Unknown

60

\$35,184,400

Coverage

150, \$1,441,367,

Unknown

239

\$280,000

Coverage

6, \$18,588,

Unknown

None

Describe any areas of flood risk with limited NFIP policy coverage.	None Known				
Staff Resources					
Is the Community FPA or NFIP Coordinator certified?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Is flood plain management an auxiliary function?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Provide an explanation of NFIP administration services (e.g., permit review, GIS, education or outreach, inspections, engineering capability)	Permit review, inspections, outreach to applicable areas	Permit review, inspections, outreach to applicable areas	Permit review, inspections, outreach to applicable areas	Permit review, inspections, outreach to applicable areas	Permit review, inspections, outreach to applicable areas
What are the barriers to running an effective NFIP program in the community, if any?	Funding, staffing, time				
Compliance History					
Is the community in good standing with the NFIP?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Are there any outstanding compliance issues(i.e., current violations)?	None Known				
When was the most recent Community Assistance Visit (CAV) or Community Assistance Contact(CAC)?	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
Is a CAV or CAC scheduled or needed? If so when?	No	No	No	No	No
Regulation					
When did the community enter the NFIP?	3/4/1988	9/25/1979	7/12/1977	7/16/1980	9/3/1980
Are the FIRMs digital or paper?	Both	Both	Both	Both	Both
Do floodplain development regulations meet or exceed FEMA or State minimum requirements? If so, in what ways?	Meets Minimum				
Community Rating System (CRS)	No	No	Ne	No	Ma
Does the community participate in CRS?	No	No	No	No	No

What is the community's CRS Class Ranking?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Does the plan include CRS planning requirements?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A